WIENER STUDIEN ZUR TIBETOLOGIE UND BUDDHISMUSKUNDE HEFT 67

BIRGIT KELLNER

JÑĀNAŚRIMITRA'S ANUPALABDHIRAHASYA AND SARVAŚABDĀBHĀVACARCĀ

A CRITICAL EDITION
WITH
A SURVEY OF HIS ANUPALABDHI-THEORY



WSTB 67

WIENER STUDIEN ZUR TIBETOLOGIE UND BUDDHISMUSKUNDE

GEGRÜNDET VON ERNST STEINKELLNER

HERAUSGEGEBEN VON BIRGIT KELLNER, HELMUT KRASSER, HELMUT TAUSCHER

HEFT 67

WIEN 2007

ARBEITSKREIS FÜR TIBETISCHE UND BUDDHISTISCHE STUDIEN UNIVERSITÄT WIEN

BIRGIT KELLNER

JÑĀNAŚRIMITRA'S ANUPALABDHIRAHASYA AND SARVAŚABDĀBHĀVACARCĀ

A CRITICAL EDITION

WITH

A SURVEY OF HIS ANUPALABDHI-THEORY

WIEN 2007

ARBEITSKREIS FÜR TIBETISCHE UND BUDDHISTISCHE STUDIEN UNIVERSITÄT WIEN

Copyright © 2007 by

Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien

ISBN: 978-3-902501-06-6

IMPRESSUM

Verleger: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien

Universitätscampus AAKH, Spitalgasse 2-4, Hof 2, 1090 Wien

Herausgeber und für den Inhalt verantwortlich:

Birgit Kellner, Helmut Krasser, Helmut Tauscher

alle: Spitalgasse 2-4, Hof 2, 1090 Wien

Druck: Ferdinand Berger und Söhne GmbH, Wiener Straße 80, 3580 Horn

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Preface	7	
Acknowledgements	9	
Bibliography and General Abbreviations		
General Abbreviations	11	
Primary Sources	11	
Secondary Sources	14	
Introduction		
Sources for the Present Edition	18	
The Manuscript J _m	21	
Editorial Policy and Notation	27	
The Reference Apparatus	37	
Assessment of Anantalal Thakur's Edition	40	
Authorship and Character of the Two Works	42	
Jñānaśrīmitra's anupalabdhi-theory as Presented in		
Anupalabdhirahasya and Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā	47	
Symbols and Abbreviations Used in the Critical Text and Apparatus		
Anupalabdhirahasya		
Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā		
Appendix I: Internal References to Anupalabdhirahasya Verse 2ab		
Appendix II: Textual Relationships to Other Works		
References in AR/SAC to Other Texts	96	

References to AR/SAC in Other Texts	99
Doubtful Textual Relationships	-101
Appendix III: Metres Used in	
Anupalabdhirahasya and Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā	103
Index	105
Index of Words	105
Index of Proper Names and Designations for Schools, Works,	
Genres, Persons or Doctrines	126

PREFACE

The present volume contains, to the extent that available source-materials permit, a critical edition of Jñānaśrīmitra's (ca. 980-1030 CE)¹ Anupalabdhirahasya (AR) and Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā (SAC), together with an introduction and a survey of Jñānaśrīmitra's *anupalabdhi*-theory as it is presented in these two treatises. AR and SAC, probably composed in the first decades of the eleventh century, are the only known and preserved² independent treatises in Dharmakīrti's (ca. 600-660 CE)³ tradition that deal specifically and exclusively with the essential characteristics of "non-cognition" (*anupalabdhi*), Dharmakīrti's third logical reason which alone is capable to establish negation and is therefore the core concept in Buddhist epistemological theories of negative knowledge, as well as in logical theories of negative inference and proof.

AR is built around the half-verse AR 2ab,⁴ which is repeatedly used in the text as a kind of linguistic anchor for reflections on the topic at hand. For the reader's convenience, Appendix I lists all passages in AR that refer to AR 2ab, or emulate its characteristic structure. Appendix II lists all relationships of material from AR and SAC to works of other authors which are relevant to the constitution of the text, such as quotations in and from the two treatises, or cases where material from other works was tacitly incorporated into them. Appendix III lists the metres used in both works, which is of interest given that Jñānaśrīmitra is in all probability the author of a treatise on metrics called Vṛttamālāstuti that was edited by Michael Hahn in 1971. Indices of words and of designations for schools, persons, works,

¹ This is the date proposed in Kajiyama 1998:6-10; cf. Kyūma 2005:XLV, n.1 for a brief discussion of Jñānaśrīmitra's dates.

² One possible further text on *anupalabdhi* might be a work by Jitāri called "vyāpakānupalambha", listed in Bühnemann 1985:16. The bad state of the copies of the manuscript photograph in Vienna, however, prevents a clear determination of its subject matter.

³ Unless otherwise noted, all life dates of Buddhist philosophers in this book are taken from Stein-kellner/Much 1995.

⁴ The verse-numbering is editorial, cf. below p. 37.

8 Preface

genres and doctrines, are also appended. An English translation of both works will be published under separate cover.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It was Ernst Steinkellner who first drew my attention to the Anupalabdhirahas-ya, and suggested that I make it the object of study for my PhD dissertation. His subsequent support more than makes up for the curse he placed upon me by entrusting me with this rather difficult text. Together with the other members and students of the Department for Indian Philosophy of the University of Hiroshima, Shōryū Katsura patiently and meticulously read both AR and SAC with me in 1994/5, and also supervised subsequent work on these and further materials which led to the submission of my PhD dissertation "Studies on non-cognition (anupalabdhi) in the logico-epistemological school of Buddhism" in Hiroshima in 1998. Katsura-sensei and many other Japanese colleagues also generously provided electronic versions of Sanskrit texts that proved to be of invaluable assistance in preparing this edition.

In early 1997, the Muni Jambūvijaya kindly spared some of his time and read the sole available Sanskrit manuscript of AR and SAC with me. The more perceptive conjectures in the edition are owed to his erudition and ingenuity.

The edition further benefited greatly from the fruitful environment for philologically sound research on the history of Indian philosophy offered by the University of Vienna and the Austrian Academy of Sciences. More specifically, I am grateful to Karin Preisendanz, head of the Department of South Asian, Tibetan and Buddhist Studies at the University of Vienna, for inviting me to participate in her project aiming at a critical edition of Vātsyāyana's Nyāyabhāṣya, which was carried out under her guidance and together with Sung-Yong Kang and Yasutaka Muroya from 2004 onward. The confrontation with a philological task of much larger proportions than the present one, and with a much more diversified manuscript transmission, helped me to shape my awareness of the peculiar methodology that is required to edit a text on the basis of one single manuscript.

The edition also profited from the weekly meetings of the Pramāṇasamuccaya-tīkā editing team at the Institute for the Cultural and Intellectual History of Asia of the Austrian Academy of Sciences, which I had the honour of attending from 1999 to autumn 2002, and again from autumn 2003 onwards. The discussions about editorial methodology at these meetings with the core team, consisting of Ernst Steinkellner, Helmut Krasser, and Horst Lasic, as well as with numerous associated scholars, students, and guests, contributed a great deal to the revision of my edition, and in many respects made me aware that much needed to be revised in the first place. Helmut Krasser also proofread the entire work. Anne MacDonald deserves my special gratitude for immensely helpful suggestions concerning both methodology and style. Richard Mahoney (New Zealand) created and kindly provided software tools which assisted in the production of the index. The book is typeset with the font "Gandhari Unicode", created and released into the public domain by Andrew Glass (Seattle, Washington).¹

The original PhD dissertation, which contained an earlier version of this edition and English translations of both treatises together with further materials and a study on the development of *anupalabdhi* in the Buddhist logico-epistemological tradition after Dharmakīrti, was completed with funding from the Japanese Monbu-Kagakushō (then Monbushō), the Ministry of Education, Culture, Sports, Science and Technology. The revision was in various ways supported by the Austrian Science Fund.

¹ Cf. http://depts.washington.edu/ebmp/software.php (last visited April 12, 2006).

BIBLIOGRAPHY AND GENERAL ABBREVIATIONS

GENERAL ABBREVIATIONS

Symbols and abbreviations which are used in the critical text and apparatus are specified on p. 57.

- D sDe dge Tibetan Tripiṭaka, Bstan ḥgyur preserved at the Faculty of Letters, University of Tokyo. Edited by J. Takasaki, Z. Yamaguchi, Y. Ejima. Tokyo 1977ff.
- P The Tibetan Tripitaka. Peking Edition. Reprinted under the Supervision of the Otani University, Kyoto. Edited by D.T. Suzuki. Tokyo Kyoto 1966–1961.

PRIMARY SOURCES

- AR Anupalabdhirahasya (Jñānaśrīmitra). Sanskrit text as edited in the present volume. [The edition is additionally paginated with numbers marked by an asterisk; references to the text refer to this pagination.]
- KĀ Kiraṇāvalī (Udayana). Jitendra S. Jetly: *Praśastapādabhāṣyam with the commentary Kiraṇāvalī of Udayanācārya*. Gaekwad's Oriental Series 154. Baroda 1971: Oriental Institute.
- J Anantalal Thakur: Jñānaśrīmitranibandhāvaliḥ. Buddhist Philosophical Works of Jñānaśrīmitra. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series V. Patna 1987: Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research Institute.
- Ja Sanskrit manuscript of Jñānaśrīmitra's Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya (cf. Bandurski 1994:57f., Much 1988:18); used in the form of a photocopy of photographs preserved in the manuscript collection of the Department of South Asian, Tibetan and Buddhist Studies at the University of Vienna, shelfmark MS 24.
- J_m Sanskrit manuscript of Jñānaśrīmitra's collected works. Two reproductions of this manuscript were used: (1) a photocopy of photographs preserved in the Sānkrtyāyana collection of the *Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitäts-bibliothek* in Göttingen; this photocopy is kept in the manuscript collection of the Department of South Asian, Tibetan and Buddhist Studies at the University of Vienna (shelfmark MS 25); (2) scans from film negatives of one of Giuseppe

Tucci's expeditions to Tibet; cf. the introduction for a more detailed description of these reproductions and of the manuscript itself.

TS Tattvasangraha (Śāntarakṣita). Dvarikadas Shastri: *Tattvasangraha of Ācārya Shāntarakṣita with the Commentary 'Pañjikā' of Shri Kamalashīla*. 2 Vols. Bauddha Bharati Series 1, 2. Varanasi 1968, reprinted 1981.

TSP Tattvasangrahapanjikā (Kamalaśīla). See TS.

TBh Tarkabhāṣā (Mokṣākaragupta). H.R. Rangaswami Iyengar: Tarkabhāṣā and Vādasthāna of Mokṣākaragupta and Jitāripāda with a Foreword by Māmahopādhyāya Vidhuśekhara Bhattāchārya. Mysore 1952.

TR Acharya Paramanandan Shastri: *Tarkarahasya*. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series 20. Patna 1979: Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research Institute.

TR ms Sanskrit manuscript of the anonymous Tarkarahasya, found by Rāhula Sān-kṛtyāyana in Nor (Sānkṛtyāyana 1935:42; cf. Bandurski 1994:21); available as a photocopy of photographic prints from the Sānkṛtyāyana collection of the *Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek* in Göttingen that is preserved in the manuscript collection of the Department of South Asian, Tibetan and Buddhist Studies at the University of Vienna, shelfmark MS 15.

NK Nyāyakaṇikā (Vācaspatimiśra). Mahaprabhu Lal Goswami: Vidhiviveka of Śrī Maṇḍana Miśra with the Commentary Nyāyakaṇikā of Vāchaspati Miśra. Prācyabhāratī granthamālā 8. Varanasi 1978: Tārā Pablikeśans.

DhP Dalsukhbai Malvania: Paṇḍita Durveka Miśra's Dharmottarapradīpa. [Being a sub-commentary on Dharmottara's Nyāyabinduṭīkā, a commentary on Dharmakīrti's Nyāyabindu]. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series 2. Patna 1971: Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research Institute. Revised Second Edition.

NB Nyāyabindu (Dharmakīrti). See DhP.

NBŢ Nyāyabinduṭīkā (Dharmottara). See DhP.

NVTŢ Anantalal Thakur: *Nyāyavārttikatātparyaṭīkā of Vācaspatimiśra*. Nyāyacaturgranthikā vol. III. New Delhi 1996: Indian Council of Philosophical Research.

PV 3 Pramāṇavārttika (Dharmakīrti), chapter on perception (*pratyakṣa*). See Miyasaka 1971/72.

PV 4 Pramāṇavārttika (Dharmakīrti), chapter on inference for others (*parārthānumāna*). See Miyasaka 1971/72.

PVABh Pramāṇavārttikālankārabhāṣya (Prajñākaragupta). Rāhula Sānkṛtyāyana: Pramāṇavārtikabhāshyam or Vārtikālankāraḥ of Prajñākaragupta (Being a commentary on Dharmakīrti's Pramāṇavārtikam). Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series 1. Patna 1953: Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research Institute.

PVABh, ms. B: Manuscript B of Prajñākaragupta's Pramāṇavārttikālankārabhāṣya. Shigeaki Watanabe: Sanskrit manuscripts of Prajñākaragupta's Pramāṇavārttikabhāṣyam. Facsimile Edition. Patna/Narita 1998: Bihar Research Society and Naritasan Institute for Buddhist Studies.

- PVSV Pramāṇavārttikasvavṛtti (Dharmakīrti). Raniero Gnoli: *The Pramāṇavārttikam of Dharmakīrti, the first chapter with the auto-commentary*. Text and Critical Notes. Serie Orientale Roma XXIII. Roma 1960: Istituto Italiano per il Medio ed Estremo Oriente.
- PVin 1,2 Pramāṇaviniścaya (Dharmakīrti), chapters on perception (pratyakṣa) and inference for oneself (svarthānumāna). Ernst Steinkellner: Dharmakīrti's Pramāṇaviniścaya. Chapters 1 and 2. Sanskrit Texts from the Tibetan Autonomous Region 2. Beijing Vienna 2007: China Tibetology Research Center/Austrian Academy of Sciences Press.
- PVin 3 Pramāṇaviniścaya (Dharmakīrti), chapter on inference for others (*parārthānumāna*). *Tshad ma rnam par nes pa*, P 5710, Ce 285a7-329b1; D 4211, Ce 152b1-230a7.
- PVinȚ Pramāṇaviniścayaṭīkā (Dharmottara). *Tshad ma rnam par nes pa'i 'grel bśad*. P 5727 Dze 1-We209b8; D 4229 (chapters 1 and 2), Dze 1-289a7; D 4227 (chapter 3), Tshe 1-178a3.
- MBh Mahābhāṣya (Patañjali). Franz Kielhorn: *The Vyākaraṇa-Mahābhāṣya of Patañjali*. Volume I. Poona 1962: Bhandarkar Oriental Institute. Third Edition.
- MBhP Mīmāṃsābhāṣyapariśiṣṭa (Śālikanātha). S.K. Ramanatha Sastri: *Bṛḥaṭī of Pra-bhākara Miśra with the Bhāṣyapariśiṣṭa of Śālikanātha* [*Tarkapāda*]. Madras University Sanskrit Series No.3, Part II. Madras 1936: University of Madras.
- R Anantalal Thakur: *Ratnakīrti-Nibandhāvaliḥ*. (*Buddhist Nyāya Works of Ratnakīrti*). Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series III. Patna 1975: Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research Institute.
- VN Vādanyāya (Dharmakīrti). Michael Torsten Much: *Dharmakīrtis Vādanyāyaḥ*. *Teil I: Sanskrit-Text*. Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Sprachen und Kulturen Südasiens, Heft 25. Wien 1991: Verlag der Österreichischen Akademie der Wissenschaften.
- SAC Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā (Jñānaśrīmitra). Sanskrit text as edited in the present volume. [The edition is additionally paginated with numbers marked by an asterisk; references to the text refer to this pagination.]
- HB Hetubindu (Dharmakīrti). Ernst Steinkellner: *Dharmakīrti's Hetubinduḥ. Teil I, Tibetischer Text und rekonstruierter Sanskrit-Text*. Veröffentlichungen der Kommission für Sprachen und Kulturen Süd- und Ostasiens, Heft 4. Wien 1967: Hermann Böhlaus Nachf./Graz Wien Köln. [The Sanskrit text is additionally paginated with numbers marked by an asterisk; references to the text refer to this pagination.]
- HB ms Hetubindu, Sanskrit manuscript. Readings of this, the sole currently known Sanskrit manuscript of the Hetubindu were kindly provided by Helmut Krasser.

- HBṬ Hetubinduṭīkāloka (Arcaṭa). Sukhlalji Sanghavi and Shri Jinavijayaji: Hetubinduṭīkā of Bhaṭṭa Arcaṭa with the Sub-commentary entitled Āloka of Durveka Miśra. Gaekwad's Oriental Series 113. Baroda 1949: Oriental Institute.
- HB_t Hetubindu (Dharmakīrti), Tibetan text. See HB.

SECONDARY SOURCES

- Bandurski, Frank. 1994. Übersicht über die Göttinger Sammlungen der von Rāhula Sāṅkṛtyāyana in Tibet aufgefundenen buddhistischen Sanskrit-Texte (Funde buddhistischer Sanskrit-Handschriften, III). Sanskrit-Wörterbuch der buddhistischen Texte aus den Turfan-Funden, Beiheft 5, edited by Heinz Bechert. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht, 9-123.
- Bühnemann, Gudrun. 1983. *Tarkarahasya und Vādarahasya*. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens 27, 185-190.
- Bühnemann, Gudrun. 1985. *Jitāri: kleine Texte*. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 8. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien.
- Dimitrov, Dragomir. 2002. *Tables of the Old Bengali Script (on the basis of a Nepalese manuscript of Daṇḍin's Kāvyādarśa)*. In: Indian and Tibetan Studies (Collectanea Marpurgensia Indologica et Tibetica), edited by Dragomir Dimitrov, Ulrike Roesler and Roland Steiner. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 53. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien, 27-78.
- Hahn, Michael. 1971. Jñānaśrīmitras Vṛttamālāstuti. Eine Beispielsammlung zur altindischen Metrik. Nach dem tibetischen Tanjur zusammen mit der mongolischen Version herausgegeben, übersetzt und erläutert. Asiatische Forschungen 33. Wiesbaden: Otto Harrassowitz.
- Ingalls, Daniel H.H. 1951. *Materials for the Study of Navya-Nyāya Logic*. Harvard Oriental Series 40. Cambridge, Massachussetts: Harvard University Press. [Reprint Delhi 1988: Motilal Banarsidass.]
- Kajiyama, Yūichi. 1998. An Introduction to Buddhist Philosophy. An Annotated Translation of the Tarkabhāṣā of Mokṣākaragupta. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 42. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien. [Reprint of the first edition, Kyōto 1966: Faculty of Letters, Kyōto Universitys.]
- Kellner, Birgit. 1997a. Nichts bleibt nichts. Die buddhistische Zurückweisung von Kumārilas abhāvapramāṇa. Übersetzung und Interpretation von Śāntarakṣitas Tattvasaṅgraha vv. 1647-1690 mit Kamalaśīlas Tattvasaṅgrahapañjikā sowie Ansätze und Arbeitshypothesen zur Geschichte negativer Erkenntnis in der indischen Philosophie. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 39. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien.

- Kellner, Birgit. 1997b. Non-cognition (anupalabdhi) perception or inference? The views of Dharmottara and Jñānaśrīmitra. Tetsugaku 49, 121-134.
- Kellner, Birgit. 1999. Levels of (im)perceptibility. Dharmottara on the drśya in drśyanupalabdhi. In: Dharmakīrti's Thought and Its Impact on Indian and Tibetan Philosophy. Proceedings of the 3rd Dharmakīrti Conference, Hiroshima. November 4-6, 1997, edited by Shōryū Katsura, 193-208.
- Kyūma, Taiken. 2005. Sein und Wirklichkeit in der Augenblicklichkeitslehre Jñānaśrīmitras. Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya I: Pakṣadharmatādhikāra. Sanskrittext und Übersetzung. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 62. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien.
- Lasic, Horst. 2000. Jñānaśrīmitras Vyāpticarcā. Sanskrittext, Übersetzung, Analyse. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 48. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien.
- Matilal, Bimal Krishna. 1968. *The Navya-Nyāya Doctrine of Negation. The Semantics and Ontology of Negative Statements in Navya-Nyāya Philosophy*. Harvard Oriental Series 46. Cambridge, Massachussetts: Harvard University Press.
- Miyasaka, Yūsho. 1971/72. *Pramāṇavārttika-Kārikā* (*Sanskrit and Tibetan*). Acta Indologica 2, 1-206.
- Much, Michael Torsten. 1988. A visit to Rāhula Sāṅkṛtyāyana's collection of negatives at the Bihar Research Society: texts from the Buddhist epistemological school. Wiener Studien zur Tibetologie und Buddhismuskunde 18. Wien: Arbeitskreis für Tibetische und Buddhistische Studien.
- Roth, Gustav. 1970. Bhikṣuṇī-Vinaya, including Bhikṣuṇī-Prakīṛṇaka and a summary of the Bhikṣu-Prakīṛṇaka of the Ārya-Mahāsāṃghika-Lokottaravādin. Edited and annotated for the first time with Introduction and two Indexes. Tibetan Sanskrit Works Series XII. Patna: K.P. Jayaswal Research Institute.
- Sānkṛtyāyana, Rāhula. 1935. Sanskrit Palm-leaf Mss. in Tibet. Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society 21/1, 21-43.
- Sāṅkṛtyāyana, Rāhula. 1938. *Search for Sanskrit Mss. in Tibet*. Journal of the Bihar and Orissa Research Society 24/4, 137-163.
- Sāṅkṛtyāyana, Rāhula. 1950. Merī Jīvan-yātrā 2. Ilāhābād: Kitāb Mahal.
- Sferra, Francesco. 2000. Sanskrit Manuscripts and Photos of Sanskrit Manuscripts in Giuseppe Tucci's Collection. A Preliminary Report. In: On the Understanding of Other Cultures. Proceedings of the International Conference on Sanskrit and Related Studies to Commemorate the Centenary of the Birth of Stanislaw Schayer (1899-1941), Warsaw University, Poland, October 7-10, 1999, edited by Piotr Balcerowicz and Marek Mejor. Warsaw, 397-447.
- Steinkellner, Ernst. 1988. Methodological Remarks on the Constitution of Sanskrit Texts from the Buddhist pramāṇa-Tradition. Wiener Zeitschrift für die Kunde Südasiens 32, 103-129.

- Steinkellner, Ernst. 2004. A Tale of Leaves. On Sanskrit Manuscripts in Tibet, their Past and their Future. Amsterdam: Royal Netherlands Academy of Arts and Sciences.
- Steinkellner, Ernst; Much, Michael Torsten. 1995. Systematischer Überblick über die Literatur der erkenntnistheoretisch-logischen Schule des Buddhismus. Systematische Übersicht über die buddhistische Sanskrit-Literatur 2. Göttingen: Vandenhoeck & Ruprecht.
- Yaita, Hideomi. 1993. *Tarkarahasya-Kenkyū* (VI). Naritasan Bukkyōkenkyūjo Kiyō 16, 69-90

On September 26, 1934, Rāhula Sānkṛtyāyana first set eye on a complete manuscript of Jñānaśrīmitra's collected works in Zha lu ri phug, a retreat on the mountain behind Zha lu monastery. Sānkṛtyāyana took photographs of some manuscripts, perhaps even of this one. However, he lacked experience, and there were no facilities to develop the films on the spot so as to verify their quality. Not surprisingly, when the films could finally be developed in Kathmandu later that year, the photographs turned out unusable. During his fourth journey to Tibet from May to September 1938, the manuscript was properly photographed; the film negatives are today preserved in the archives of the Bihar Research Society in Patna. The original manuscript is thought to be presently located in the People's Republic of China.

In 1959, Anantalal Thakur edited Jñānaśrīmitra's collected works under the title "Jñānaśrīmitranibandhāvaliḥ". A revised edition – here referred to as "J" – was published in 1987. Thakur's edition relies on photographs of the manuscript discovered by Sāṅkṛtyāyana (short J_m), which is the main and, in most cases, single textual witness. With the exception of the Kāryakāraṇabhāvasiddhi, none of Jñānaśrīmitra's works are known to have been translated into Tibetan.

¹ Sāṅkṛtyāyana 1950:273f. I am indebted to Gautam Liu for translations of this and other passages from Sāṅkṛtyāyana's voluminous writings in Hindī. Note that this particular find is not reported in the English report of this trip, which is the second of Sāṅkṛtyāyana's altogether four travels to Tibet, cf. Sāṅkṛtyāyana 1935:25f. There Sāṅkṛtyāyana only reports having seen manuscripts in Zha lu (ri phug), but emphasises that the list he gives in the following pages is incomplete.

² Sāṅkrtyāyana 1950;293.

³ Sāṅkṛtyāyana 1938, Bandurski 1994:25. According to Bandurski, the manuscripts Sāṅkṛtyāyana discovered in Zha lu originally came from Sa skya, since the abbots of Sa skya allowed scholars of their school to take manuscripts to their own monasteries for study. Sāṅkṛtyāyana himself hypothesises that Bu ston – who founded Zha lu ri phug soon after 1320 (Steinkellner 2004:11) – brought the manuscript there from Sa skya (Sāṅkṛtyāyana 1950:274), but this remains speculative.

⁴ See Much 1988, where AR and SAC are listed as entries nos. 02 and 44.

⁵ For the Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya there is a second manuscript $(J_{\bar{a}})$ which Sāṅkṛtyāyanā also found in Zha lu, and which is also believed to be presently located in the People's Republic of China

No further manuscript material has turned up since Thakur completed his edition. A reedition of Anupalabdhirahasya and Sarvasabdābhāvacarcā is nevertheless a worthwhile endeavour. Thakur's edition of Jñānaśrīmitra's collected works covers an enormous amount of text and would certainly not have been feasible if he had investigated every doubtful reading, or studied the content of all works in detail, attempting to identify quotations in them that Jñānaśrīmitra had taken from the vast body of Buddhist as well as non-Buddhist literature, or to trace even more subtle allusions to ideas of earlier philosophers. Such inquiries, facilitated by the progress that has been made in the study of Buddhist logic and epistemology since Thakur's pioneering work, help to improve the constitution of these works. Moreover, Thakur for the most part does not document marginal notes or other scribal interventions in the Sanskrit manuscript. In most cases the reader will not know that Thakur's text is the result of a selection from among various candidates for readings within the manuscript; they will not notice that the text as edited in J contains a number of tacit emendations, not all of which stand the test of scrutiny.

The present reedition therefore aims to critically constitute the oldest accessible form of Anupalabdhirahasya and Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā; the documentation of Anantalal Thakur's editorial work on these treatises is a byproduct of it.

SOURCES FOR THE PRESENT EDITION

Anantalal Thakur's edition is based on two photographic sources, namely on a print of Sāṅkṛtyāyana's film negatives preserved in the archives of the Bihar Research Society in Patna, and on a second print from the same negatives obtained some time after 1949 when he had prepared a "preliminary copy of the major portion of the work" from the first print (see the "preface to the first edition" in J, p. i.). While the two prints seem to have been of slightly different quality – for

⁽Bandurski 1994:57f.; No.12 in Much 1988:18). Thakur had access to photographs and a transcript prepared by Sāṅkrtyāyana.

otherwise Thakur would perhaps not have mentioned both of them in his preface –, both are reproductions of one and the same film negative.

Over the years 1968 to 1971, the *Seminar für Indologie und Buddhismuskunde* in Göttingen managed to procure a collection of prints of Sāṅkṛtyāyana's original film negatives from the Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Research Institute in Patna, to which the negatives had been entrusted by the Bihar Research Society. The present edition relies on a photocopy of the Göttingen print of J_m that is kept at the Department of South Asian, Tibetan and Buddhist Studies in Vienna, provided to the department by Heinz Bechert in 1975. The original Göttingen print, which is preserved in the Sāṅkṛtyāyana collection of the *Niedersächsische Staats- und Universitätsbibliothek* in Göttingen, was also consulted. In addition, Giuseppe Tucci took a photograph of J_m during one of his five scientific expeditions to Tibet beteen 1933 and 1949; Francesco Sferra kindly granted me access to a print of it.

For scholars who rely on Sanskrit manuscripts from the Buddhist logico-epistemological tradition, being restricted to dealing with reproductions instead of original manuscripts is the norm rather than the exception. Past history and present politics render it unlikely that this situation will improve.

The photographic sources that were used for this edition are deficient in several respects, but since there are two of them – prints of Sāṅkṛtyāyana's and of

⁶ Cf. Bandurski 1994:15. The creation of the Göttingen collection was due to the efforts of Gustav Roth, and actively supported by Syed Hasan Askari and Anantalal Thakur, the then directors of the Kashi Prasad Jayaswal Reserach Institute.

⁷ For a preliminary report on the Tucci collection of Sanskrit manuscripts, negatives and photographs, cf. Sferra 2000. In May 2004, Sferra and I were able to determine that the twelve negatives from envelope 33/NN, negatives nos 3, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10 and 11 from envelope 34/PP, and both negatives from envelope 36/SS contain a complete set of photographs of J_m , including, as the second folio from the bottom on negative no. 5 from 34/PP, folio 19a of the Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya (anvayā-dhikāra) which had not been photographed by Sāṅkṛtyāyana, but whose text Thakur could provide on the basis of the second manuscript $J_{\bar{a}}$ that is available for this work. In the provisional list in Sferra 2000:409, NN and SS are entered as "(Vinaya)", while PP is entered as containing Bhavya's Tarkajvālā, which is correct for the remaining negatives from this group.

Tucci's photographs –, the defects of one are often mitigated by the other. Sān-kṛtyāyana's photographs are sufficiently well-exposed and for the most part in focus, but the arrangement of leaves compromises legibility in some areas. A label bearing the roman letter "S" that indicates the place of discovery Zha lu, the general title "Jñānaśrīnibandhaḥ", and a consecutive numbering was attached to each set of eight or nine folios arranged for one shot. These labels, as well as the tacks which were used to pin the folios to a wooden plank, sometimes reach into the body of writing and hide a number of *akṣaras*; they may also hide marginal notes. In addition, the folios occasionally overlap, which may likewise have led to the obscuration of marginal notes. On folio 3a, a triangular-shaped black spot obscures seven *akṣaras* in 1.6 and ten in 1.7. Thakur suggested reconstructions within square brackets at J 184,14 and 184,19f.

For Tucci's photographs, each folio was pinned to a wooden plank with only two tacks. The planks were then placed upright. Because the welled folios did not lie flat on the plank, and because the welling cast shadows, the writing is often out of focus or altogether illegible. However, the part of folio 3a that is blackened on Sānkṛtyāyana's photographs can easily be read here and the text could thus be restored.

Since all photographs were taken in black and white, it is impossible to determine whether different colours of ink might have been used by different hands, inasmuch as, for instance, corrections might have been written in red or brownish ink, or differently coloured "correction substances" might have been used to cover *akṣaras*.

As the prints used by Thakur were not available to me, I could not compare the Göttingen print with them. It therefore cannot be ruled out that some of my emendations which diverge from Thakur's readings might simply be due to the perhaps poorer quality of the prints he was able to use. Nor can I exclude that he

⁸ The same situation is nicely described, in general terms as well as with specific reference to Vajragarbha's Hevajratantrapindārthatīkā, in Sferra 2000:401.

⁹ This was on the whole Sāṅkṛtyāyana's general procedure, cf. Bandurski 1994:16. The folios for AR and SAC are contained on sheets 10A and 10B.

was able to see things on the Patna prints which I failed to see on the Göttingen print and on Tucci's photographs.

THE MANUSCRIPT J_m

For practical reasons, a full palaeographic description of the manuscript must be postponed for later studies that will cover a larger amount of text, for only then will it be possible to determine orthographic and paleographic peculiarities with certainty. The following account is therefore limited to general features and features that are considered noteworthy regarding this particular section of the manuscript.

The manuscript is extremely well preserved, without any damage by natural calamities like fire or the insatiable appetite of worms or various types of rodents. While the writing must have been clearly legible in the original, it is occasionally out of focus on the photographic reproductions by Sāṅkṛtyāyana and frequently quite heavily blurred on the ones by Tucci. Marginal notes are often difficult to decipher and appear to have been added either with great haste or in a generally less standardised running hand.

The script closely resembles that of the Bhikṣuṇīvinaya-manuscript examined by Gustav Roth (Roth 1970), which according to Roth represents the "Proto-Bengali-cum-Proto-Maithili type" used in the Pāla inscriptions of the ninth to twelfth century and in the Sena inscriptions of the twelfth century (*ibid.* p. XXI). Thakur calls it a "Maithila script of about the 12th century A.D.". In his list of manuscripts found in Zha lu ri phug, Sāṅkṛtyāyana terms the script "Māgadhī" (Sāṅkṛtyāyana 1938:143). On other occasions, he refers to the same script as "Proto-Bengali-cum-(Proto)-Maithili", "Proto-Maithili-cum-Bengali", or "Proto-Bengali". As a generic term, "Proto-Bengali" seems most appropriate. The manuscript is not dated. Without risking a paleographic dating, it seems

¹⁰ Cf. the "preface to the first edition", J, p. i.

¹¹ Cf. Bandurski 1994:19.

¹² For a detailed account of the various uses of the term "Proto-Bengali", see Dimitrov 2002:29ff.

reasonable to cautiously date it some time between Jñānaśrīmitra's lifetime at the beginning of the eleventh century, and the middle or end of the thirteenth century, assuming that due to the demise of Buddhism in the Pāla kingdom there would have been neither interest nor resources left for copying such works, and that such manuscripts would have been taken to Tibet around that time or slightly earlier.

In the part of the manuscript that is covered by AR and SAC, m is preserved internally before y, v and labials. Externally, $anusv\bar{a}ra$ occurs before initial y and r. In the first line of a folio, as well as before a danda, $anusv\bar{a}ra$ often takes on the form of a circle rather than a mere dot. Before nasals and consonants, m tends to be replaced by the homorganic nasal, both internally and externally (e.g. $ki\bar{n}$ ca, kin tu, sangraha). Before consonants, this is irregular in external sandhi, though regular internally. Before k, we consistently find $anusv\bar{a}ra$ internally and externally (with the single exception of sankalayya AR 14,12). Before dandas, final t is mostly written with a special character resembling the number 7 in Devanāgarī script. Gemination of t, n and g is regular after r, and gemination of m usual. The semi-vowel v, geminated after r in the Bhikṣuṇīvinaya manuscript, is only rarely geminated in J_m , and without a recognisable pattern. As in the Bhikṣuṇīvinaya manuscript, y is usually not geminated after r. Degemination of consonants can in this section of J_m only apply to "tva", where only three (3b2, 5b1, 7a2) of eight cases undergo degemination. 14

Pre-consonantic r is written as a superscript hook in most cases, but as a horizontal, curved line resembling a head-stroke before gg and nn. The ligatures ${}^{\circ}rs^{\circ}$ and ${}^{\circ}rth^{\circ}$ also have special forms that can be explained as amalgamates of this horizontal, curved r and the usual form of the consonant.

 $^{^{13}}$ Of the four occurrences, only $^{\circ} \textit{dharmam\bar{a}trasya}^{\circ}$ in 7a1 has no gemination.

¹⁴ All degeminated cases occur in °sattva°, but °sattve° 6b1 is written in geminated form. The remaining four occurrences of °ttva° with gemination are all instances of °tattva°.

Medial e is mostly written in $prstham\bar{a}tra$ form, and only occasionally as a diagonal stroke above the head-stroke; this form occurs mostly in the first line of a folio. Medial i is mostly written as a curved line above the head-stroke. Before dh, which lacks a head-stroke, it is written with a vertical stroke before the ak-sara that extends into a curve above the letter. Medial o consists of two vertical strokes, placed before and after an aksara. Placement of avagraha and $vir\bar{a}ma$ is not systematic, nor is placement of dandas. The meeting of word-final \bar{a} and word-initial a is sometimes, though not consistently, disambiguated through placement of avagraha (e.g. $sabdopalabdhy\bar{a}$ pi). 15

In line 6'b3¹⁶ before the right string hole, and at the end of the line 6b6, two dots or short vertical strokes, the one placed on top of the other, are used as place-fillers.

Sāṅkṛtyāyana records one folio's measurements as 22 x 2 inches (Sāṅkṛtyā-yana 1938:143). Each folio contains seven lines of approximately 150 akṣaras length. From lines 3 to 5, the scribe left blank two squares of approximately seven akṣaras in width around the string holes at approximately forty-two akṣaras distance from either margin, thus separating these lines into three blocks of almost equal width. The folios bear two different figure-numberings, an Indian numbering written on the reverse side, and a Tibetan numbering written on the obverse. Within the entire manuscript, the Indian numbering begins anew two times, once with the Vyāpticarcā (J pp. 161-178), and then again with the Bhedābhedaparīkṣā (J pp. 179-182). In the introduction to his edition of the Vyāpticarcā, Horst Lasic further notes that out of all the works contained in the manuscript, only Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya, Vyāpticarcā, and Bhedābhedaparīkṣā are introduced with a salutation (Lasic 2001:21). According to Lasic, together with

¹⁵ Cf. Kyūma 2005:LIV-LVI for further peculiarities of the script on the basis of the *pakṣadhar-matādhikāra* of the Kṣaṇabhaṅgasiddhi.

¹⁶ For this inserted folio, cf. below p. 25.

¹⁷ In the "preface to the first edition" in J, p. i., Thakur counts about 130 syllables per line.

the numbering this suggests that there were at some point three independent transmission units, namely Kṣaṇabhangādhyāya (J pp. 1-159), Vyāpticarcā, and the remaining works beginning with the Bhedābhedaparīkṣā, after which immediately follow AR and SAC, which in the Indian numbering range from line 2b7 to line 8a6 of the third unit and thus cover a little more than five folios.

Although the division of the manuscript into these three units is not fully confirmed by the various colophons of the individual works, it is also not necessarily inconsistent with them. All works in J_m end in colophons stating that the work called such-and-such is completed, in constructions of the type ... *iti samāptam/samāptā/samāptaḥ* or ... $n\bar{a}ma$ prakaraṇam samāptam. Colophons mentioning the author's name are given at the end only of Vyāpticarcā, Sākārasiddhi-śāstra, and Sākārasaṅgrahasūtra. In the case of Vyāpticarcā and Sākārasaṅgrahasūtra, these colophons simply state that this (*iyam*) is a composition of the great paṇdita Jñānaśrīmitra: krtir iyam mahāpaṇditajñānaśrīmitrapādānām (J 178,5), and krtir iyam mahāpanditācāryajñānaśrīmitrapādānām (J 578,16f.).

The colophon of the Sākārasiddhiśāstra combines both types of colophons into one sentence: samāptam cedam balābalaparīkṣāmukhenārabdham sākārasiddhiśāstram kṛtir mahāpaṇḍitajñānaśrīmitrapādānām iti, "completed, now, is this sākārasiddhiśāstra, which was undertaken by means of an investigation of strengths and weaknesses (?) [and which] is a composition of the great scholar Jñānaśrīmitra". Either the pronoun iyam has fallen out after kṛti or the colophon of the Sākārasiddhiśāstra is explicitly meant to conclude only this work.

The colophons at the end of Vyāpticarcā and Sākārasaṅgrahasūtra could have been placed at the end of a larger group of texts to, in a manner of speaking, seal it off as a composition by Jñānaśrīmitra before it entered wider circulation; in this case the pronoun *iyam* would refer not to the work immediately preceding the colophon, but to a larger extent of text. However, since these colophons might have been added at a stage when only some of the texts had been written, or when the works had not yet received their present sequence in the manuscript, the actual scope covered by them must remain uncertain. That the colophons

which state the author's name do not coincide with the three transmission units suggested by folio numbering and salutations might therefore be due to their composition prior to the assemblage of these units.

Together with the Tibetan folio numbering, the addition of Tibetan translations of titles added in the margins on folios where certain works end suggest that the manuscript had been used in Tibet, or at least inventarised. A canonical translation exists only of the Kāryakāraṇabhāvasiddhi (D 4258, P 5763); it was prepared by Kumārakalaśa and ('Bros sen dkar) Śākya 'od in the middle of the eleventh century and later revised by the latter in collaboration with Anantaśrī. It is not known, and may well be impossible to determine, whether this translation is based on J_m or on a manuscript related to it.

Near the beginning of the Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā the scribe forgot to copy the folio of the exemplar which would have continued from what is now 6a2. He later copied the missing folio on a separate leaf, indicating the point of insertion and the relevant text with an x-shaped sign at the beginning of 6a3 and in the upper left margin of the inserted leaf 6'a. Thakur numbers this inserted folio as " 6^1 a" (J 191,9); the number of the verso side is wanting in J; it should be given in J 192,15 = SAC 3,10.

The folio pages 6'b and 6b are numbered twice, and in different Indian scripts: once as 6 and \bullet 6, and once as $^6/_1$ and $^6/_2$. The right bottom margin of 6'b, the left top margin of 6'a and the left margin before the beginning of 6a3 contain indecipherable Indic writing which must be an indication of the scribal lapse. In the right bottom margin of 6'b, the number '3' has been added, informing that the text continues in line 3 of folio 6a.

¹⁸ Kyūma points out that such Tibetan work titles, usually equivalent to the Sanskrit colophons, can be found in several manuscripts of the Sānkṛtyāyana collection in Göttingen (Kyūma 2005:LIII).

Different hands modified the manuscript text by way of deletion of letters, or by way of adding writing in the top or bottom margins. One wrote in the same script as the manuscript; this may have been the scribe himself. Another wrote in a looser hand in what appears to be the same script. The line-numbers that were added to some of these marginal additions also evidence different numbering styles, which additionally confirms that different hands were at work. Some of these additions consist of two, some of only one *akṣara*; as it is consequently extremely difficult to identify and differentiate the styles, it is not impossible that more than two hands were involved.

Of particular interest is AR 12,2 (J_m 5a3), which demonstrates multiple stages of scribal correction. By conjecture, the text reads $atr\bar{a}py$ anupalabdhih $svasy\bar{a}$ $parasy\bar{a}par\bar{a}$ \parallel . The phrase anupalabdhih $svasy\bar{a}parasy\bar{a}par\bar{a}$ repeats a short programmatic statement made earlier in AR 11,6f. (J_m 5a1), where the manuscript reads $anulapala(bh/t)\bar{a}$ $svasy\bar{a}parasy\bar{a}par\bar{a}$. For the passage AR 12,2, the manuscript originally read $atr\bar{a}pyanulapala(bh/t)\bar{a}vasvasy\bar{a}parasy\bar{a}par\bar{a}$, curiously evidencing the same scribal lapse of a mistakenly inserted ${}^{\circ}la^{\circ}$ in ${}^{\circ}anulapa^{\circ}$, as well as the uncertain reading ${}^{\circ}(bh/t)\bar{a}^{\circ}$. The $ak\bar{s}ara$ ${}^{\circ}va^{\circ}$ was first deleted by a corrector. At a later stage, the entire phrase was deleted through placement of double deletion strokes above both ${}^{\circ}pya^{\circ}$ and the concluding double danda, most likely because the repetition was considered a mistake, even though, upon closer inspection of the work, it makes perfect sense in context.

The point of reference for marginal writing in the text itself is often indicated with a $k\bar{a}kap\bar{a}da$ above the head-stroke within the line in question, and sometimes with a short vertical stroke. Deletion is sometimes carried out through placement of small single vertical strokes above the $ak\bar{s}aras$ intended as deleted; double strokes are used in the aforementioned deletion of a phrase in J_m 5a3. Deletion has also been effected by the painting over or erasure of $ak\bar{s}aras$, but it

¹⁹ The male form is used merely because we currently have no knowledge about women scribes in ancient India. The same applies when correctors or traditional readers are referred to in the following.

is difficult to distinguish which method has been used on the basis of the available photographic reproductions. In some cases the deleted *aksara*s are still decipherable, thus giving clues as to what motivated their removal.

On the whole, this part of the manuscript seems to have been used by different persons who were accustomed to reading and writing in Indian scripts. Modifications of the manuscript text were carried out in an *ad-hoc* fashion by individual readers. Whether any of them relied on the exemplar, or on an independent manuscript of Jñānaśrīmitra's works, cannot be ascertained.

EDITORIAL POLICY AND NOTATION

Neither of the two works is known to have been extensively used by later generations of traditional Indian scholars, Buddhist or otherwise. In this respect, AR and SAC differ from other works of Jñānaśrīmitra, such as the Kṣaṇabhaṅ-gādhyāya, the Apohaprakaraṇa, the Advaitabinduprakaraṇa, and at least portions of the extensive Sākārasiddhiśāstra, which were not only taken up by Jñānaśrīmitra's student Ratnakīrti (ca. 990–1050 CE), but also by later representatives of non-Buddhist traditions. Only two passages in the anonymous Tarkarahasya indicate any reception at all of AR. In addition, they evidence an interesting scribal peculiarity which shows that the scribe of the Tarkarahasya manuscript (or one of its ancestors) used J_m or a manuscript related to it.²¹

Editions may aim at the constitution of different forms of texts, for instance, of the original work as it left the author's hands and entered some sort of public circulation, or of the work as it was known in a particular period or region, in short, at a stage in its transmission which need not be identical with its oldest, original form. In a case like the present, with practically no reception attested and a sole manuscript in hands, the only realistic possibility is to aim at the oldest historical form of AR and SAC that can be accessed on the basis of J_m , the only

²⁰ Cf. the list of references collected by Thakur in introduction, to J, pp. 32ff.; further historical relationships emerge from Lasic 2001 and Kyūma 2005.

²¹ Cf. below, p. 99.

accessible manuscript, which is separated from the lifetime of the author by three hundred years at most. This oldest historical form is as close as we can get to the original verbal form of Jñānaśrīmitra's works, by which is meant the written form in which they entered circulation among students, fellow Buddhist scholars or Brahmanical opponents – regardless of whether they were committed to writing by the author himself or by a scribe to whom they might have been dictated.

The critical text is first and foremost the result of attempts to eliminate such transmission errors as are recognisable upon closer inspection of J_m and an inquiry into the language and content of AR and SAC. Obvious orthographic mistakes are also corrected; in this respect the primary objective of the edition is compromised for the sake of producing a text that is readable by current standards of Sanskrit orthography, for it can of course not be excluded that the original form of these works already contained some of these errors.

The critical apparatus documents the editorial process, by listing all available witnesses for a given passage, and by further informing about Anantalal Thakur's text as it is evidenced in J. Thakur's edition is treated, and highly respected, as the expression of an informed scholarly opinion on the text's constitution that needs to be critically considered when reexamining the manuscript.

As far as it can be determined, the visual shape of modifications made to the manuscript text – marginal additions with or without insertion-marks in the main body of writing, deletion by painting over or erasure of *akṣaras*, or by deletion-strokes – is also documented in the individual readings given in the critical apparatus:

The presence of a supralinear insertion mark for marginal notes is indicated with a superscript "v" before the syllable above which it is placed (") that visually emulates the kākapāda.

- The position of marginal notes is indicated in brackets after the respective reading:
 "TM" means top margin, "BM" means bottom margin. Numbers are added after these markers if the marginal additions are accompanied by line-numbers.
- In the individual readings, material that was added in margins is contained within *guillemets* « ... », while deleted material is enclosed in braces { ... }.
- A deletion stroke is indicated with the sign "‡" before the deleted (part of an) akṣara or daṇḍa. When deleted akṣaras are illegible, their probable number is given inside the braces. When vowel signs (or parts thereof) were deleted, the vowel that is left after deletion which may be an inherent a is written before the braces, and the deleted vowel inside the braces. Deletions which are not carried out by deletion stroke are usually performed by erasure, or by painting over of akṣaras; these two methods cannot be clearly distinguished on the available photographic reproductions.
- When a reading between two alternatives is uncertain, both are written inside brackets, separated by a diagonal. For instance, $a \cdot bhy\bar{a}s(a/\bar{a}) \cdot bh\bar{a}vavato$ indicates that someone added ${}^{\circ}bhy\bar{a}s(a/\bar{a})^{\circ}$ in the margin, without there being an insertion mark in the line in question, and that it is not certain whether the marginal note ends in inherent ${}^{\circ}a^{\circ}$ or in ${}^{\circ}\bar{a}^{\circ}$.

A critical note that provides variants begins either with an emendation or conjecture, ²² or with the attested reading that supports the one which has been adopted for the critical text. Rejected readings follow, separated by colons. If one of them was adopted by Thakur, this is indicated with "Th", enclosed in round brackets. If Thakur's reading differs from all readings attested in witnesses, but these readings differ in themselves, "See (Thakur's reading) Th" will appear after all the other readings. If all the witnesses agree, and their reading is determined as correct, and if only Thakur has a different reading, this divergence is noted as "For (correct reading) see (Thakur's reading) Th". These typographic conventions serve to emphasise that Thakur's reading is not in itself a reading from a witness, but a scholarly assessment.

²² Cf. below, p. 35, for the distinction between conjecture and emendation.

Readings prior and subsequent to a scribal correction are marked with $J_m^{\ AC}$ (for ante correctionem) and J_m^{PC} (for post correctionem) respectively. The term "scribal correction" here refers strictly speaking to modifications which were carried out by a traditional reader - that is, not a modern reader like Thakur or myself -, which were intended to correct the text, and which are, from the viewpoint of their scribe, indeed corrections.²³ However, the sense in which a traditional corrector considered the new text as more "correct" than the old one is often not easy to determine and may at any rate differ from the "correctness" pertinent to modern critical editions. The initial position of the J_m PC-reading in a critical note, which means that it has been adopted for the critical text, indicates that a scribal correction is indeed considered a correction from an editorial viewpoint, and that it is complete. The latter needs to be emphasised because scribal corrections are in J_m occasionally carried out only in part, such as when ghatasya is meant to be corrected to ghatasyāpi, but only the aksara ${}^{\circ}pi^{\circ}$ is added in the margin, and the required lengthening of the vowel a is wanting (AR 8,13). It is of course possible that the scribe in this and other cases assumed that readers would automatically supply the remaining corrections, but errors cannot be entirely excluded.

When material is added in the margin, it is particularly difficult to figure out what its scribe thought he was doing, especially given that we have no independent information regarding the level of erudition of the involved individuals — whether they were employed scribes, well-trained students, or full-fledged scholars. Did a specific scribe aim to provide a gloss that explains a word or a phrase? Did he aim to correct the text by adding words that he was convinced the scribe had forgotten, relying on his own memory and knowledge or on another manuscript? Did he provide supplementary information that he deemed helpful

²³ The adjective "scribal" is thus in the following to be understood in a loose sense and refers to any traditional user of the manuscript who left visible traces on it, not only to the scribe of the main body of writing.

for the understanding of the text, such as missing parts of lists or set patterns, without necessarily intending these words to be a part of the text?

In the following three cases, such considerations have led me to conclude that writing which was added in the margin is not a successful transmission correction, but most probably an insertion of material that had not been part of the text in an earlier and more likely original form. In two cases, the marginal writing might not even have been intended as a correction, but rather as a supplement for the purpose of completion of a set pattern, or for the sake of clarification; for this reason, it is more appropriate to refer to the readings before and after the scribal intervention with the abbreviations J_m^{AI} (ante interventionem) and J_m^{PI} (post interventionem) respectively in the critical apparatus. The term "intervention" is here a blanket term for those visible interventions in the manuscript text on the part of a traditional reader which more likely are not corrections from an editorial viewpoint, and which might not even have been intended as corrections.

1) AR 12,9f.: *uktam atra pratiyogyupalabdhir evā«nyā»nupalabdhiḥ*, i.e. °*nyā*° was added in the top margin.

A potential omission of ${}^{\circ}ny\bar{a}^{\circ}$ from the manuscript text cannot be easily explained through eyeskip, unless one assumes eyeskip from the vowel stroke of ${}^{\circ}(v)\bar{a}^{\circ}$ to ${}^{\circ}(ny)\bar{a}^{\circ}$.

With *anya* the statement is odd in context. The immediately preceding objection asks why the *viruddhopalabdhi* and other such types of reasons are called *anupalabdhi*, implying that this is incongruent with the expression *upalabdhi* in their names. The reply harks back to AR 2,5f.: *anupalabdher iti pratiyogina upalabdher ity arthaḥ*, where the technical term *anupalabdhi* was explained as the "perception of the (actually existing) counter-correlate" (*pratiyogina upalabdhih*).

The expression *anya* is absent in AR 2,5f., and the compound *anyānupalabdhiḥ* is nowhere used in the immediate context of AR 12,9f., where the "otherness" is not at issue. Instead, in the reply to the objection, the thematic focus is on *anupalabdhi*: it is because *anupalabdhi* is the *upalabdhi* of a "counter-correlate"

(pratiyogin) that the viruddhopalabdhi and other such types of reasons can be justifiedly called anupalabdhi.

An insertion of *anya* by a later hand may have taken place for a number of reasons. A reader may not have understood the focus on *anupalabdhi* as explanandum, may have missed out on the reference to AR 2,6, or may simply have had a very similar utterance including *anya* in mind, for instance PVSV 5,14-17 which is partly cited in AR 2,2f. (see below p. 97).

In sum, the comparably low likelihood of an omission of the letters supplied in the margin through mechanical errors, a comparably high contextual awkwardness of the text with the marginal note over the text without it, and the existence of plausible reasons for a subsequent insertion make this more likely a later supplement than a successful transmission correction, one that may have been intended as an additional clarification or as a correction and therefore deserves to be generally labeled as an intervention.

2) AR 13,15f.: naivam, jale gandhasyānale rasasyāpi «vāyau rūpasyāpi» śeṣamātropalabdhau vā 'bhāvavyavahāramātradarśanāt. To the enumeration of two cases where the absence of a sensory object (smell, taste) in an element (water, fire) is observed, that of colour in wind was added in the margin.

A passage in Udayana's Kiraṇāvalī indicates that the three cases were a set pattern, cf. $K\bar{A}$ 41,6f.: ... anyathā nirgandhasya jalāder nīrasasyānalāder nīrūpasya²⁴ ca pavanasyānupalambhaprasaṅgāḥ.

Eyeskip may have led a scribe to jump from the first ${}^{\circ}sy\bar{a}pi^{\circ}$ to the second ${}^{\circ}sy\bar{a}pi^{\circ}$ and thus to an omission of $v\bar{a}yau$ $r\bar{u}pasy\bar{a}pi$ from the manuscript text. However, this possibility of a mechanical error is outweighed by considerations of context. Jñānaśrīmitra here refutes an objection against the claim that the non-perception of one object is the perception of another. In doing so, he appeals to cases where even the opponent thinks so, namely, first of all, when the absence of smell in water is determined, and secondly, also (api) when the absence of

 $^{^{24}}$ read jalāder nīrasasyānalāder nīrūpasya for jalādenīrasasyānalādenīrūpasya KĀ.

taste in fire is determined. The choice of api instead of the weaker enumerative ca for the second item suggests that this item is highlighted: "... because a treatment as absent in general is observed of smell in water, also/further of taste in fire ...". If the author had wanted to list all three members of a set pattern, it seems unlikely that he would have done so with api for both the second and the third item. On the other hand, if someone were to supply a third item to an enumeration that he considers incomplete, he might just as well mechanically follow an already given syntactic pattern. The presence of api after $r\bar{u}pasya$ thus points to a later supplement. Furthermore, an incomplete enumeration on an occasion where the context does not require a complete one represents a lectio difficilor that is likely to be smoothened by later readers.

Whether the writer of this supplement intended it to be a complementary note or a true modification of the text remains uncertain; again, this supplement deserves to range as an intervention in general.

3) SAC 7,13-16: tatra svātmā tāvat pratyakṣībhāve yogyatāmātram apekṣate, śabdagandharasasparśatamisrālokās tu manaskārātiriktam indriya«mātra»m, ghaṭādisanniveśinas tu rūpaviśeṣāḥ prakāśam api prāyaśaḥ.

An erroneous omission of *mātra* on the part of a scribe cannot easily be explained on mechanical or psychological grounds. Conversely, a reader may have felt prompted to supply *mātra* to the accusative object of the implicit verb *apekṣate*, since the object of *apekṣate* in the previous clause is also followed by *mātra*.

The statement provides a list of causal factors on which three distinct types of entities depend for being perceived: cognition itself – referred to with the expression *svātman* –, sensory objects like sound, smell, taste, touch, darkness and light, and specific instances of colour-form, composed into macroscopic entities such as jars. In terms of content, the list can be understood as cumulative: cognition, which according to Buddhist epistemologists is self-cognising, depends

only on its own capacity for being perceived, sound, etc. additionally depend on a sense-faculty, and jars and so forth normally²⁵ also depend on light.

The cumulative character of the list is indicated by $m\bar{a}tra$, "only", in the first clause and api, "also", in the third, which leaves us with the structure "for being perceived, a depends only on g, ...; c, on the other hand (tu) normally depends also on j". Filling in the second clause with or without $m\bar{a}tra$ leads to the following alternatives:

- a. with $m\bar{a}tra$: "a depends only on g, b depends, in addition to h, only²⁶ on i, and c depends also on j".
- b. without $m\bar{a}tra$: "a depends only on g, b depends, in addition to h, on i, and c depends also on j".

The second alternative fits better into the overall structure of a cumulative list: each type of entity depends on a specific set of factors *in addition to* the factors relied on by the previous type. This is certainly the most straightforward interpretation given the wording of the entire statement as three consecutive clauses with a uniform construction.

Conversely, the first alternative has a problematic implicature which the author cannot have intended and is unlikely to have overlooked. A reader will naturally apply the exclusive force of "only" in the second clause to both the first and the third: b depends on h and i, but not on g, and not on j. In other words, sound, etc. depend, in addition to attention, only on a sense-faculty, but not on their own capacity, and not on light. The implicature that sensory objects do not depend on their own capacity for being perceived contradicts the general account of

²⁵ The qualifier "normally" (*prāyaśaḥ*) is best read on the background of the assumption that certain beings are capable of seeing instances of colour-form without light, appearing in the context of the *sahopalambhaniyama*-discussion and invoked in AR 10,3.

²⁶ Theoretically, *mātra* in the second clause might also function like an indefinite pronoun, "... depends on some sense-faculty". But I can see no reason why Jñānaśrīmitra would have added an indefinite qualifier; if he had had an important reason, he would most likely have chosen a less misleading form of expression.

perception to which the author is committed. Unless Jñānaśrīmitra experienced a temporary lapse of attention, he would not have added the misleading $m\bar{a}tra$ to the second clause. In view of the higher probability of scribal supplementation rather than omission, the reading without $m\bar{a}tra$ is therefore more likely the original one; the insertion of $m\bar{a}tra$ was most likely intended as a correction.

Granted, these are comparably minor cases, but it is nevertheless worthwhile justifying my conclusions in detail, if only to demonstrate the type of reasoning that is applied in such cases.

In addition, a marginal note on the top margin of folio 6'a is also more likely a supplement than a transmission correction. It is illegible on the available manuscript photographs by Sānkṛtyāyana and Tucci; on Sānkṛtyāyana's photographs it is partly covered by the previous folio 5a. The note is placed right above SAC 1,16f. vadhirasyāpi prasangāt, and there is an insertion mark before prasangāt. Without the note, the entire sentence reads na tāvat dhvanir ity eva śravanam, vadhirasyāpi prasangāt. Thakur reads the note as śravana°, and his edition therefore prints na tāvat dhvanir ity eva śravaṇam, vadhirasyāpi śravanaprasangāt. Even if this reading is correct, an omission of śravana° from the original text cannot be explained through a mechanical scribal error such as eyeskip. On the other hand, it is likely that someone would have supplied śravana° as the implied subject from the main clause, thus smoothening the text. Whether this person intended śravana° to become part of the main text, or merely aimed to supply additional information for the sake of clarification, cannot be determined with sufficient certainy – both seem equally possible; hence, the phenomenon is considered an intervention, without further specification.

Editorial corrections are carried out on the basis of witnesses, and based on considerations of language and content. Such corrections are proposed with two degrees of certainty. Conjectures, indicated with "conj." at the beginning of a note in the critical apparatus, are proposed corrections; emendations, indicated with

"em.", are proposed corrections with a higher degree of certainty. A higher degree of certainty goes hand in hand with a greater need for editorial intervention. Corrections of obvious orthographical errors in the manuscripts, for instance, are always emendations because constraints of Sanskrit orthography as it is currently standard demand intervention. In the case of conjectures, the necessity of editorial intervention may be debatable, and the verbal form of the proposed critical text is less certain.

Furthermore, some emendations are of questionable necessity because indecipherable marginal notes may already contain the proposed reading, because the place of insertion of a nearly illegible marginal note is uncertain, or because the manuscript reading is ambiguous, as for instance in cases where no *avagraha* occurs. In these questionable cases the indicator "em." in the critical apparatus is *followed* by a question mark. In two cases (AR 10,8, SAC 9,4f.), no satisfactory reading of the text could be determined. In these cases the note in the critical apparatus is *preceded* by a question mark.

In the edited text, *sandhi* was standardised. Nasals before consonants are homorganic internally, whereas externally *anusvāra* is used (e.g. *kiṃ ca* where J_m has $ki\tilde{n}$ ca). Gemination of consonants after semi-vowels and degemination before are not reported. Word-final t is assimilated to the consonants which follow.

The critical apparatus preserves the *sandhi* from the respective source, be it the manuscript or J. For easier readability, words in manuscript readings are separated with spaces, but spaces are left out when their general absence in the manuscript assists in understanding the character of misreadings.

For the sake of clarity, the juncture of ${}^{\circ}\bar{a}$ a° is written as ${}^{\circ}\bar{a}$ plus *avagraha*, e.g. *śabdopalabdhyā* 'pi, a procedure that is also sometimes, though not consistently, used in J_m .

Placement of avagraha in the manuscript is only noted in the critical apparatus when the presence or absence of this marker affects the constitution of the

text. For typographic reasons, vowel *sandhi* at word junctures is occasionally dissolved when a footnote is inserted after the first word.

Interpunction in the edition is strictly editorial, aiming to facilitate comprehension of the occasionally complicated syntactic structure. Interpunction signs utilised in prose include full stops, question marks, colons, commas, and exclamation marks. Full stops, question marks and exclamation marks indicate the end of a sentence as well as its type. Colons are used after sentences which announce or introduce the subsequent statement(s). Commas are used to separate subordinate clauses, which may in Sanskrit also be represented as nominal constructions. Commas are inserted to assist in the disambiguation of syntactic structure, but are omitted in simpler cases, to avoid overburdening the text with excessive visual information. Absolute *sandhi* is applied before all punctuation marks.

Both AR and SAC contain verses. For easier reference, the verses in each work have been consecutively numbered. This numbering is editorial and is not contained in the manuscript. In the edition, single and double *daṇḍas* mark the end of half- and full verses respectively; no other interpunction is used in verses.

Proper names and other designations for persons, schools, genres or doctrines, such as demonstrative pronouns, are <u>underlined</u>.

Finally, variations in the visibility of writing on the Tucci and Sāṅkṛtyāyana photographs are not reported.

THE REFERENCE APPARATUS

The edited text is accompanied by a reference apparatus which lists passages, in the same work or in other works, which are relevant to its constitution. This includes cases where material from other sources has been incorporated into the text, unmarked or declared as quotations, as well as cases where other works incorporate material from AR or SAC. Repetitions of and references to earlier passages within AR and SAC are also recorded in the reference apparatus, a procedure that may seem a little excessive: when Jñānaśrīmitra cites an expres-

sion he himself used a few pages earlier, this is also marked as a parallel text (**P**) or a parallel text with variation (**Pv**). This procedure has not been followed if the reference target is in immediate vicinity and the reference is therefore obvious, as for instance in AR 11,8-10.

Relationships between textual materials are classified according to a system of abbreviations that was proposed by Ernst Steinkellner (Steinkellner 1988). In this system textual sources are classified based on their relationship to material contained in "textus" (T), as the presently edited work is termed. The system is geared towards an assessment of the reliability of other sources for the constitution of the edited text, and its use is thus motivated by considerations of editorial philology. The present edition makes use of the following such abbreviations:

- (1) Relationships to other works by another author or by Jñānaśrīmitra himself
- Ce citatum ex alio, a passage that is quoted in T from another work, with or without express identification of the source.
- Cee *citatum ex alio modo edendi*, like Ce, but with redactional changes to the quoted text, e.g. omissions, insertions, syntactical or lexical variations.
- Ce' citatum ex alio usus secundarii, a passage from another work that is not marked as a quotation in T, but has been incorporated into it.
- Ce'e citatum ex alio usus secundarii modo edendi, like Ce', but with redactional changes to the incorporated text.
- Ci citatum in alio, a passage that is quoted from **T** in another work, with or without identification of the source.
- **Cie** *citatum in alio modo edendi*, like **Ci**, but with redactional changes to the quoted text.
- Ci' citatum in alio usus secundarii, a passage from T that is not marked as a quotation in another work, but has been incorporated into it.

Ci'e citatum in alio usus secundarii modo edendi, like Ci', but with redactional changes to the incorporated text.

- (2) Relationships to other passages in the works of Jñānaśrīmitra or even in the same work
- P textus parallelus, a passage that is of the same verbal form as one in T and occurs in another work of the author of T written either prior or posterior to T, or even in the same work.

Note: **P** is isomorph, of the same verbal form, to the corresponding text in **T** in the lax sense of allowing minor differences, such as (a) a different word-order, while preserving the same syntax and word-material, (b) separation of compounds, (c) slight variations in case-endings, as e.g. *niyamena* for *niyamatah*.

This category here also covers cases where the author deliberately takes up earlier expressions of his own text for the sake of explanation, in the style of *pratīkas*. In this case, both the earlier and the later expressions are classified as **P**-passages.

Pv textus parallelus variatus, a passage that has the same meaning as in T, but with more or less marked variations in its verbal form, and occurs in another place in T or in another work of the author of T, written either prior or posterior to T.

This category here also covers cases where the author takes up earlier expressions of his text for the sake of explanation, but with variations. As with **P**, both expressions involved are classified as **P**-texts.

Pr textus parallelus modo referendi, a passage which occurs in another work of the author of T, or even in the same work, and partly takes up a passage of T by way of reference, yet with significant differences in meaning.

This abbreviation is newly introduced for characterising the peculiar relationship of AR 2ab to the passages in AR 13,9ff. which are modelled after it (see also Appendix I).

To save space in the edition, the reference apparatus gives only the location of the passage in question, but not the actual text. If the passage comes from a work other than AR and SAC, the actual text is, in its original context, given in Appendix II, where possible implications for the critical constitution of that text are also discussed

ASSESSMENT OF ANANTALAL THAKUR'S EDITION

Like his other editions, Anantalal Thakur's work on the texts of Jñānaśrīmitra on the whole is outstanding considering the enormous extent of the edited works, the difficulty of Jñānaśrīmitra's style, and the paucity of witnesses. Both editions, the one from 1959 and J, the revised edition from 1987, contain indices of terms and half-verses, and an appendix with the title *saṃśodhanaṃ saṃyojanaṃ ca* on p. 643f. that contains additional corrections (*saṃśodhana*) and further identifications of references to other works (*saṃyojana*).²⁷

With two exceptions, the text of AR and SAC in the two editions is identical. A spelling mistake in the first edition ($na\ hmatra$ for $na\ hy\ atra$ AR 10,18=p. 188,7) was noted in the appendix to the first edition and appears corrected in the text of J. The appendix of the first edition also corrects SAC 3,18=p. 192,21 °ra- $jan\bar{i}s\bar{u}ptaprabuddh\bar{a}^\circ$ to ° $rajan\bar{i}suptapratibuddh\bar{a}^\circ$. However, the text in J reads ° $rajan\bar{i}suptaprabuddh\bar{a}^\circ$ and thus leaves ° pra° – which is confirmed by the manuscript – instead of ° $prati^\circ$, as had been proposed. Three further corrections listed in the appendix to the first edition also occur in the appendix of J, but were not incorporated into the text therein; only one of them was adopted for our criti-

²⁷ The pagination for AR and SAC is identical in both editions, but due to occasional differences in hyphenation, line breaks and therefore also line numbers sometimes diverge slightly.

cal text. All this suggests that at least some of the "corrections" in Thakur's appendix amount to conjectures.

In nine places, Thakur inserts syllables into the printed text within square brackets. While not explained as such, these editorial interventions are clearly emendations of the manuscript text based on the editor's judgement; two of them are reconstructions of the material that was lost in lines 6 and 7 of folio 3a, due to obscuration by a triangular-shaped black spot on Sānkrtyāyana's photographs. Tacit, unmarked emendations in Thakur's texts are far more numerous. In 66 cases, Thakur's text differs, without there being a note in his edition to this effect, from all readings that are, based on my assessment, attested in the manuscript, prior or posterior to scribal interventions;²⁸ once, an entire phrase from the manuscript is omitted, for no apparent reason (AR 14,13f.). In 13 of these cases, Thakur's silent emendations were adopted for the critical text, as corrections of obvious scribal errors. In the remaining 53 instances, I have decided in favour of one of the determined manuscript readings. Where the manuscript evidences scribal interventions, Thakur shows a clear preference for the reading subsequent to an intervention. On the whole, therefore, he treats interventions as intended and successful corrections. In the three cases where his reading agrees with one prior to an intervention, the intervention is consequently likely to have escaped his attention, or to not have been clearly discernible on his manuscript photographs. Again, the very existence of these scribal interventions remains unreported in J.

Out of 28 emendations in this edition, nine differ from Thakur's text, as do three of the five emendations of questionable necessity, and two of the three conjectures. As stated above, it cannot be ruled out that divergences between Thakur's and my edition are at least partly caused by the different quality of the respective manuscript photographs he and I were able to use. Be that as it may, the large number of undocumented scribal and editorial interventions in J should

²⁸ Thakur's marked emendations, as well as doubtful cases where the coincidence between his text and manuscript readings could not be clearly determined, are not included in this count.

suffice, in addition to these divergences, to convince of the importance of reediting these two works.

AUTHORSHIP AND CHARACTER OF THE TWO WORKS

The final colophons of AR and SAC contain the work titles *anupalabdhira-hasya* and *sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā*. Like most colophons of Jñānaśrīmitra's works, they make no mention of the author.²⁹ At present, there are no concrete reasons to doubt Jñānaśrīmitra's authorship.

For AR, his authorship is further corroborated by evidence from his own Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya and from the anonymous Tarkarahasya. Two passages in the *vyatirekādhikāra* of the Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya refer to a work under the descriptive title *anupalabdhiniṣṭhā*, in support of ideas which are for the most part peculiar to AR.³⁰

J 79,14-16 = J_m 32a7:³¹ anupalambho 'pi pratiyogivastūpalambhasvabhāvatayā 'dhyakṣarūpa eva. anvayaḥ³² punar anupalabdhiniṣṭhāyāṃ³³ vihita iti tatraivāvadhāryaḥ. anvayavyatirekagrāhakapratyakṣānupalambhanītir³⁴ api trikapañcakacintāyāṃ³⁵ darśitety āstāṃ tāvat.

"Non-perception, for its part, has the form of perception alone, because it is of the nature of the perception of the real entity that is the counter-correlate. [Its?] positive concomitance, again, was laid down in the *anupalabdhiniṣṭhā* and is therefore to be determined in that very [work]. The method of perception and non-perception, which grasp the positive and the negative concomitance, was for its part shown in the *trikapañcakacintā*; leave therefore (*iti*) [this topic] aside for now."

²⁹ Only the colophons of Vyāpticarcā, Sākārasiddhiśāstra, and Sākārasaṅgrahasūtra mention the author's name.

 $^{^{30}}$ Both passages are missing from the copy of $J_{\bar{a}}$ that is preserved in Vienna. Variant readings from $J_{\bar{a}}$ are therefore taken over from Thakur's annotation and remain unconfirmed.

 $^{^{31}}$ The Kṣaṇabhangādhyāya is located in the first of the three units of J_m ; cf. the discussion of the folio-numbering on p. 23.

 $^{^{32}}$ The visarga is added in the bottom margin of $\rm J_{\rm m}$

 $^{^{33}}$ anupalabdhinisthāyām $J_{\tilde{a}}$: anupala
«mbha»dhinisthāyām $J_{m}.$

 $^{^{34}\}circ \! n\bar{\imath}ti^{\circ}\,J_{m}:{}^{\circ}r\bar{\imath}ti^{\circ}\,J_{\tilde{a}}.$

 $^{^{35}}$ trikapañcakacintāyām $J_{\bar{a}}$: trikañcakacintāyām $J_{m}.$

J 153,16f. = J_m 60a4: anupalabdhiniṣṭhāyām tu³⁶ jñānākāre 'pi pratiyoginy abhāvasiddhir darśitā. na ca kaścid doṣa ity āstām tāvat. tasmān nābhāvo nāma kiñcid yatra kāraṇavyāpāra iti sthitam.

"In the *anupalabdhiniṣṭhā*, however, it was demonstrated that an absence is established with reference to a[n existing] counter-correlate, even if [the latter] is an image in cognition, and there is no flaw [in this]; leave therefore (*iti*) [this topic] aside for now. It is consequently established that there is no so-called absence for which a cause would be active."

In both passages, which serve to close discussions with the Naiyāyika Śańkarasvāmin, the reader is referred to another work for a more detailed and substantial presentation of a particular subject-matter. From the *anvayādhikāra* of the Kṣaṇabhaṅgādhyāya, the expression *trikapañcakacintā* is known as a descriptive title for Jñānaśrīmitra's Kāryakāraṇabhāvasiddhi (cf. J 12,25). The introductory verse of AR for its part mentions the purpose of the work as the "foundation of the [essential] character of non-perception" (*anupalambhasya* ... rūpaniṣṭhā). The claim that non-perception (*anupalabdhi*) has the form of perception (*adhyakṣarūpa*), in that it is identified with the perception (*upalambha*) of an existing counter-correlate of the absentee, is central to both AR and SAC.³⁷ That this counter-correlate can also consist in an image in cognition is, with special reference to conceptual cognition, emphatically defended in AR 3,8ff.³⁸ The positive concomitance (*anvaya*), however, is not expressly addressed in these two works – not with specific reference to non-perception as a logical reason, and not with respect to any other logical context; the precise meaning of

³⁶ °nisthāyām tu J_m: °nisthāyāj J_ā.

³⁷ This is reflected throughout the beginning portion of AR, cf. AR 1,1-4,20. The claim is also implicit in the specification of the "counter-correlate" (*pratiyogin*) and the "counter-correlation" (*pratiyoga*) in SAC 9,1-18. That non-perception is more specifically nothing but perception can also be taken as a reformulation of Jñānaśrīmitra's claim that the cognitive process leading to negative ascertainment can in some cases indeed consist in perception alone, emphatically argued in AR 5,1-9,1.

³⁸ For the claim that the existing counter-correlate can also be an image in a conceptual cognition, cf. AR 3,8ff., especially 3,10, 3,16, 4,19, 5,3f., 9,3, as well as SAC 9,13-15, 10,1f. and 11,3-15 (esp. 11,12).

this reference remains therefore unclear. On the whole, this evidence suggests that *anupalabdhinisthā* was used as a descriptive title for a work by Jñāna-śrīmitra; that the positive concomitance is not, as one would expect, treated in AR may well indicate that this work was meant to be a more comprehensive composition on *anupalabdhi* that was to incorporate or rely on AR and perhaps also on SAC, but is lost or was never completed.

By implication, AR and SAC could thus very well be unfinished works, as preparatory compositions. This hypothesis, though speculative, might serve to explain a number of features of the two works and their history: the centrepiece of AR is a half-verse (AR 2ab) that finds no completion throughout the remainder of the work. In terms of content, the two works overlap to a considerable degree, to the extent that one wonders why they were not combined to form one uniform treatise. Their style also often reminds one of concise notes taken during the preparation for the composition of a treatise. The presentation of opposing views not in the form of quotations or paraphrases, which is known from other works of Jñānaśrīmitra, but through condensed summaries with occasional, unmarked incorporation of material from earlier works, might also reflect a preparatory stage in the composition of a work. Furthermore, if AR and SAC had at some point been known as draft compositions, and were thus not circulated among a wider audience, this could also explain why we find no reference to them or to their distinctive ideas in Buddhist and non-Buddhist works that were composed shortly afterwards, such as, for instance, in the works of the Naiyāyika Udayana and Jñānaśrīmitra's own disciple Ratnakīrti.39

The sole unmistakeable references in later works point to AR, and they can be found in the anonymous Tarkarahasya; these also support Jñānaśrīmitra's authorship at least of this work. The passage TR 91,22-92,1 heavily relies on AR, but without mentioning the name of a work or a person. The passage TR

³⁹ It goes without saying that the absence of reception itself might also be due to, or additionally caused by, other factors: the concentration of AR/SAC on largely Buddhist affairs might also have led Brahmanical thinkers to ignore it.

95,17-29 incorporates, with slight variations, AR 11,6-9, introduced with the words *mitramataṃ punaḥ*. Both passages are given in Appendix II. Two other quotations from Jñānaśrīmitra's Advaitabinduprakaraṇa in TR are ascribed to *mitra*, using the honorific term of reference *mitrapādāḥ*.⁴⁰

There is no direct evidence for Jñānaśrīmitra's authorship of SAC, but its close relationship to AR in terms of both content and style renders his authorship highly probable, at least in the (current) absence of any evidence whatsoever to the contrary.

⁴⁰ See Bühnemann 1983:186. TR 47,18f.: mitrapādāś ca paravyāparavāraṇam ity āhuh. The point of reference is not identified by Bühnemann, but the words can only be taken from J 358,24: paravyāpāravāraṇāt. TR 60,13f.: mitrapādaih svaprakāśam asāmānyam vijñānam iti sammatam = J 358,15.

JÑĀNAŚRĪMITRA'S *ANUPALABDHI*-THEORY AS PRESENTED IN ANUPALABDHIRAHASYA AND SARVAŚABDĀBHĀVACARCĀ⁴¹

The following summary of the most salient features of the *anupalabdhi*-theory as presented in AR and SAC is meant as a general introduction to the most peculiar positions advanced in these works. More detailed analyses will be offered together with the English translation, to be published under separate cover.

Non-cognition is the third of three logical reasons (*hetu*) devised by Dharmakīrti (approx. 600-660 CE). ⁴² In its specific form as a "non-perception of a perceptible" (*dṛśyānupalabdhi*) it serves to establish that an object which would be necessarily perceived in a situation if it were present there is absent. The notion of "non-perception", as we shall subsequently call the concept *dṛśyānupalabdhi*, is therefore the starting point for any inquiry into the approach taken by Dharmakīrti and his various followers to the problem of negative knowledge, of knowing, and of being able to prove, that something is absent.

Non-perception, being the most basic and most important form of non-cognition, has three main features which are respectively identified as its result (phala), its qualifier (viśeṣaṇa) and its nature (svabhāva):⁴³ first, it results in establishing abhāvavyavahāra, the threefold treatment as absent. This comprises primarily the ascertainment that an entity is absent (abhāvaniścaya), and secondarily also verbal and physical behaviour to that effect.

Second, perceptibility is its qualifier in that non-perception is limited to perceptible entities, to entities which, if they were present in a situation, would inevitably be perceived. Perceptibility requires (a) that these entities can in prin-

⁴¹ This section was first presented as a paper at the XIIIth conference of the International Association of Buddhist Studies, Bangkok, December 8-13, 2002.

⁴² Unless otherwise noted, all life dates of Buddhist philosophers are taken from Steinkellner/Much 1995.

⁴³ For the stipulation of these three features, cf. SAC 1,4-11.

ciple produce a perception and (b) that all additional causes required for their perception are instantiated in the situation in question, for it must be ruled out that a pot is not seen because it is too dark or because the cognising person is blind. Third, the nature of non-perception is to be another perception (anyopalabdhiḥ, i.e. anyā upalabdhiḥ), by which is meant the perception of a specific other entity. Not perceiving a pot is said to be the same as perceiving only the spot on the ground. Paradigms of non-perception therefore always involve two entities, one which is actually present and perceived and the other which is ascertained as absent. This will subsequently be called the basic structure of the dṛśyānupalabdhi.

AR claims as its purpose to present the essential foundation (*rūpaniṣṭhā*) of non-perception as it is dispersed in the Buddhist⁴⁴ *siddhānta* (AR verse 1). On the presently known historical background, this most likely refers to the situation that various examples for negative knowledge had been explained individually and in various places, mainly in treatises authored by Śāntarakṣita (ca. 725–788 CE), Dharmottara (ca. 740–800 CE), and Kamalaśīla (ca. 740–795 CE). For Jñānaśrīmitra, these accounts rely on a false, overly narrow interpretation of the basic structure against which he proposes his own. One of these various examples, the absence of sound in a particular place, serves as the starting point of SAC.⁴⁵

Both treatises discuss negative knowledge only within the framework of non-perception outlined by Dharmakīrti. Views of non-Buddhist traditions about absence and its knowledge are not expressly discussed. They are only rarely, and for the most part obliquely, invoked, for instance, when it is argued that Dharma-kīrti's rejection of the perceptibility of an absence in the Pramāṇaviniścaya was aimed at the Cārvāka's advocacy of absence as a separate – perceptible – entity;

⁴⁴ The intended *siddhānta* may also be that of a sub-group within Buddhism.

⁴⁵ AR discusses two main further topics which I can here only mention in passing: the process of negative knowledge for different types of persons (AR 5,1-9,1; cf. Kellner 1997b for further discussion), and the various sub-types of *anupalabdhi*, especially their inclusion in the most basic type called *svabhāvānupalabdhi*, cf. especially AR 11,4ff.

Dharmakīrti did not mean to deprive perception of all functions in the cognitive process which results in the ascertainment of an absence (AR 8,1-7).

The only identifiable citations in AR and SAC come from the works of Dharmakīrti. ⁴⁶ The views which Jñānaśrī criticises, and which can be traced to the works of Śāntarakṣita, Dharmottara, and Kamalaśīla, are not given in quotations or paraphrases from other texts, but are summarily presented in Jñānaśrīmitra's own words, with only occasional incorporation of material from other works on a comparably small scale.

The essential foundation of non-perception is articulated in the half-verse AR 2ab:

vedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamatah syād vā na vā tasya dhīr iṣṭā no 'nupalabdhir anyavirahajñānasthiter āśrayah |

"That is to say, when a certain [object a] is cognised [through perception and] a certain [other object b] would [either] necessarily be cognised [through perception] or would [necessarily] not be [perceived], [then] we assume the [perceptual] cognition of this [object a] to be non-perception, the basis for the firm establishment (sthiti) of the [determinative] cognition of the absence of the other [object b]."

The main clause restates two of the three key features of non-perception mentioned above: the identification of non-perception with the perception of another entity and the fact that it establishes *abhāvavyavahāra*. Of interest is the association of *abhāvavyavahāra* with a certain stability or firmness (*sthiti*, *sthairya*) – it is not only an ascertainment of absence, but a stable or firm ascertainment.⁴⁷

The relative clause imposes restrictions on the pairs of entities to which nonperception applies. While the text uses general terms for cognition and the factors

⁴⁶ Cf. Appendix II for a list of textual materials related to AR and SAC.

⁴⁷ See also AR 9,5f.

involved in it, such as vedye, mati, $dh\bar{\iota}$, and upalabdhi, it is clear from the context that the author specifically has perception in mind. The two alternatives expressed in the relative clause can thus be paraphrased as follows:

- one object is actually perceived [in the situation in question] and another would necessarily be perceived [if it existed]
- one object is actually perceived [in the situation] and another is necessarily not perceived

The second, negative alternative accounts for the so-called *viruddhopalabdhi*-subtypes of non-perception. If two entities such as hot and cold temperature are incompatible, they cannot be co-instantiated. If therefore the one is actually perceived, the other is necessarily not perceived, i.e. it cannot be perceived (AR 9,7-19).

The first, affirmative alternative accounts for the *dṛśyānupalabdhi* as the most basic type of non-perception, commonly exemplified with the non-perception of a jar on a spot of ground. It is in its exposition that we encounter the distinctive features of Jñānaśrīmitra's theory of and approach to negative knowledge.

The principle that, when one entity is perceived, the other would necessarily be perceived, is a more explicit articulation of the restriction of non-perception to perceptibles – more explicit because it mentions the second, actually existing entity. Indeed, Jñānaśrī says that the expression *niyamataḥ syāt* rests on the notion of perceptibility (AR 1,12-15). Later in AR and SAC, this restriction is complemented by a further principle: if both entities are present, no perception arises which is limited to the form of one, for this is impossible. In SAC 9,1ff., this principle is used to define a relationship called *pratiyoga*, "counter-correlation", obtaining between two entities called *pratiyogin*. For

⁴⁸ AR 2,14; SAC 9,3ff.

two such counter-correlates, the perception of the one does not deviate from that of the other (*anyonyapratyakṣāvyabhicāra*, SAC 10,4).

The stipulation of a relationship called *pratiyoga*, as well as the use of the term *pratiyogin* for the actually existing and perceived entity, is highly unusual and not attested outside Jñānaśrīmitra's works. In Navya-Nyāya literature on negation, as well as in grammatical literature and even in the works of Dharmakīrti, the use of *pratiyogin* for the absentee is well attested, but not its use also for the entity that actually exists in a situation where the absentee is determined as absent and that restricts the determination of the latter's absence.⁴⁹

Aside from this terminological idiosyncrasy, both principles are taken over from Dharmakīrti's Hetubindu,⁵⁰ where they are put forward in connection with the concept *ekajñānasaṃsarga*, the mixing, or joining, of two entities in one perceptual cognition on the basis of their identical capacity to produce a perception. This notion is always understood to entail that both entities are objects of the same type of sensory perception, usually visual perception, and that they are consequently grasped through the same sense-faculty – in short, that they are same-sense objects.

Before Jñānaśrīmitra, it was assumed at least implicitly that the *dṛśyānupa-labdhi* is limited to pairs of same-sense objects, exclusively discussed in connection with visual perception. In Dharmottara's works, this is made explicit because the other perception is assigned a specific role: it ascertains the completeness of the additional causes for the perception of the absent entity. When

⁴⁹ See Ingalls 1951, Matilal 1968:52-61, HB 22,9, 24,20, and PV 4.193.

⁵⁰ HB 22,2-7, corrected according to HB ms: yatra <u>yasminn upalabhyamāne niyamena yadupalabdhir bhavati</u>, yogyatāyā aviśeṣāt, sa tatsaṃṣṛṣṭaḥ, ekajñānasaṃṣargāt. <u>tayoh sator naikarūpaniyatā pratipattih</u>, <u>asambhavāt</u>. tasmād aviśiṣṭayogyatārūpayor ekajñānasaṃṣargiṇoḥ parasparāpekṣam evānyatvam ihābhipretam, pratyāsatter āśrayaṇāt. The first underlined sentence is the model for the phrase vedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamataḥ syāt in AR 2a. For yadupalabdhir HB ms 17a3, Steinkellner reconstructed yasyopalabdhir.

the absence of a visible object is to be determined, the healthy state of my faculty of vision can be proven only when another object is actually seen.⁵¹

However, cases were also discussed where the limitation of non-perception to same-sense objects does not hold, but where an explanation through non-perception was nevertheless called for. Two such cases are mentioned in Śāntarak-sita's Tattvasangraha. The first is the absence of the moon in the sky, which is also briefly mentioned in AR 2,10f. as the absence of some – visible – object in the sky. Here the problem is that the ether is, by Buddhist standards, not an existing and perceptible entity. In the Tattvasangraha and in Kamala-sīla's Tattvasangrahapañjikā, it is therefore suggested that the sky is a mass of light and darkness, an interpretation which the late tenth/early eleventh century commentator Durvekamiśra attributes to Sautrāntikas (DhP 127,19). Conceived in this way, the sky can be considered as something real and as an object of vision. It can consequently be mixed in one perception with the moon, or with some other visual object, which is why the restriction of non-perception to same-sense objects is not violated. This account has also been incorporated into AR (2,11) and SAC (11,3).

The second case is the absence of sound in a certain place, which serves as the starting point of SAC. Here the problem is that sound is audible and the place is visible.

Śāntarakṣita and Kamalaśīla rely for their explanation on the causal relationship between sound and the auditory perception that it generates. If an auditory perception is not "perceived", i.e. is not brought to awareness through self-awareness (*svasaṃvedana*) which is an aspect of each mental event, then first the auditory perception is known to be absent; from this it is further inferred that its cause, sound, is also absent. This argument essentially relies on a "non-perception of an effect" (*kāryānupalabdhi*) as the reason, namely on the

⁵¹ See Kellner 1999 for further details.

 $^{^{52}}$ See Kellner 1997a:113ff. for Śāntarakṣita's and Kamalaśīla's treatment of these problems in TS 1686-1688 with TSP 585,23-587,12.

fact that there is no self-awareness of the auditory perception, i.e. the effect of sound. The argument is further made to accord with the basic structure of non-perception, i.e. the claim that non-perception is another perception: the non-awareness of the auditory perception is the awareness of the visual perception of the place. The basic structure is thus "internalised"; it is relocated from the realm of external objects of sensory perception to mental events as objects of svasamvedana. Kamalaśīla claims that this analysis as a kāryānupalabdhi in fact underlies every instance of a drśyānupalabdhi, implying that all paradigms of non-perception should be viewed in this manner.⁵³

Though this is not expressly discussed in the Tattvasangraha or the Tattvasangrahapañjikā, it further implies that the concept of *ekajñānasaṃsarga* is also, in a manner of speaking, internalised – the two entities involved are instances of perception, and these would have to be mixed in one perception, meaning *svasaṃvedana*. Such an internalised concept of *ekajñānasaṃsarga* is expressly involved in Dharmottara's account of the statement *pratyakṣaṃ kalpanāpodham*, "perception is devoid of conceptualisation", a part of Dharmakīrti's definition of perception. Dharmottara interprets this as an example of non-perception. He also subscribes to the *kāryānupalabdhi*-account of the absence of sound, though he does not provide any detailed explanations. 55

In SAC, Jñānaśrīmitra rejects both the internalisation of *ekajñānasaṃsarga* and the analysis of non-perception by way of a *kāryānupalabdhi*. The internalisation of *ekajñānasaṃsarga* is rejected – if I understand his arguments correctly – largely because it has damaging consequences for the very concept of *svasaṃvedana* itself. The *kāryānupalabdhi*-account is rejected mainly because it

⁵³ Cf. TSP 587,11f.

⁵⁴ See his commentary on PVin 1 52,5-8 (for which AR and SAC provide Sanskrit fragments, cf. Appendix II) in PVinŢ D Dze 67a1-68a3 = P Dze 79a2-80b1, especially D Dze 67b2-4 = P Dze 79b6-80a1.

⁵⁵ PVinȚ D Tshe 121b5 = P We 142b6f. on PVin 3.67: 'dis ni 'bras bu ma dmigs pa las sgra med par nes pa yan bśad pa yin no \parallel

⁵⁶ See SAC 8,6ff.

is inconclusive (*anaikāntikī*, SAC 3,2, cf. also 4,3 and 8,16): its proponents fail to show how in this case the soundness of the auditory sense is established. Not experiencing an auditory perception might be due to a bad sense of hearing just as it might be due to the absence of sound.

It is a peculiarity of the *kāryānupalabdhi* that it establishes the absence of a causal complex in its final phase, or, in other words, the absence of a capable cause. This is emphasised by Kamalaśīla in TSP 586,19-23. But stipulating the absence of capable sound as the object of proof, according to Jñānaśrīmitra, resembles the behaviour of a quack who, having found a presumed cure for an ailment ineffective, declares the sickness itself to be incurable instead of looking for another medicine (SAC 2,6ff.). What must be accounted for is the absence of sound per se. The word *sarva* in the title Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā is thus emphatic: "investigation of the absence of sound [in a particular place and at a particular time] in all respects [and not just in terms of its capability to produce an auditory perception]".⁵⁷

The question is therefore how in this case the auditory sense can be ascertained. Jñānaśrīmitra turns this into a general discussion of how to establish the completeness of the additional causal factors and advances a comprehensive typology (SAC 7,12ff.). The absent entity can in principle be an object of sensory perception or a mental event. In the case of a mental event, there are no additional causes to be established, as mental events are self-establishing. In the case of a sensory perception, Jñānaśrī differentiates two cases.

First, if the absent object consists in particular instances of colour-form, composed to macroscopic entities such as jars (cf. *ghaṭādisanniveśinas tu rūpaviśe-ṣāḥ*, SAC 7,15f.),⁵⁸ the perception relies on "attention" (*manaskāra*), i.e. a pre-

⁵⁷ Cf. SAC 2,9f.: sarvathā 'bhāva eva hi jijñāsite ... The example at issue had also been described as sarvaśabdaviveka by Śāntarakṣita and Kamalaśīla (TS 1688 and TSP thereon), and Jñānaśrīmitra's emphasis may well be an ironic twist on their terminology.

⁵⁸ For SAC 7,13-16 there is a philologically problematic marginal note, most likely a later supplement. See above p. 33 for a detailed discussion that also takes the meaning of this passage into account.

ceding mental event, on the faculty of vision, and on light. Light has the peculiarity of increasing and decreasing in dependence on external causes that are easy to grasp (bahihsulabhakāranādhīnopajanāpajanadharmaka, SAC 5,8f.). In order to know that there is enough light for me to see a jar I have to actually see some other object. When light is involved, therefore, the other perception must indeed be one of a same-sense object. This other perception has the additional benefit of ensuring that the sense of vision is intact (cf. indriyasādgunyajñāne 'pi sahā-yībhaviṣyati, SAC 5,10f.), but it is not essentially needed for this purpose in all cases of non-perception. According to Jñānaśrīmitra, the notion of ekajñānasam-sarga has been introduced in the Hetubindu because Dharmakīrti there discusses the example of the absent jar, but it is not meant as a general limitation of the basic structure of the dṛṣyānupalabdhi.

For other types of sensory perception, i.e. the perception of sound, smell, taste, touch, darkness and light (śabdagandharasasparśatamisrālokāḥ, SAC 7,14f.), a sense-faculty is required in addition to the mind. However, here, in contradistinction to jars, no light or otherwise purely externally conditioned factor is required as additional cause. It deserves to be noted that Jñānaśrīmitra draws a distinction between the visual perception of light and darkness, which apparently do not involve light as an additional cause, and the visual perception of macroscopic entities like jars, where light normally serves as an additional cause.

For these types of sensory objects, instances of sound, etc., the following conditions must be fulfilled (SAC 3,4-22): (a) the sense-faculty in question must have been operative in the past, (b) it still has the same state ($t\bar{a}davasthya$) now, meaning that its continuum ($sant\bar{a}na$) was not transformed by damaging states or events, such as an agitation of the bodily humours or a violent blow, and (c) the person is aware that the sense-faculty is in the same state as on earlier occasions of its activity. Causes for damage to the senses are either well-known (prasiddha) or easy to notice through secondary indicators (upalakṣaṇa). Either a change of the sense-faculty can be ruled out because well-known causes for it are absent or

the determination of such a change can be ruled out because no secondary indicators for these causes can be found. If it is argued that the senses might be damaged by such subtle causes as a bewitching on the part of powerful beings, then one might just as well distrust all sensory perceptions, for who is to say that these are not manipulated by evil spirits?

To sum up, the theoretical core of the *dṛśyānupalabdhi* according to Jñānaśrīmitra consists in the basic structure plus a more explicit version of the restriction to perceptibility: if both entities are present, it is impossible that only one is perceived. In addition, it is required that the completeness of the additional causes must be ascertained. Jñānaśrī rejects that the other perception provides for this ascertainment, and instead proposes individual methods depending on the type of causes involved. This allows him to apply the *dṛśyānupalabdhi* to a broad variety of cases, and, in a move perhaps unexpected in connection with negative knowledge, to go beyond the dominance of visual perception which so often characterises epistemological theories of sensory experience, not only in ancient India.

Viewed in historical context, Jñānaśrī's theory of non-perception as articulated in AR and SAC can be described as clarifying the explanatory function of key components in Dharmakīrti's outline: the other perception does not universally function to ascertain the sense-faculty, but rather serves as a cause for generating the ascertainment of absence. Earlier proposals to address certain problems are judged as *ad hoc* solutions with unfortunate consequences, and they come to be replaced by what from Jñānaśrī's viewpoint is a comprehensive epistemological account of negative knowledge.

SYMBOLS AND ABBREVIATIONS USED IN THE CRITICAL TEXT AND APPARATUS

More detailed explanations can be found in the introduction. The abbreviations for characterising textual relationships, which are used in the reference apparatus, are detailed on p. 38.

- [] in the critical apparatus: contain emendations added by Anantalal Thakur in J
- (x/y) in the critical apparatus: a reading of the manuscript is uncertain and may be either "x" or "y".
- { } contain deleted akṣaras or parts thereof. When the deleted akṣaras are no longer decipherable, their most likely number is added inside the braces. In case of deletion of an akṣara's vowel-sign or a part thereof, the vowel after deletion, which might also be an inherent a, is printed before the braces, and the vowel before deletion inside the braces. Example: "vya{ā}paka" means that the vertical stroke indicating "ā" was deleted. Deletions which are not carried out by deletion stroke and marked accordingly are usually performed by erasure, or by painting over of akṣaras. Deletions are for technical reasons marked in readings prior to correction (J_m^{AC}) or intervention (J_m^{AI}).
- ‡ deletion stroke placed above the following akṣara or daṇḍa, e.g. $pra‡\{vr\}tti$ means that the akṣara °vr° was deleted. Deletions are for technical reasons marked in readings prior to correction (J_m^{AC}) or intervention (J_m^{AI}) .
- « » contain text added in the margin. Additions are marked in readings subsequent to correction (J_m^{PC}) or intervention (J_m^{PI}) .
- in the critical text: beginning of a new page in J, shifted to the preceding word break if occurring within a word. The corresponding page number is printed in the outer margin.
- in the critical text: beginning of a new folio in J_m , shifted to the preceding word break if occurring within a word. The corresponding folio number is printed in the outer margin.
- supralinear insertion mark for a marginal note

 Θ string hole in the manuscript, only indicated in the critical apparatus

¶ line break in the manuscript, only indicated in the critical apparatus

two dots or short vertical strokes, one placed on top of the other, used as a place-filler before a string hole or at the end of a line in the manuscript

? at the beginning of a note in the critical apparatus: the reading and/or conjecture is uncertain, and no definite conclusion could be reached.

BM bottom margin

TM top margin

cf. confer

conj. conjecture, i.e. proposed correction

em. emendation, i.e. proposed correction with a higher degree of certainty than a conjecture

em. (?) emendation of questionable necessity. The manuscript may possibly contain the reading presented as emendation, prior or subsequent to a scribal correction, but no conclusion can be reached owing to uncertainties in the manuscript reading, e.g. illegibility of *akṣara*s or parts thereof, uncertainties regarding the scope of a deletion, or uncertainty as to whether an *avagraha* was not written or omitted.

ms a manuscript

 J_m reading according to the manuscript of the Jñānaśrīmitranibandhāvaliḥ, or the manuscript itself

 $J_{\rm m}^{\rm AC}$ reading of $J_{\rm m}$ prior to a scribal correction (ante correctionem)

 J_m^{PC} reading of J_m subsequent to a scribal correction (post correctionem)

 J_m^{AI} reading of J_m prior to a scribal intervention (*ante interventionem*); see above p. 31.

 J_m^{PI} reading of J_m subsequent to a scribal intervention (post interventionem); see above p. 31.

om. omitted

Th text of AR and SAC according to Anantalal Thakur's edition in J

ANUPALABDHIRAHASYA

10

siddhānte viprakīrṇasyānupalambhasya yādṛśī | rūpaniṣṭheha tādṛśyāḥ saṅgrahaḥ kriyate sphuṭaḥ || 1 ||

183

2b7

pratiṣedhe sādhye 'nupalabdhis tṛtīyam lingam.* tac ca yady upalabdhivirahamātram, tat akatham kasyacit pratipattih pratipattihetur vā, tasyāpi vā katham pratipattih? btasmād abhakṣyādivat paryudāsavṛttyā 'nyopalabdhir evānupalabdhih.

tatrāpi yady anyamātrasya, tadaikasya kasyacid upalabdhau sarvasya niṣedhaprasaṅgaḥ. iṣyata eva tādātmyaniṣedhaḥ sarvasyeti cet, taddeśaniṣedho 'py anivāryaḥ. tad ayaṃ viśeṣaḥ:

cdvedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamataḥ * syādd vā na vā tasya dhīr iṣṭā no 'nupalabdhir anyavirahajñānasthiter āśrayaḥ |c 2ab

3a1

°yatra¹ vedyamāne yasya matiḥ syād° iti deśakālasvabhāvaviprakarṣiṇāṃ² niṣedhaḥ, °niyamena° iti upalambhakāraṇāntaravaikalyasya.³ etena dṛśyaviśeṣaṇaprāptasyābhāvajñānasthitir⁴ iti darśitam. ato na 15 sarvasya niṣedhaprasaṅgaḥ.

°yatra ca vedyamāne yanmatir niyamena syāt, tasyaiva dhīr iṣṭā 'nyasya dvitīyasyābhāvaniścayaprabandhākṣepāya⁵ kṣamā° iti.

^a Ce'e HB 25,12-14 (cf. J 102,14f., HBṬ 176,16f., R 28,25f., R 105,8f, TBh 11,5f.)

^b Ce'e HB 21,21f. ^c See Appendix I. ^d Ce'e HB 22,2 ^e Pv AR 2ab

¹ For yatra J_m see [yatra] Th.

 $^{^2}$ For °viprakarşiņā
m $J_{\rm m}$ see °viprakarşāņām Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. $^\circ$ kāraṇāntaravaikalyasya (cf. VN 5,1f.) : $^\circ$ kārāntaravaikalyasya J $_{\rm m}$. See kāra[kā]ntaravaikalyasya Th.

 $^{^4}$ em. abhāvajñānasthitir (cf. °virahajñānasthiter AR 2ab) : bhāvajñānasthitir $\rm J_m$ (Th).

 $^{^5}$ em. prabandhākṣepāya (Th) : prabandhāpekṣepāya J_m . Did the scribe conflate °ākṣepāya with °apekṣayā?

etena¹ na * virahamātram, paryudāsavṛttyā tv anyaviśeṣabuddhir ity uktam, atra ca tasyaivaikākina iti sāmarthyāt, anyathetarasyāniṣidhopalabdher abhāvāsiddheh. tad yathā: neha ghaṭa upalabhyasyānupalabdheh, yathā kvacit kiñcid iti. tatra dṛśyasya iti svabhāvaviśeṣa upalambhapratyayāntarasākalyam coktam draṣṭavyam. anupalabdher 5 iti bpratiyogina upalabdherb ity arthaḥ.

tac ca pratiyogīhety ādhāratāprāptam² bhūtalam, anyad vaikendriyajñānasaṃsargi,* tadanyo vā, 'yasmin vedyamāne niṣedhya upalabhyam eva' iti sāmānyokteḥ. tatra, yadi bhūbhāga upalabhya eva kumbhasambhavaḥ sādhyaḥ, tadā sa eva pratiyogī. kim anyāpekṣayā? yadā 10
tu nabhasi, tadā 'pi tad evālokasañjñitam. anyo vā ghaṭādir aniyatasthitiḥ. spṛśyaniṣedhe tv ayam eva. tetenaikajñānasaṃsargī pratiyogī
vyākhyātaḥ, kvacit punar anyathā 'pi, yathā pradīpaṃ kavalayitur³ neha
traso dṛśyānupalabdher iti sparśa eva pratiyogī. tayor hi sator naikarūpaniyatā pratipattiḥ, tapānakavat. dūrād rūpadarśane 'pi tarhi katham 15
rasaniṣedho na bhavati? deśaviprakarṣiṇa upalambhayogyatāyā abhāvāt, prāpyakāritvād ghrāṇarasanasparśanānām. uktaṃ ca: 'yasmin vedyamāne yad avaśyaṃ vedyata eva' iti. nāpi rasānubhave rūpaniṣedhaḥ,
tadā 'pi tallaksanāyogāt.

c Pv AR 2ab

^a Ce'e PVSV 5,16f. ^b Pv AR 12,10

 $^{^{\}rm d}$ Ce'e HB 22,4f., P AR 10,5, SAC 9,3f.

 $^{^{1}}$ ete«na»¶ na J_{m}^{PC} (Th) : ete¶na J_{m}^{AC} .

² For pratiyogīhety ādhāratāprāptam J_m see pratiyogī hetvādhāraprāptam Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. kavalayitur (Th, corrigenda p. 643) : kavalayatur $\rm J_m$.

 $^{^4}$ em. pratipatti
h $(\mathit{cf}.$ HB 22,5) : pratisatti h $J_{\rm m}.$ See pratyāsatti h
 Th.

3a6

3a7

3b1

evam * śabdopalabdhyā¹ 'pi na rūpaniṣedhaḥ, samandhakāre hi sato 'pi rūpasya jñānāvyabhicāriṇī na śabdavittiḥ. āloke tu na tāvad dṛśyamānasyaiva niṣedhaḥ. adṛṣṭasya tu yogyasya niṣedhe 'pi na doṣaḥ, tallakṣaṇātyāgāt. etena devakulādau paridṛśyamāne taddeśabheryādiravābhāvasiddhir² nāvaśyaṃ śabdāntarapratītisāpekṣeti³ darśitam. na tayoḥ sahapratītiniyama iti cet,⁴ * bhūbhāgakumbhayor api naivam. ubhayos tu sator ekarūpapratītiniyamavirahaḥ pratyakṣasya tatrāpi samānaḥ. ekajñānam anyagrahāvinābhūtaṃ vā 'nyagrāhijñānāntarāvinābhūtaṃ veti na viśeṣaḥ, evam ekendriyajam⁵ anyad vā. santamase 'vavarake 'pi dīpābhāvas tadākāravirahiņo jñānāntarād antato vikalpād api, yadi parasamayasyānuvartanam. 6 vastutas tu vastusann evāndhakāra ekajñānasamsargī pradīpasya * sambhavatīti kim anyena?

nanu svabhāvaviśeṣe 'pi pratyayāntarasannidhir upalabdhiyogyatā. sa ca bhinnendriyajñānanimittam bhavann api bhinnendriyajñānapratyayaniścaye 'nupayuktaḥ. tataś⁷ cānyasya pratyayāntarasannidhisaṃśaye niṣedhaḥ kriyamāṇo 'ntato vikalpād api niyatākārāt. kathaṃ dṛśyasya kṛtaḥ syāt?

ucyate: na khalu⁸ pratyayāntarasannidhisiddhinibandhanam samānendriyajñānam⁹ eva, kiṃ tu *pañcaskandhalakṣaṇasyātmabhāvasyā-

 $^{^1}$ For śabdopalabdhy
ā \mathbf{J}_{m} see śabdopalabdhāv Th.

 $^{^2}$ °bheryādira«vā»bhāvasiddhir J_m^{PC} (TM) (cf. SAC 2,14; 11,4) : °bheryādirabhāvasiddhir J_m^{AC} . See °bheryāder evābhāvasiddhiḥ Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. śabdāntara
pratītisāpekṣeti (Th) : śabdāntarasapratītisāpekṣeti $\rm J_m$

⁴ em. cet: ceti J_m (Th).

⁵ em. ekendriyajam (Th): ekandriyajam J_m.

 $^{^6}$ For yadi parasamayasyānuvarttanam J_m see yadi param[astu samānam] Th (cf. p. 20).

⁷ em. tataś (Th) : tasyataś J_m.

 $^{^8}$ For katham dṛśyasya kṛtaḥ syāt | ucyate | na khalu $\rm J_m$ see katham dṛśyasya ...? [na hi bhavati] Th (cf.p. 20).

 $^{^9}$ em. (?) °nibandhanam samāne° (Th) : °nibandhanasamāne° J_m . A very faint shadow above °na° could be a trace of an anusvāra.

20

vasthāviśesasamvedanam api, yadā nendriyasvabhāvaviśesābhyām aparah prakāśako 'peksyate, yathā śabdapradīpādau.

tatra hi kiñcit śrutadrstapūrviņas tadavasthendriyapraņidhividuso1 yatah kutaścit tattadākāravirahino² jñānāt tadabhāvasiddhih kena vāryate?

kas tarhi jñānāntaraparigrahasyopayogah,3 taddaśāsamvido jñānā- 5 nutpādād eva tadabhāvasiddheh? katham vā bhinnavisayendriyajñānād зь2 * anyanisedha iti?

uktam atra: anābhāvasya kvacit sāmarthyama ityādi. tato na jñānā-185 ntaram indriyasādgunyaniścayāya samāśrīyate, kim tu + pratiyogivirahavikalpajananāya. tatra yathā samānendriyasamsargijñānam anyā- 10 bhāvaniścayasamartham, tathā 'nyad api sati sattve 'vyabhicaritavyārthāntarajñānākāravirahīti4 na kaścid viśesah.

katham iti cet, nātrecchānicchayoh sāmarthyam. yasya tu * yadanvayavyatirekānukārah, tayor hetuphalabhāvābhyupagamah. 5 yathā bhūtalaikākāraniyamāt tajjñānam⁶ anyanisedhavikalpenānukrtānvaya- 15 vyatirekam, tathā pradīpam ānane ksipatah sparśākāramātraniyamād rasābhāvajñānena tajjñānam. na hy anayor utpattau vyavadhānāvyavadhānavibhāgah. tathā 'nupādhike payasi pranihitaghrānasyāsparśanadarśanasyāpi * vikalpa eva gandhāntaram⁷ ananubhavato 'pi gandhābhāvajñānena8 tadākāraśūnyah.

^aCe?

3b3

3b4

¹ em. (?) °pranidhividuso : pranidh(a/i)viduso J_m^{PC} : pranidha{ī}viduso J_m^{AC} (Th), but perhaps deletion of only the vowel length.

 $^{^2}$ For kutaścit tattadākāravirahiņo $\rm J_m$ see kutaścit tu tadākāravirahiņo Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. (?) $^\circ$ parigrahasyopayogah (Th) : parigrahasyopagah J_m . The tack right above °syo° might hide a correction.

⁴ 'vyabhicaritavyārthāntarajñānākāravirahi J_m^{PC}: 'vyabhicarita{ā}vyārthāntarajñānākāravirahi J_m^{AC}. See avyabhicaritavyo 'rthāntarajñānākāravirahi Th.

 $^{^5}$ Forhetuphalabhāvābhyupagamah $\rm J_m$ seehetuphalabhāvādyupagamah Th.

⁶ For tajjñānam J_m see tu jñānam Th.

⁷ eva gandhāntaram J_m^{PC} (Th): eva{āndha}gandhāntaram J_m^{AC} .

laingikam tad iti cet, na, vyavadhānābhāvād abhyāsadaśāvataḥ. yatpratipattāv eva hi yanniścayaḥ, na sa laingikaḥ, pratyakṣasiddho vā, yathā nīlam na pītam¹ iti. asti ca sannihite² payasi ghrāṇapraṇidhānamātrākāravikalpavato nāsti gandha iti niścayaḥ. tatra * gandhajñānābhāvamātrasyāsādhanatve yadi niyatākāravikalpānubhavo na hetuḥ, pītābhāvajñānasyāpi mā bhūn nīlānubhavah.

3b5

atra vā pratyakṣapauruṣam āmanato duṣpariharaṃ paratrāpi. tad eva ca pratyakṣam ekasya niṣedhyāpekṣayā 'nupalabdhir ucyate, yathā tathoktam. tac ca mūḍhaṃ pratipattāram adhikṛtya pūrvasamayānusā10 reṇa dharmiviśeṣe 'bhāvaṃ * niścāyayal liṅgam. amūḍhasya punar abhyāsābhāvavato³ 'nantarasaṃśayavinākṛtaḥ sthira evāsau niścaya iti pratyaksapravartita ucyate.

3b6

nanu na pratyakṣakṛtaḥ kaścid abhāvavyavahāraḥ. nāpi pratyakṣam arthābhāvaniścayena phalavad uktam, kiṃ tu jñānābhāvaniścayenaiva.

taddvāreṇaiva tv arthābhāvaniścayam antarbhāvayatīti pratyakṣakṛtaḥ sa ucyate. sa ca tatsāmarthyajanmā 'py anantaraṃ saṃśayena bhavatā * paribhūyamāno mūḍhaṃ prati laiṅgikaḥ. na hi jñānasyeva prakāśarūpaniyamo 'rthasyety adṛṣṭasyāpi sthiter avirodhāt, dṛśyānupalabdhiḥ śaraṇam. amūḍhasya tu vyāpakānupalabdhiḥ, * adṛśyasattāyā 5 darśanaviṣayatvena vyāpteḥ. a etadvivecanāsāmarthyāt tu prācyo mūḍha ity eke.

3b7

^a Ce'/Ce'e PVinȚ D Dze 24b5f.=P Dze 27b5

 $^{^{1}}$ em. pītam (Th) : pītim J_{m} .

² em. sannihite (Th): sinnihite J_m.

 $^{^{3}}$ em. (?) abhyāsābhāvavato : a«bhyās(a/ā)»bhāvavato J_{m}^{PC} (TM) : abhāvavato J_{m}^{AC} (Th).

 $^{^4}$ vyāpakānupalabdhih J_m^{PC} (Th): vyāpa $\{\bar{a}\}$ kānupalabdhih J_m^{AC} .

 $^{^5}$ Fordṛśyasattāyā $J_{\rm m}$ seedṛśyasattāyāṃ Th.

4a2

tad ayuktam. yathā hi jñānam aprakāśam anavasthāyi, tathā 'dṛśyam bahir apīti tadvad eva * pratyaksenānivāryavirahavyavahāram.

kevalam jātyaiva jñānam dṛśyam, bahis tu kiñcit kathañcit kadācit. tatrābhyāsaviśeṣavataḥ pratipattur jñānāntarasāmānya¹ iva bāhyaviśeṣe 'pi pratyakṣamātrād eva jhag iti niṣedhasiddhir asakṛd anubhūyamānā 5 katham apahnotum śakyā?

†tattvato 'numānasambhavād iti cet, abhimatayogyatāniścayo 'pi na pratyakṣakṛtaḥ² syād iti yat kiñcid etat.

na ca yathoktaḥ saṃśayo bahiradhikāreṇaiva.* atad ayam asaṃṣṛṣṭa-vikalpo vā pratyakṣo darśanātmā, dṛśyātmano vā vikalpasya darśane 10 'dṛṣṭir vikalpakalpanām indriyajñāne pratihantia ityāder avācyatāprasaṅgāt. tasmāj jñāne 'pi kadācid anyajñānasya vimarśaḥ. arthe 'py anullikhitāntarāsaṃśayo niścaya iti daśāvibhāgena pratyakṣasyetarasya vā sāmarthyasthitih, na tu jātivibhāgena.

nanv bekopalambhānubhavād ityādinā jñānāntaraniṣedha eva sām- 15 arthyam uktam. anubhavaś caivam * eveti cet, na, yathā hi

^a Ce PVin 1 14,11-13; P (partly) SAC 6,25-7,1 ^b Ce PV 4.270a or PVin 3.45a

 $^{^{1}}$ jñānāntarasāmānya J_{m}^{PC} (Th) : jñānāntara{ \ddagger vi}sāmānya J_{m}^{AC} .

² For na pratyaksakrtah J_m see pratyaksakrtah Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. pratyakşo darśanātmā (cf. PVin 1 mss A, B, C, PVin_t mnon sum yin pa) : darśanātmā J_m (Th).

4a5

^aidam nopalabha^a iti pratyakṣād anubhūyate, tathedam nāstīty api jhag ity evābhyāsavataḥ. anabhyāsavatas tu¹ jñānābhāva eva niścayaḥ. taddvāreṇa tv arthābhāva iti tadapekṣayā śāstre tathābhidhānam. evam ekajñānasaṃsargiyatnādayo 'pi vācyāḥ.

ata eva byyavasyantīkṣaṇād eva sarvākārān mahādhiyab ity uktam. mṛduprajñādhikāreṇa * ca sthānasthāneṣu yatnaḥ. tasmāt paṭudhiyaḥ pratyaksād eva manirūpyādivivekavat tannisedhavyavahāro 'pi durnivārah.

°na cābhāvo nāma vigrahavān, yenānyavyatirekiņā² rūpeņa sākṣāt-kartavyaḥ, kiṃ tu vyavahartavyaḥ.° sa caikākāraniyamāt paṭunā vyava10 hartum adhyakṣeṇa śakya eva. yaḥ punar apaṭur āpātataḥ pravṛtte 'py abhāvaniścaye saṃśete,* sa dṛśyasya³ anupalambhamātram anusṛtya tadvyavahāraṃ pravartayati. yas tu tato 'py apaṭuḥ sa dṛśyasyānupa-lambhe⁴ 'pi sandihāno vyāpakānupalambhena pravartate. na punar avyāmūḍhasyānena pravṛttiḥ. pratyakṣāpāṭava eva hy anumānaṃ prā15 rthyate. tatpāṭavaṃ ca vikalpajananenāvasthāpyate, paṭutaratvaṃ ca, tatra vimarśābhāvāt.

^a Ce PV 4.270b or PVin 3.45b
^b Ce PV 3.107cd, quoted also in J 336,14, R 12,23.

^c Ce'e? MBhP 31,4-6, NVTŢ 514,8f., NKaṇ 51,30; Ci'e? R 89,12f., 91,18f.; Pv SAC 10,6f.

 $^{^{1}}$ anabhyāsavatas tu $J_{m}^{\ PC}$ (Th) : anabhyāsava{stu}tastu $J_{m}^{\ AC}$.

² For anyavyatirekiṇā J_m see anvayavyatirekiṇā Th. An emendation to anvaya° is possible in terms of content, but anya° represents a lectio difficilor, and a scribal lapse from °nvaya° to °nya° cannot be easily explained.

³ em. sa drśyasya : sadrśasya J_m. See sādrśyam asya Th.

 $^{^4}$ For sa drśy
asyānupalambhe $J_{\rm m}$ see sa drśyānupalambhe Th.

4a6 <u>cārvākam</u> tu pṛthagabhāvam * anumanyamānam eva¹ prati pratyakṣasya niṣedhe² 'vyāpāro darśitaḥ, arthasāmarthyāpekṣādyukteḥ. an cānumānasyeva pratyakṣasyāpi niścayavaśād grahaṇavyavasthā, kiṃ tu pratibhāsavaśāt. niyatākārataiva ca tasya tadanyābhāvabhāsanam. tasmād † duṣpariharaḥ pratyakṣasyābhāvavyavahāraśaktisambhavaḥ. 5 bratiṣedhas tu sarvatrānupalambhādb iti tu na pratyakṣapratikṣepaḥ,
 4a7 kim tu * lingāntarasya, cdvāv eva vidhisādhanāvc iti yathā.

tad ayam ambhasi gandhanişedhaḥ pratyakşakṛta evāvyavadher⁴ na laingikaḥ.

kiṃ cātra liṅgaṃ gandhābhāvāvyabhicāri? jñānakāryānupalabdhir 10 iti cet, yadā svagrāhijñānaṃ kāryahetuḥ, tadedaṃ yuktam api. yadā tu pratyakṣam eva tat, tadā pratyakṣayogyasya tannivṛttiḥ. yadi na, dṛś-yānupalabdhir ghaṭasyāpi mā bhūt. tad idaṃ pratiyogijñānarūpatālo-canavañcanāphalam eva. ayaṃ ca vādī yadīndriyasādguṇyam aniś-cinvann etad āha, tadā sann api gandhādir nopalabhyata ity āyātam. 15 atha niścinvann alaṃ kāryānupalabdhyeti draṣṭavyam. parokṣasambhā-vite ca kāranādau kāryādyanupalabdhim yatnena yojayati. samprati ca

^a Ce/Cee PVin 1 5,6.
^b Cee PV 3.85ab
^c Cee PVSV 2,19, PVin 2 56,9, NB 2.18; Pv AR 11,5

 $^{^1}$ For cārvākan tu ... anumanyamānam eva J_m^{AC} see cārvākeņa tu ... anumanyamānena Th. There is an illegible remark in the right top margin, and very faint insertion marks are visible above °rvākantu°. A marginal note below °mānameva° in the left bottom margin reads 'nena 6, suggesting an intended correction of anumanyamānam eva to anumanyamānena, perhaps in combination with a change of cārvākan tu to cārvākeņa tu. However, the text after modification is both syntactically impossible and semantically inappropriate (cf. the notes in the translation).

 $^{^2}$ For pratyakṣasya niṣedhe $\rm J_m$ see pratyakṣaniṣedhe Th.

 $^{^3}$ °pekṣā° J_m (Th) : °pekṣāṇā° PVin mss A, B, C. Scribal error/transmission variant?

⁴ For avyavadher J_m see avyavadhair Th.

 $^{^{5}}$ gandhābhāvavyabhicāri J_{m}^{PC} (Th) : gandhābhāvavya $\{\ddagger$ va $\}$ bhicāri J_{m}^{AC} .

 $^{^6}$ em. ghaṭasyāpi (Th) : ghaṭasya«pi» $\rm J_m^{\, PC}$ (BM 7) : ghaṭasya $\rm J_m^{\, AC}.$

4b3

doşaleśabhayāt pratyakṣasambhāvite 'pi saṃśeta ity¹ asambaddham.

tasmāt sthitam etat: ^{ab}yasmin vedyamāne yad avaśyam vedyata eva, tasyābhinnendriyagrāhyasyānyasya vā 'nindriyagrāhyasya * vā buddhir anupalabdhiḥ. ^{ab} sā ca vyavahāram eva sādhayati, anyathā pratyakṣa-siddheḥ. tadartham ca 'virahajñānasya sthiteḥ' sthairyasya 'āśraya' ity uktam, na tu virahaniścayasyeti.

yathā ca niyatasahopalambhayor ekajñānam anyaniṣedhāya, tathā niyatāsahopalambhayor apīty² āha 'na vā' iti. avedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamato na syāda ity arthaḥ, yathā nīlam idam anīlam na bhavatīti.

10 atrāpi vyavahāra * eva sādhyaḥ. etena parasparaparihārasthitilakṣaṇo virodho vyākhyātah.

nanu ^ayasmin vedyamāne³ yanmatir niyamena bhavitum sambhāvyata ity anenaiva gatam etat. adṛśyo 'pi hi tādātmyena niṣedhyamāno dṛśyatayā sambhāvita eva niṣidhyate. anyathā dṛśyādṛśyatayā svayam eva bhedābhyupagamād iti kim anena pṛthagvacaneneti cet, evam tarhi ^cna vā ^{*} syād^c ity ayam abhāvaḥ pradhvamsalakṣaṇo vyākhyāyate. pravṛttā 'pi ^ayanmatir yatra vedye sati niyamena nivartata^a ity arthaḥ. ^cniyamaś^c ca sattābādhane 'bhāva eva yuktaḥ, yathā neha śītam agner iti. etena sahānavasthānalakṣaṇo virodho vyākhyātaḥ.

^a Pv AR 2ab ^b Ci'e TR 91,22-92,1 ^c P AR 2ab

 $^{^1}$ saṃśeta iti J_m^{PC} (TM 1) (Th) : saṃśayatīti J_m^{AC} . The scribe wrongly associated yojayati in the preceding sentence whose construction is very similar: parokṣasaṃbhāvite ... yojayati ... pratyakṣasambhāvite 'pi saṃśayati.

 $^{^2}$ For apīty J_m see ity Th.

 $^{^3}$ For yasmin vedyamāne J_m see yasminn avedyamāne Th.

10

188

nanv andhakāre niyamenānupalambha iti nāvaśyam viruddhapari- 1 graha iti cet, naikapratipattrapekṣam lakṣaṇam * etat, kim tu sāmānye- na. asti ca nirālokasyāpi rūpasya darśanam kaiścit. tenāpi vā sambhāv- yam añjanaviśesādineti sattocchede tu niyama evety adoṣaḥ.

nanv atrāpi śītaṃ yadi syāt, anāgner ekarūpaniyatā pratipattira iti 5 kathaṃ pūrvato viśeṣaḥ? naivam, sparśanāgamye niṣedhasyābhimatatvāt. tarhi pravṛttā 'pi¹ śītasya buddhir bagnau vedye sati niyamān nivṛttāb iti * pratyetum aśakyam. na,² anyadaitatpratīter + vivakṣitatvāt.

tarhi prāggṛhītavirodhasmaraṇavyavahitavyāpāratvān na pratyakṣasya pravṛttito 'bhāvaniścayaḥ syāt.

mā bhūt! kevalam vyavadhāne 'pi tathāviruddhabuddhir³ evābhāvaniścayāṅgam nānyamātrasyety anupalabdhivyavasthānibandhanasya na kṣatiḥ.

na ca vyavahāramātrasādhanam atrāpi saṃmatam ity āha °sāpekṣā tu

4b7 parā smṛtāv ata ihābhāvo 'pi * sādhyaḥ.º tatsiddhau ca tanniścayasthiti
lakṣaṇo⁴ vyavahāro 'pi na punas tanmātram ity arthaḥ. tathā °hetuvyāpakanihnave° 'bhāvo 'pi sādhya iti vartate. yathā neha dhūmo 'gner
abhāvāt. neha śiṃśapā vṛkṣābhāvāt. na hy atrāgnivṛkṣayoḥ pratiyogipra-

^a Ce'e HB 22,4f.; Pv AR 2,14f., SAC 9,3f. b Pv AR 2ab c Ce'?

¹ For pravrttāpi J_m (cf. pravrttā 'pi above AR 9,17) see pravrttāv api Th.

 $^{^2}$? aśakyanna $|\,J_m,\,$ aśakyam na [viruddham] Th. No insertion-marks are visible. A remark that may read viruddha(m?) 6 is written approx. one centimetre to the right of aśakyanna in the bottom margin. However, this would more likely be a gloss on etat in etatpratīter, for there is a faint insertion-mark on top of $^\circ ta^\circ.$

 $^{^3}$ conj. viruddhabuddhir (vi[ruddha]buddhir Th): vibuddhir J_m . A possible correction in the lower margin may be covered by the adjacent folio.

 $^{^4}$ em. °sthitilakṣaṇo (cf. AR 2ab ... anyavirahajñānasthiter ..., AR 9,5 ... virahajñānasya sthiteḥ ...) (Th) : °sthitalakṣaṇo J_m .

tyakṣamātram pūrvapravṛttatādātmyatadutpattisambandhabodhasmaraṇanirapekṣam dhūmaśiṃśapayor abhāvaniścayam prasavati. tato 'trāpy¹ abhāvasādhanavyavahārasiddhiḥ.*

5a1

ta etarhi nişedhahetavaḥ. svabhāvānupalabdhiḥ kāraṇānupalabdhir vyāpakānupalabdhiś ceti. tathā avidhisādhanau dvāva iti pañcahetavaḥ prasaktāḥ. na, anupalabdhisāmānyāśrayād ekatvāt. api ca bcanupalabdhiḥ² svasyāparasyāparā.c kāraṇavyāpakayoḥ svabhāvānupalabdhir evāparasya kāryasya vyāpyasya vā 'parā kāraṇānupalabdhir vyāpakānupalabdhiś cocyate.b

yathā hy * anyapratyakṣam evānyasyānupalabdhiḥ, tathā kasyacit svabhāvānupalabdhir eva kāryavyāpyāpekṣayā kāraṇavyāpakānupalabdhir ucyata iti na vyapadeśabhedād vastubhedaḥ. tasmāt svabhāvānupalabdher eva niṣedhaḥ sarvasyeti trayam eva liṅgam.

etena kāryasya svabhāvānupalabdhir eva samarthakāraṇasya kāryā
nupalabdhir upalakṣitā draṣṭavyā. dhetudśabdasya vā liṅgārthasya vyākhyānāt, yathā enehāpratibaddhasāmarthyāni dhūmakāraṇāni santi dhūmābhāvāditi.

5a3

5a2

^a Cee PVSV 2,19, PVin 2 56,9, NB 2.18; **Pv** AR 8,7
^b Ci'e TR 95,17-19

¹ For tato 'trāpy J_m see tatrāpy Th.

² conj. anupalabdhiḥ (Th): anulapala(bh/t)ā J_m (for °(bh/t)ā° cf. also AR 12,2 and TR!). The nonsensical insertion of °la° after °anu° must be the result of eyeskip. Thakur's conjecture to anupalabdhiḥ, which is also suggested in Yaita 1993:87 for TR, is the best guess considering that a feminine noun is syntactically required. The suggestion anupalabdhatā by Acharya Paramanandan Shastri, the editor of TR (reproduced in Bühnemann 1983:186), introduces an abstract suffix that is out of place in context. The assumed change of anupalabdhiḥ to anupalabhā, however, cannot be easily explained.

In $J_m, \it the\ phrase\ anulapala(bh/t)\bar a\ svasy\bar aparasy\bar apar \bar a\ \it is\ enclosed\ by\ \it short\ dandas.$

³ For vā J_m see ca Th.

5a6

katham tarhi nātra tusārasparśo 'gner iti vyāpakaviruddhopalabdhisangrahah? atrāpy anupalabdhih svasyāparasyāparā. at vyāpakasya hi śītasya viruddhadahanopalabdhirūpā yā svabhāvānupalabdhih, sā vyāpyasya tusārasparśasya vyāpakaviruddhopalabdhir ucyata iti na 5a4 dosah, yady api ca vyāpakānupalabdhir api * sā vaktum śakyā, tathā 'pi 5 viruddhapratiyogipratītirūpatāpratipādanārtham tathāvyapadeśah. evam kāranaviruddhopalabdhvādayo² vyākhvevāh. sarvatrābhāva eva sādhyo 'dhyaksenāsiddheh, taddvārakas tu vyavahāra iti.

nanu viruddhopalabdhyādau katham anupalabdhivyavahārah? uktam atra ^bpratiyogyupalabdhir evānupalabdhih. ^{b3} pratiyogī ca dvividha 10 5a5 eva. tatra yadā viruddah * pratiyogī, tadā viruddhaśabdaprayoga upalabdhiśabdam eva prayojyam upapādayati. śese tu pratiyogini ⁺ gamyamāne svabhāvaśabdo drśyaśabdo vā prayujyamānah pratisedhyāpekṣayā 'nupalabdhiśabdena vyapadeśayati. tad ekatropalabdhitvam gamyam anyatrānupalabdhitvam. tattvam tv ekam eveti na dosah.

yadā ca viruddhah pratiyogī dvitīyasya ca * paroksasyaiva nisedhah, tadā tasyāpi nāvaśyam pratyaksam evānupalabdhih, kim tv anumānam api.

b Pv AR 2.6 ^a**P** AR 11,6f.

¹ conj. atrāpy anupalabdhiḥ svasyāparasyāparā : atr⇇py{anulapala(bh/t)ā{va}svasyāparasyāparā} $\ddagger \ddagger | J_m^{AC} : atrāpy J_m^{PC}$ (Th). It seems that a reader interpreted this as a mistaken repetition of AR 11,6f. (cf. also the note thereon). However, a repetition makes perfect sense in context, while omission of the phrase would lead to the incongruous phrase atrāvyāpakasya hi, which remains awkward even when corrected to atra vyāpakasya hi.

 $^{^{2}}$ kā v ra«ṇaviru»ddhopalabdhyādayo J_{m}^{PC} (TM 4) (Th) : kāraddhopalabdhyādayo J_{m}^{AC} .

 $^{^{3}}$ evānupalabdhih J_{m}^{AI} : evā«nyā»nupalabdhih J_{m}^{PI} (TM 4) (Th). Supplementation. See above p. 31.

viruddho hi svasattayā parasattām apanayan pratiyogī mataḥ. na ca parokṣo 'pi tathābhavan kena vāryate? niyatasahopalambhe tu pratiyogini pratyakṣayogyasyaiva niṣedha iti tasyāvaśyam pratyakṣāpekṣā. na hy anumīyamāne pradeśe ghaṭo yadi bhaved upalabhyetaiveti sāmarthyam pratyakṣāyogād * anumānasya cārambhaniyamābhāvād iti katham nisedhah?

5a7

yady evam, vikalpamātrād apratiṣedha iti cet, na, tatrāpi avikalpākāre vedyamāne bahir apy abhimatadeśam indriyasāmarthyānapāye 'nubhūyeta' ity ucyate. na tu vikalpanīye bāhye vikalpyamāne dvitīyam api vedyeta' iti śakyam. vikalpanīye 'pi bāhye vikalpyamāne taddeśam aparam upalabhyetaiva' iti kim na syāt? na hi pratiyogijñānam anyasya dṛśyatāropanibandhanam, api tv abhāvaniścayotpādanimittam, sattvavastutvāt. tac ca vikalpasyāpīti ko viśeṣaḥ?

5b1

atha pratipattranurodhaḥ, ekajñānasaṃsargiṇo 'py anurodhaḥ sā-15 dhur iti. naivam, jale gandhasyānale rasasyāpi¹ śeṣamātropalabdhau vā 'bhāvavyavahāramātradarśanāt. tatra vā jñānakāryānupalambhavāde 'nyatrāpi² sa eveti kim ekajñānasaṃsargiṇā?

5b2

kāryānupalabdhyā samarthasyaiva * niṣedha iti cet, gandhādis tarhi sann api nīrādāv indriyavaiguṇyān nopalambhya iti mahad eva śāstrapariśramaphalam. sa hi vaiguṇyasya kāraṇānupalambhe 'pi yogyādibhir abhibhavaṃ sambhāvayan saṃśete. bhūtale tu tatkṛtābhūtadarśanam indriyapāṭave 'pi sambhāvayan kiṃ na saṃśete? tasmād abhyāsadaśāyām tādavasthyasthityaiva vyavahārah.

a Pr AR 2ab

 $^{^{1}}$ rasasyāpi $J_{m}^{\ AI}$: rasasyāpi v «vāyau rūpasyāpi» $J_{m}^{\ PI}$ (Th) (TM 1). Supplementation. See above p. 32.

 $^{^2}$ For jñānakāryānupalambhavāde 'nyatrāpi $\rm J_m$ see jñānakāryānupalambhavad anyatrāpi Th.

10

15

20

nyāyanāthasya tu na vyavahāram anuvṛttya * paryanuyogo visargo vā, kim tv etāvatyām api gatau nāsmallakṣaṇakṣatir iti darśayitum prauḍhivaśāt, yathā *sarvacittāsarvabodhaniścaye 'pi¹ sa eva sarvajña* iti. vyavahāre ca yathaikajñānasaṃsargiṇaṃ paśyatas tathā 'nyam api pratiyoginam abhāvaniścayo dṛṣṭaḥ sādhāraṇo 'visaṃvādī² ceti naika-jñānasaṃsargigrahaḥ. vikalpaśaraṇāvasthāyāṃ ca * tadākārasaṃveda-nam evānyaniṣedhasādhanaṃ yuktam, tathaivābhyāsena + pratyakṣa-sāmarthyadarśanāt.

tad evam viruddhasya parokṣasyāpy upalabdhir anumānātmikā 'bhāvam itarasya sādhayati, yathā neha śītam dhūmād ity evam vyāpa-kaviruddhakāryopalabdhir draṣṭavyā, yathā neha tuṣāram dhūmāt. etac ca saṅkalayya prayogakāle vyākhyānam. vastutas tu * na śītam agner ity ekaḥ prayogaḥ. ³tadasiddhiparihārāya tv asti cāgnir atra dhūmād ity aparaḥ.³tathā⁴ na tuṣāram agneḥ. vyāpakasya hi viruddho viruddha eva, agniś ca dhūmād iti. śeṣam apy evam ūhyam.

yadi kāraṇādeḥ svabhāvānupalabdhir anyasya kāraṇādyanupalabdhiḥ paryudāsarūpā, kathaṃ tarhi nirupākhyeṣu, yathā⁵ na vaktā bandhyāsutaś * caitanyābhāvād iti. tatrāpi buddhipratibhāsasyaiva⁶ caitanyaviyuktatvena saṃvedanaṃ vyāpakānupalabdhiparyudāsaḥ. vaktṛtvavyavacchedena ca saṃvedanaṃ vyāpyābhāvaḥ.

^a Ce? Cf. PVABh 235,6-8. Or a reference to Jñānaśrīmitra's lost Sarvajñasiddhi?

 $^{^1}$ For sarvaci Θ ttās
arvabodhaniśca yepi $\rm J_m$ see sarvacitā sarvabodhaniśca ye
 Th.

² For avisamvādī J_m see avisamvādi Th.

 $^{^3}$ For tadasiddhi° ... aparaḥ $J_{\rm m}$ see om. Th.

 $^{^4}$ For tathā $\rm J_m$ see yathā Th.

⁵ For yathā J_m see tathā Th.

 $^{^{6}}$ «buddhi» v pratibhāsas yaiva $J_{m}^{\ PC}$ (TM 6) (Th) : pratibhās as yaiva $J_{m}^{\ AC}.$

10

svabhāvahetuparyavasitāś ca sarvānupalabdhayo niṣedhasādhanā-dhyavasāyāc ca bhedena nirdeśa iti na dosah.

tathā 'py uktena krameṇa sākṣād eva svabhāvānupalabdhir niṣedha¹ iti katham <u>ācāryapādai</u>ḥ * paramparayā 'bhidhānād aupacārikaḥ kṛta iti cet, niṣedhyasyaiva yadi dṛśyānupalabdhir niṣeddhrā vivakṣitā tadaupacārikaḥ. yadi tu parasyāpi, tadā mukhya iti ko virodha² iti. kevalam aupacārikakathane kiṃ prayojanam iti syāt. tatrāpi dṛśyasyaiva niṣedho yathā śakyaḥ syāt, nānyasya sarvajñavītarāgādeḥ ³ pradhānapuruṣārthasyeti⁴ kāryatas tatrāntarbhāvaḥ.

tattvatas tu tasya * tadanyasya vā yathoktasyānupalabdhiḥ sādhiketi anupalabdhirahasyaṃ samāptam.

 $^{^{1}}$ niședha $J_{m}^{\ PC}$ (Th) : niședha{eva} $J_{m}^{\ AC}$.

 $^{^2}$ virodha $J_m^{\ PC}$ (Th) : vi{ro}rodha $J_m^{\ AC}$. The deleted syllable shows only the first stroke of the vowel °0°. The dittography therefore must have been noted already in the process of writing.

 $^{^3}$ em. °vītarāgādeḥ (Th) : °vītarādeḥ $J_m.$

⁴ °puruṣa«rtha» ^vsyeti J_m ^{PC} (BM 7) (Th) : °puruṣasyeti J_m ^{AC}.

SARVAŚABDĀBHĀVACARCĀ

20

⁺ iha sarvaśabdābhāvasādhane¹ jñānakāryānupalabdhim <u>eke</u> pramā- 191 nayanti.

ekajñānasaṃsargivirahāt kila neha svabhāvānupalabdher avasaraḥ. tathā hi trayam avaśyam asyām² abhidheyaṃ ghaṭādimatpratikṣepeṇa,³ viśeṣaṇaṃ svabhāvaḥ phalaṃ ceti. tatra dṛśyaviṣayaviśeṣaṇavaśāt pi- 5 śācāder * apratiṣedhaḥ, ekajñānasaṃsargivedanasvabhāvatvena⁴ rūpa-jñānād rasasya. nāpy abhāvapakṣadoṣānuṣaṅgaḥ. vyavahāraphalatayā ca pratyakṣasiddhir upasaṃhitā. sā ca pratiyogijñānāśraye saty upa-padyate. tad eva hi pratiyogyantarābhāvanāntarīyakatayā tadabhāvavi-kalpajananasāmarthyāt tatsādhanaṃ⁵ bhavet. tato mūḍhaṃ prati tadāt- 10 6³a1 mikā 'nupalabdhir abhāvavyavahārasādhanīti6 * yuktam.

na ca sarvaśabdābhāve sādhye kaścid ekajñānasaṃsargī labhyata iti nātrāvakāśas tasyāḥ. sa tu śabdarāśir abhimatapradeśe vidyamānas tadā jñānakāryam anārabhyāsituṃ na samartha iti kāryapratiṣedhe 'pi nirviśesanaiva⁷ sattā pratiṣiddhā bhavatīti bhāvah.

atra cintyate: na tāvat dhvanir ity eva śravaṇam, vadhirasyāpi pra6'a2 saṅgāt.8 tasmād indriyapāṭavasahakṛtasyaiva śabdasya * śravaṇajñānajanakatvam. tac ca śravaṇapāṭavam ekajñānasaṃsargivirahe duravadhāram. ata eva pradeśapratyakṣāpekṣayā9 ghaṭaniṣedhaḥ. tato hīndriyaguṇaṃ gaṇayan ghaṭāparādham evānupalabdher avadhārayati.

¹ em. sarva° (Th) : śarva° J_m.

 $^{^{2}}$ avaśyam asyam J_{m}^{PC} (Th) : avaśyama{‡va}syām J_{m}^{AC} .

 $^{^{3}}$ ghaṭa $^{\circ}$ J $_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{PC}}$ (TM) (Th) : (bh/t)aṭa $^{\circ}$ J $_{\mathrm{m}}^{\mathrm{AC}}$

⁴ On p. 643 of J, Thakur suggests correcting ekajñānasam° to ekajñānāsam°, which is not plausible from the content.

 $^{^{5}}$ em. °arthyāt tatsādhanam : °arthyātatsādhanam $^{\circ}$ J_m. See °arthyāt sādhanam $^{\circ}$ Th.

 $^{^6}$ °vyavahārasādhanī J_m^{PC} (Th) : °vyavahārasadha sadha

 $^{^{7}}$ nirviśesanaiva J_{m}^{PC} (Th): nirviśesenaiva J_{m}^{AC} .

 $^{^8}$ prasaṅgāt $J_m^{\ AI}$: $^v\!p$ rasaṅgāt $J_m^{\ PI}.$ See [śravaṇa]prasaṅgāt Th; see above p. 35.

 $^{^9}$ °apekṣayā $J_m^{\ PC}$ (Th) : °apekṣa{ā}yā $J_m^{\ AC}.$

na ca manaskāravat svasaṃvedanasiddhiḥ, indriyasya jaḍatvāt. nāpīndriyāntaragamyatvam, atīndriyatvāt. kāryaṃ punar upalabdhir evāsya.
tatsiddhau ca kāryānupalabdher * evāsiddhiḥ. asiddhau siddham anai6'a3
kāntikatvam. na hi viṣaye saty apīndriyadoṣāt sambhāvyamāno 'nupa5 lambhas tadabhāvaniyato nāma.

nābhāva eva šabdasya tajjñānajananayogyas tu nāstīti brūma iti cet, seyam kuvaidyavṛttir āyātā, prathamam prāgalbhyagarbham abhimatapratikaraṇam abhyupagamyopāyabuddhyopanyastasyānupāyasya pareṇa * tattvapratipādane kṛte paścād asādhyatvaghoṣaṇāt. sarvathā 'bhā - va eva hi jijñāsite kāryānupalabdhir iyam sādhanam uktā.¹ na tu jñānajananayogyatāvirahajijñāsāyām. tad yadi pramāṇabhraṃśa eva kathañcij jñātaḥ, prameyabhraṃśas tu kimangāngīkriyate? + varaṃ ca pramānāntaram eva mrgyatām. asādhyataiva tu kasmād avaghusyate?

na hi śakyam avadhirasyāśṛṇvataḥ * sannihitabheryādiravābhāvaḥ²
15 pratikṣeptum, vadhirāvadhirayor aviśeṣaprasaṅgāt.

sati śrutikṛto 'sti viśeṣaḥ prāk paścād³ iti cet, naivam. yadi hi prāgavasthātaḥ śravaṇaśaktyā nirviśeṣa eṣaḥ,⁴ katham aśrutiḥ sati śabde? anyathā prāg api na syāt. atha visadṛśāvastho 'pi sambhāvyate. śrutāv api na śraddhātavyam, asya viplavasya * sambhāvyamānatvāt. tatrāśravaṇam abhūtaśravaṇaṃ veti na viśeṣaḥ. ante kutaḥ śaktiviparyaya iti cet, madhye kutas tadabhāva iti samānam.

6'a

192

6'a5

ao

 $^{^{1}~}ukt\bar{a}\Theta~J_{m}^{~PC}~(Th):uktaṃ\Theta~J_{m}^{~AC}.$

² conj. sannihitabheryādiravābhāvaḥ (cf. AR 3,4f.; SAC 11,4): sannihitabherīravādyabhāvaṃ J_m . See sannihitabherīravādyabhāvaḥ Th. A dot appears at the bottom of °va°. An interlinear correction of anusvāra to visarga?

³ On p. 643 of J, Thakur suggests correcting prāk paścād to prāk na paścāt, but this is unnecessary and not plausible in terms of content.

 $^{^{4}}$ eṣa{ḥ|} $J_{m}^{\ AC}$: eṣa $J_{m}^{\ PC}$ (Th). The purpose of this deletion is unclear.

tasmād apakṣa evāyam sann api śabdas tadā na śruta iti. kevalam kim atra pramānam astv iti cintāyām kāryānupalabdhir anaikāntikīty uktam eva, śravanapātavasya duravadhāratvād iti.

nanu katham etad¹ duravadhāram² drstaśravanapātavasmaranabala-6'a7 vatah * śrotum pravrttasya, ksanikatve 'pi hi visadrśasahakāripratyayān- 5 taravirahe visadrśadaśāsambhavābhāvāt? indriyavikārakāriņo hi pratyayā dhātuksobhaprahārādayo nirnītaśaktayah svasantāne santānavyākulībhāvahetavah sūpalaksā eva. anupalaksitās tu svaprabhavabhedaśankām³ katham iva ksamante? sūksmam tu prabhāvavato 'dhisthā nā-6'b1 divikārakāranam * śaṅkamānasya śravane 'pi ka āśvāsah? tasmād anu- 10 palaksyakāranārabdhadinmohalaksaņendriyabhrāntidarsane 'pi prasiddhakāraṇānupalakṣaṇān nendriyāṇām atādavasthyavyavasthā. yathā 'nyathā tatpratyayānām bhramatvaprasangāt, tathā 'dyāpi kim na ganyate? mā bhūt sarvasamvrtivilopah.

abhyāsadaśāsattisamāśrayas tu samānah, na hi vadhiravarasyābhiro- 15 6'b2 pārūdhaśravanabalasyāpy aśravane 'bhāvaniścayam * brūmah, balaniścayasyaivābhāvāt. tadvad anyasyāpy akalitabalasya. atyantābhyāse hi sakalarajanīsuptaprabuddhānām4 adarśane 'pi tadavasthāsamvedinām aviparītavyavahāravrttidarśanāt kah prastāvo jāgratah svātmany anyathātvaśankāyāh?

tasmād atādavasthyasya tadvyavasthāyā vā nisedhah, prasiddhakāranābhāvāt tadupalaksanābhāvād vā.

yadi * darśanaśravanādāv abhyāsadaśāpeksayā 'dhyaksatvaniban-6'b3 dhanam tādavasthyam icchatā, samvrtivyavasthitenendriyatādavasth-

¹ em. etad dur° (Th): etatadur° J_m.

² For °avadhāram J_m (cf. SAC 1,18f.; 3,3) see °avadhāranam Th.

³ For °śańkām J_m see °śańkā Th.

⁴ Cf. above p. 40.

10

yam sāmagrīpratibaddhabuddhitādavasthyam vā sādhāranaśaktyapeksayā svasamvedanam¹ eva vā śaranam āsthīyate. tad evāśravanadaśāyām apīti katham anekāntah śabdābhāve?

nanu jñānātmany eva svasamvedanam * iti cet, na.

6'b4

⁺ ekādhimoksavisayesv ekakāryopayogişu | ekadhīh sarvavisayā sthitā nirbhāgavartisu | 1 |

193

pañcaskandhesv apy aham ity eko 'dhimokṣaḥ. bhāṣaṇādāv ekasminn arthe parasparopādeye copayogah. ghatādisañjñake tu rūparasādau prasiddho 'yam nyāyah, anyathā vyavahārāyogāt.

nanu na * śarīrapratyaksam buddhim anveti. evam etat prātisvikarū- 6'b5 pāpeksayā rūparasādivad eva. sāmānyena tu grhītvaikadhīvisayatvam² ucyate, yāvan na nirbandho vivecane. vivecane tu prthakpramānam uktam. tena pratyaksaś caitro na ca buddhir adhyakseti dvitayam aviruddham. ata eva tadavastho 'ham iti vikalpo 'pi * samvedanānusārī sar- 6'b6 15 vavisaya eva.

bhrāntir iyam iti cet, na, abādhakābhāvād bhrāntyasiddheḥ.a tasmāt pūrvapratītaśrotraśaktes tadavasthāniścayasambhavād aśrutih śabdasyaivāparādhād iti jñānābhāvenāpi tadabhāvasiddhir aikāntikīti na prakṛtavyāghātaḥ. iyaty eva tarhi svabhāvānupalabdher lakṣaṇasāmagrīti * 6'b7 20 kāryānupalabdhisañjñākarane ko 'rthaḥ, pratyayāntarasākalyam svabhāvaviśeṣam cāpannasyaiva śabdasyānupalabdhyā niṣedhāt?

^a Ce' PVSV 16,4f.

¹ For svasamvedanam J_m see samvedanam Th.

 $^{^{2}}$ grh $\bar{1}^{\circ}$ | Θ J_m.

25

6a6

tathā 'py anyasañjñāyām ghatanisedhe 'pi ko niseddhā? kevalam ghatavat śabdasyāpi drśyānupalabdhyā 'pi nisedhah sādhyo jāta ity ucyate.

tatraikajñānasamsargisambhavād yuktas tayā nisedha iti cet, tatraiva tāvad * ekajñānasamsarginah kim apeksayā? rūpadarśane hi rasasya vi- 5 prakrstadeśatayā 'nupalabdhilaksanaprāptatvād evāpratisedhah, prāpyakāritvād ghrānarasanasparšanānām.

naivam, indriyātiriktasyālokasya tatrāpeksyatvāt. sa hi bahihsula-6a4 bhakāranādhīnopajanāpajanadharmako na svātmatādavasthyasādhya * iti tadartham ekajñānasamsargo 'pekṣyamāṇa indriyasādguṇyajñāne 10 'pi sahāyībhavisyati.

evam yatrālokanirapekṣaiva¹ grahaṇaśaktih, tatra na kiñcid ekajñānasamsargyapeksayeti siddham. tac ca nāma kvacit sādhane 'peksyate, yadantarena virodhāsiddhisandeheşv anyatamadosāsattih.2 na ca dvi-6a5 vidhopalabdhiyogyatāpannasya kvacid * anupalabdhyā nisedhe sā 'sti, 15 yena samsargī niyatam apeksyeta. yatrāpy ayam apeksyate, tatrāpy ālokāpeksapratipattino vastunah pratisedhe viśesanāsiddhiparihārār-194 tham³ eva. na caitāvatā + sarvatra tadapekṣā. na hi vināśam praty anapeksā vyāptisādhanī sarvatra ksanabhangasādhane 'pi svabhāvahetāv apeksyate.

yadi cāyam apekṣya eva kimanga dṛśyaviśesanena, * kenacid ekajñānasamsargino drśyatvāvyabhicārāt? na hi piśācasya kenacid ekajñānasamsargah.

eka evārtha ubhayathāpīti naikaprayoge 'nyaprayojanacarceti cet, na, sāmānyaviśesabhāvena bhedasyāvyaktatvāt. samsargo hi na viśe-

¹ evam yatrālo° J_m^{PC} (Th) : evam {ya}Θ{trālo}yatrālo° J_m^{AC}.

² For anyatamadosāsattih J_m see anyam adosāsattih Th.

³ pratisedhe J_m^{AC} (Th): pratise dhe «na» J_m^{PC} (TM).

6a7

6b1

6b2

6b3

ṣaṇaṃ vyabhicarati. viśeṣaṇamātraṃ tu taṃ vyabhicaraty eva. ata eva viśeṣaṇasiddhaye saṃsarga upanīyate. na tu viśeṣaṇaṃ saṃsargākṛṣṭaye
* prabhavati, śabde 'pi prasangāt. tathā ca pakṣakṣaya iti kaḥ śramasyārthaḥ? tasmād ekajñānasaṃsargo nāma prakṛtodāharaṇāpekṣayai5 vodghuṣyate, na svabhāvānupalabdhau sarvatrāpekṣyatvena.

prastute hi kalasapratisedhe sā dig astu saviśeṣaṇasiddhyai. nopalabdham upalabhyam itīyallakṣaṇam khalu nijānupalabdheḥ.¹ tathā
ca lakṣaṇe prayoge copalabdhilakṣaṇaprāptānupalabdhimātropanyāsaḥ. * śāstre viparyayabādhopadarśane ca pratyayāntarasannidhau svabhāvaviśeṣavataḥ sattve 'nupalabdher asambhavaḥ khyāpyate. anyathā
prayojakam aṃśaṃ saṃsargam eva sarvatra darśayet, viśeṣaṇavat.
tādātmyaṃ tu dṛśyatvam abhyupagamyaiva niṣidhyata iti viśeṣaṇasyānuktimātram, na punar aprayojakatvaṃ vyāpter iva viduṣi, anvayoktiviśeṣe vā vyatirekasya. tasmān nyāyanāthasyāpi nāvaśyam * ekajñānasaṃsargivyapekṣābhiprāyaḥ svabhāvānupalabdhau.

api caivam kāryānupalabdhāv eva sarvasangraham ācakṣīta, svabhāvānupalabdher api tadekadeśatvāt. sarvapramāṇasangrahavyavasthām² ca kāryānumāne kuryāt, svasaṃvedanād anyatra.

tasmād indriyajñānaviṣaye na kāryānumānavyavasthā yathā tathā tadagṛhīta ity eva kutaḥ kāryānupalabdhivyavasthā 'pi śāstrakārasya? yathā hi pratyakṣavyavasthāvilopabhayam³ * ekatra, tathā 'nyatra svabhāvānupalambhasya. yathā vā 'pratyakṣe kāryaṃ liṅgam, tathā 'pratyakṣayogya eva tadanupalabdhir iti samānam.

yathā vā 'yam⁴ eva <u>bhagavān</u> jñāne⁵ jñānāntarābhāvam api dṛśyā-25 nupalabdhyā vyavahārayati. yadāha ^adṛśyātmano vā vikalpasya darśa-

¹ nijānupalabdheh J_m^{PC} (Th): nijā{1}nupalabdheh J_m^{AC} .

 $^{^2\,}For\,$ sarvapramāṇasaṅgrahavyavasthā
ṅ $\rm J_m\,\it see\,$ sarvapramāṇavyavasthām Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. °vyavasthā° (Th) : °vyava¶vasthā° $\rm J_m.$

 $^{^4}$ For yathā vāyam J_m see yathā cāyam Th.

 $^{^{5}\} em$. bhagavān jñāne : bhagavānajñāne J_{m} (bhagavān ajñāne Th).

20

6b5

ne 'dṛṣṭir vikalpakalpanām indriyajñāne pratihanti^a iti, tadā ka ekajñānasaṃsargī? kiṃ * caivamabhyupagame kāryānupalabdher apy asiddhir iti prakṛṭamatahānirⁱ eva. na hi jñānakāryasyābhāvah kāryānupalabdher eva boddhavyo 'navasthāprasangāt.

svasaṃvedanaṃ caikākāraniyatam anyābhāvaniścayam ākṣipaty eva. 5
195 kevalaṃ vimataṃ prati yadi syāj ⁺ jñānāntaram, anubhūyeteti dṛśyānupalabdhir eva śaraṇam, vyavahāre bāhyavat.

viśeṣaṇānuccāraṇaṃ² tu syāt. * na tv anyā gatiḥ. saṃsargāpekṣāyāṃ ca sā 'pi nāstīti sandigdhāsiddho hetuḥ. tasmād anupalabdher ekajñānasaṃsargāpekṣāniyamam anulaṅghya vartitum aśakyam.

bahirapekṣayā³ niyama eveti⁴ cet, na, tatrāpi nibandhanābhāvāt. yad dhi sāmarthyam antas tadatikrame, tasya bahir api lābhasambhave kiṃ na sadṛśī sthitiḥ? vicitro hi viṣayasvabhāvaḥ. tatra svātmā⁵ * tāvat pratyakṣībhāve yogyatāmātram apekṣate, śabdagandharasasparśatami-srālokās⁶ tu manaskārātiriktam indriyam,² ghaṭādisanniveśinas tu rūpa- 15 viśeṣāḥ prakāśam api prāyaśaḥ. tathaiṣāṃ vidhāv upalabdhir iva sva-bhāvasyānupalabdhir api niṣedhe kiṃ na bhinnām eva sāmagrīm apekṣeta?8

tatrādye yathā nendriyatādavasthyenopayogaḥ, tathā madhyamīyeṣv api naikajñānasaṃsargiṇā. * anyātiriktāpekṣiṇas tadapekṣā yujyante

^a Ce PVin 1 14,12f.; P AR 6,9-11.

 $^{^{1}}$ prakṛtamata $^{\circ}$ J $_{m}^{PC}$ (Th) : prakṛ{ma}tamata $^{\circ}$ J $_{m}^{AC}$.

² viśesa«nā» nuccāraṇan J_m PC (TM4) (cf. SAC 6,12f.) (Th): viśesa{ā}nuccāraṇan J_m AC.

 $^{^3}$ bahirapekṣayā $J_m^{\ PC}$ (Th): bahirapekṣa $\{\bar{a}\}y\bar{a}\ J_m^{\ AC}$.

⁴ For niyama eveti J_m see niyama iti Th.

⁵ svātmā ¦¶J_m.

 $^{^6}$ °rasasparśatamisrālokās ${\rm J_m^{PC}}$ (Th) : °rasa{‡ta‡ma}sparśatamisrālokās ${\rm J_m^{AC}}.$

 $^{^7}$ °atiriktam indriyam J_m^{AC} : °atiriktam indriyam «ātraṃ» J_m^{PC} . Supplementation. See °atiriktam ātram Th. See above p. 33.

 $^{^{8}}$ em. sāmagrīm apekṣeta : sāmagrīm apekṣet J_{m} . See samagrīpekṣeta Th.

7a2

7a3

196

'pi.¹ tato yathā yadi buddhiḥ syād, upalabhyetaiva kim indriyatādavasthyāpekṣayeti sāmarthyam, tathā yadi syād rasādiḥ, tadavasthendriyeṇa mayopalabhyetaiva kim ekajñānasaṃsargiṇeti śakyam eva. tasmād bahir api niyamasambhāvanā 'tidūraiva. abhyupagame vā jñāne 5 'py apekṣeta.

tatrāpi saṃvedanaikapratyakṣāpekṣaikajñānasaṃsargo 'stīti * cet,² nanu na³ saṃvedanaṃ nāmaikaṃ pratyakṣam asti, dharmamātrasya tasya pratisvaṃ bhedāt. sāmānyam āśrityaikajñānasañjñayā tu parituṣṭau bhinnendriyagrāhyayor api svasaṃvedanendriyajñānaviṣayayor api vā prasaṅgah.

yayoḥ sator anyonyapratyakṣāvyabhicāraḥ, tatreyaṃ vyavastheti cet, rūpayor api nāyaṃ niyamaḥ, pradeśapiśācayor adarśanāt. viśiṣṭayor asty eva. na ca sāmānyāparādho * viśeṣam āskandatīti cet, evam api śabdākāśayoḥ śabdamanaskārayor vā prasaṅgaḥ. na hy ākāśapratyakṣaṃ manaskārasaṃvedanapratyakṣaṃ vā sattve śabdasya pratyakṣaṃ vyabhicarati. vyabhicāre punaḥ kāryānupalabdher anekāntaprasaṅgaḥ. tasmād avyāpakam ekajñānāpekṣaṇam. anyasyāpi ca sulabham ity alaṃ vimatyā.

api cāyam cittayor ekajñānasamsargo 'pūrva eva, mukhyasyābhā-20 vāt, gaunasya * caikāyatanasangrahābhāvāt.

upalakṣaṇam ekāyatanasangraha iti cet, ekajñānasaṃsarga⁴ eva kiṃ nopalakṣaṇam ākhyāyate? prakṛtaghaṭodāharaṇāpekṣayā tu dvayam apy etad⁵ uktam ity anabhiniveśaḥ. ⁺ yathā hy ekāyatanasangraheṇa⁶ anyonyapratyakṣāvyabhicāra upalakṣyate, tathaikajñānasaṃsargeṇāpi pratiyogimātrāpekṣaiveti yujyate.

¹ For yujyantepi J_m see yujyate 'pi Th.

² em. astīti cet (Th): astī¶tī cet J_m.

³ For na J_m cf. [na] Th.

 $^{^{4}}$ eka
«jñāna»saṃsarga $J_{m}^{\ \ PC}$ (TM 3) (Th) : ekasaṃsarga $J_{m}^{\ \ AC}.$

⁵ apy vetad J_m^{PC} (TM 3) (Th): avyetad J_m^{AC} .

 $^{^{6}}$ ekāyatanasangrahena J_{m}^{PC} (Th): ekā $\{ta\}$ yatanasangrahena J_{m}^{AC} .

kaś ca kasya * pratiyogī? yayor ekākāraniyataṃ pratyakṣam itarābhāvaniścayam upapādayituṃ śaknotīti.¹ kayoś caivamanupalabdhiḥ? yayor ekapratyakṣam aparapratyakṣāvyabhicāri. ⁴tayor hi sator naikarūpaniyatā pratipattiḥ, asambhavāt.⁴ tatas tāv aviśiṣṭakāladeśadaśāsantānāpekṣayā² 'nyonyasya pratiyoginau vyavasthāpyete. sadṛśaḥ * saṃvittilābhalakṣaṇo 'yogas³ tayor iti kṛtvā. ata eva tayor ekākāraniyataṃ vedanam anyasya pratiṣedhavikalpam upapādayituṃ śaknotīti tādṛśām abhāvaḥ pratyakṣasiddho 'bhidhīyate. tam eva niścayaṃ mūḍhaṃ prati pratiyogyupalambhasvabhāvānupalabdhir upalabdhiyogyatā yugayoginaḥ santanvantī⁴ vyāhāravyavahārāv⁵ api sādhayatīti tāvataivetara- 10 matapratikṣepeṇa * svabhāvaphalayor api pariniṣṭhāsiddhau kim ekendriyagrāhyatāpekṣopagraheṇa?6

ayam hi pratiyoga ekenānekena vendriyena grāhyayor arthayor jñānayor jñānārthayor vā sādhārano 'bhāvasādhane ca nirapavāda iti kas
tadanurodhaḥ? yathā hi bhūtalakalasayor jñānayor vaikarūpavedanam 15
7a7 anyapratyakṣāvyabhicāritayā tadabhāvaniścayotpādane paryāptam, *
tathā pānakapānakarmani dvayam upalabdhavatas tādavasthyasamvedinaḥ pradīpakavalane sparśamātropalabdhī rasābhāvaniścayajanane.

^a Ce'e HB 22,4f.; P (partly) AR 2,14f.; Pv AR 10,5

 $^{^{1}}$ śaknotīti $J_{m}^{\ PC}$ (Th) : śaknotīti{ta} $J_{m}^{\ AC}$.

 $^{^2}$?
 conj.tāv aviśiṣṭa° : tāva Θ ty
(o/au)viśiṣṭa° $J_m^{\ PC}$: tāva Θ tye
}viśiṣṭa° $J_m^{\ AC}.$ See tāvat yo viśiṣṭa° Th.

 $^{^3}$ em. (?) lakṣaṇo 'yogas : °lakṣaṇoyogas $\rm J_m$ (omitted avagraha?). See °lakṣaṇe 'yogas Th.

 $^{^4}$ For santanvantī \mathbf{J}_{m} see saṃbhavantī Th.

 $^{^5\,\}mbox{For}$ vyāhāravyavahārāv $\mbox{J}_{\mbox{\scriptsize m}}\,\mbox{see}$ vyavahāravyāhārāv Th.

 $^{^6}$ em. ekendriyagrāhyatāpekṣopagraheṇa (Th): ekendriyagrāhyatāpekṣāpagraheṇa J_m^{PC} : ekendriyagrāhya $\{e\}$ tāpekṣāpagraheṇa J_m^{AC} .

svavikalpākāramātrasamvedanam vā sannihitasparšābhāvāvasāyasādhane śaknoty eva. na hi tadvikalpavedanam tadā satah sparšasya pratyakṣam vyabhicarati.¹

na cānyonyapratyakṣāvyabhicārād anyad anyatrāpi * dvitīyābhāva-5 niścayotpādanasāmarthyam nāma. nāpy abhāvaniścayotpādanasāmarthyād arthāntaram abhāvapratyakṣīkaraṇam nāma. ana hy asau vigrahavān, yatah sāksātkartavyah.

yadā ca paryudāsena pratiyogy evābhāvaḥ, tadā tv asya mukhyaiva pratyakṣasiddhir iti yuktaṃ sarvatra vyavahāraphalatvam. na cāyaṃ 10 pratiyogaḥ svabhāvādiviprakarṣiṇāṃ kenacid asti, yena kasyacit pratyakṣaṃ tadabhāvavibhāvanāya prabhavet. na caivaṃ nivṛttimātram * anupalabdhir uktā bhavati.

bhattas tu paryudāsapakṣe 'pi yathoktam pratiyoginam anapekṣ-yānyamātrasyopalabdhim anupalabdhim pramāṇayatīti tanmate yuktaḥ piśācādipratikṣepaḥ. rūpadarśinas tu rasas taddeśaviprakṛṣṭa eva. na tena pratiyogam yathoktam āvahati. rasanāgrasaṅgī tu nābhāvam anupalabdhau vyabhicaratīti + na doṣaḥ kaścit. ata eva kāryānupalabdhyādīnām sākṣād * akṣamaḥ svabhāvānupalabdhāv antarbhāvaḥ paramparyeṇocyate, tādṛśasya pratiyogasyābhāvat.

tasmād upalambhayogyatāprāptasyānupalambha ity eva dṛśyānupalabdher lakṣaṇam. sā ca yathoktapratiyogyupalambharūpatayā² siddhasyābhāvasya vyavahārikasyaivāvatisthata³ iti na nyāya<u>śāstra</u>yor uparo-

7b2

7b1

197

7b3

^a Ce'e? MBhP 31,4-6, NVTȚ 514,8f., NKaṇ 51,30; Ci'e? R 89,12f., 91,18f.; Pv AR 7.8f.

¹ vyabhicarati J_m^{PC} (BM) (Th): vyavacarati J_m^{AC} .

 $^{^2}$ em. yathoktapratiyogyupalambharūpatayā : yathoktapra‡{vṛ}ttiyogyupalambharūpatayā J_m^{AC} : yathoktapra«tipa»ttiyogyupalambharūpatayā J_m^{PC} (TM 3).

See yathoktapratipattiyogyupalambha patayā Th. The emendation is based on AR 2,5f. ... anupalabdher iti pratiyogina upalabdher ity arthaḥ, and AR 12,10 ... pratiyogyupalabdhiḥ ...

 $^{^3}$ em. vyavahārikasyaivāvatisthata (Th) : vyavahārikasyaiva kaivāvatisthata $\rm J_m$

7b4 dhaḥ. ekendriyagrāhyopanyāsas tu * ghaṭādyudāharaṇāpekṣa eva mantavya iti sthitam.

tatra, yadā tāvat timirālokasañjñite ākāśapradeśe drśyamāne devakulādau vā bheryādiravābhāvam¹ pratipadyate, tadā² tad eva tadekākāroparaktajñānaviṣayībhavajjñānam 3 vā tādṛśam niyataprāptikapraty- 5 aksodayasya nisedhyasya tajjñānasya vā paryudāso 'nupalabdhih. 7b5 avyāprtacaksus tu rasādis tajjñānam vā 'ntato * manaskārākāro jñeyaparyudāsah. tatsamvedanam ca paryudāsah.4

na hi tad api śabdapratyaksodayavinākrtam upapadyate patuśravanasya. nirvikalpakasya tu na nisedhe 'dhikārah. kevalam yāvaddeśa- 10 sambaddhasya dhvaner adhyakṣam na vyabhicarati rasādipratyakṣam vikalpākārasamvedanam vā tāvaddeśaśabdāpekṣa eva paryudāso vak-7b6 tavyah, * anyam prati pratiyogasyānyonyapratyakṣāvyabhicāralakṣaṇasya vaktum aśakyatvāt. tataś ca pūrvavat pratyaksasiddhir abhāvasya. vyavahāraś ca phalam drśyānupalabdher eva śabde 'pīti siddham.

ekadhīvirahe 'py asyā vyāpārānuparodhatah anākrster anistasya pratiyogisamāśrayāt | 2 | iti.

ālokādisamagratādhigataye⁵ janmādhikavyañjakāpekse sūcita eka-7b7 dhīparicayo 'sabdapradīpādisu.6 * kiñcit tu śrutadrstapūrvimanasas tā- 20 drgdaśāsamvido⁷ 'nyesu svānupalabdhir eva hi ravādyākāraśūnyānyadhīh.

¹ bheryādira«vā»bhāvam J_m^{PC} (cf. AR 3,5; SAC 2,14) (TM 4): bheryādirabhāvam J_m^{AC}. See bheryāder evābhāvam Th.

 $^{^{2}}$ em. tadā (Th): tado J_{m} .

 $^{^3}$ For tadekākāroparaktajñānaviṣayībhavaj J_m see tadekākāropakāroparaktajñānaviṣayībhavat Th.

⁴ em. paryudāsah (Th): paryudaparyudāsah J_m.

⁵ For °samagratādhigataye J_m see °samagrādhigataye Th.

 $^{^6}$ Forekadhīparicayo 'śabda
° $\rm J_m$ seeekadhī[vacana]yoḥ śabda
° $\rm Th.$

⁷ For tādrgdaśāsamvido J_m (cf. AR 4,5) see tādrgdrśah samvido Th.

15

```
nākṣasya siddhaṃ yadi tādavasthyaṃ śaktir
na kāryānupalambhanasya |¹
akṣasya siddhaṃ yadi tādavasthyaṃ phalaṃ
na kāryānupalambhanasya || 3 || †
```

198

avaśyāpekṣaṇīyatve svabhāvānupalabdhiṣu |
saṃsargasya kathaṃ siddhir jñānakāryādṛśo² 'pi vaḥ || 4 ||
bāhya evaiṣa niyamo * yadi tatrāpi kiṃ kṛtaḥ |
pradeśamātrayogyatvāc citrā hi viṣayasthitiḥ || 5 ||

8a1

anālokasya dhīr yadvad bādhā nānuktabhedikā | saṃsargiṇam anādrtya tathā bādhāt tu kutracit || 6 ||

tasyās tu rūpam pratiyogivastuvijñānam eveti na bhaṭṭabhittiḥ |³ tathā hi so 'pi pratiyogibhāvo mithaḥ

samakṣāvyabhicāra eva $\parallel 7 \parallel$

tata evaikavijñānam anyābhāvavikalpakṛt |
nābhāvabodho⁴ 'dhyakṣeṇa tatkṛtān niścayāt paraḥ || 8 ||
yathoktapratiyogitve * saty eva kṣamam akṣajam |
tadabhāvāvasāyāyetīdṛśī vastunah sthitih || 9 ||

8a2

nanu pratiyogy evaikajñānasaṃsargī, sākṣād ekajñānasaṃsarga-20 syābhimatatvāt, mukhyatayā sākārasvīkārāt. tataḥ sāmarthyād ekaśabdo 'vyabhicāramātropalakṣaṇatayā 'vatiṣṭhate.

 $^{^1}$ kāryānupalambha
nasya $J_m^{\ PC}$ (Th) : kāryānupalambha {‡ma}nasya
 $J_m^{\ AC}.$

² For jñānakāryādṛśo J_m see jñānakāryadṛśo Th.

 $^{^3}$ For bhattabhittih J_m see bhattabhītih Th.

 $^{^4}$ For abhāvabodho J_m see abhāvabodhyo Th.

20

199

pratyaksaikajātyapeksayā caikavrttir eva. na caivam sañjñāmātram, tathārūdhadarśanāt, yathā aeko vrīhih sampannah subhiksam karotia iti. * tena yatrāpy bekajñānasamsargini drśyamānab iti ucyate, tatrāpy anyonyapratyaksāvyabhicārinīty ayam evārthah. ekāyatanabhāva eva tūpalaksanatayā prakrtāpeksatayā vyākhyeyah, yathā pradeśas tajjñā- 5 nam cānupalabdhir iti. tataś ca svabhāvānupalabdhau pratiyogisamā-8a4 śraya ity ekajñānasamsargasamāśraya evokto bhavatīti katham * avyāpakam ekajñānasamsargitvam nāma? satyam evam.

> pratiyugavasitaikadhīsthitir² yadi bhavate pratibhāti kā ksatih nanu sakalakalāpasamhṛtam svayam adṛśo 'stu

nisedhanam dhvaneh | 10 | +

anyonyapratyaksāvyabhicārasya kenāpi dhvanāv api sambhavāt, itarasya tu pratiyogino 'yogāt. pratiyogaparyavasitam ekajñānasamsargam manyamānasya * svānupalabdhitah³ prakrtanisedhe kā 'parāpeksā? tas- 15 mān na yogyadeśāśesaśabdābhāvasādhane jñānakāryānupalambhah śaranīkaranīyah, svabhāvānupalabdhyaiva siddher iti. timirālokayos tu parasparadeśaparihārena sthitayor abhinnendriyakrta evaikajñānasamsargah sulabha iti tadabhāvasādhanādhikāre dūrataiva4 svabhāvānupalambhād * anyasādhanacinteti sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā samāptā.

^bCe NBT 102,1 ^a Ce MBh I 230,4 on vt.4, Pānini 1.2.59

¹ tatrāpy J_m^{PC} (TM 3) (Th): yatrāpy J_m^{AC} .

² For °vasita° J_m see °sita° Th.

³ For svānupalabdhitah J_m see svānupalabdhih Th.

⁴ For dūrataiva J_m see dūrasthitaiva Th.

APPENDIX I

INTERNAL REFERENCES TO ANUPALABDHIRAHASYA VERSE 2AB

The Anupalabdhirahasya is built around a single half-verse in Śārdulavikrīdita, the most frequent metre in Jñānaśrīmitra's works:¹

vedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamatah syād vā na vā tasya dhīr istā no 'nupalabdhir anyavirahajñānasthiter āśrayah |

This half-verse is repeatedly taken up throughout the text, in different forms and for various explanatory purposes. For the sake of convenience these are listed in the following table.

No.	AR	Text
1	1,12f.	yatra vedyamāne yasya matiḥ syād iti niyameneti
2	1,16f.	yatra ca vedyamāne yanmatir niyamena syāt, tasyaiva dhīr iṣṭā 'nyasya dvitīyasyābhāvaniścayaprabandhākṣepāya kṣameti.
3	2,8f.	yasmin vedyamāne niṣedhya upalabhyam eveti sāmānyokteḥ.
4	2,17f.	uktam ca: yasmin vedyamāne yad avasyam vedyata eveti.
5	9,2-6	yasmin vedyamāne yad avaśyam vedyata eva, tasyābhinnendriyagrāh- yasyānyasya vā 'nindriyagrāhyasya vā buddhir anupalabdhih tadartham ca virahajñānasya sthiteh sthairyasyāśraya ity uktam, na tu virahaniścayasyeti.
6	9,8f.	ity āha na veti. vedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamato na syād ity arthaḥ
7	9,12f.	nanu yasmin vedyamāne yanmatir niyamena bhavituṃ sambhāvyata iti

¹ Note that Thakur printed the text as a verse in J, whereas Hahn did not include it in his list of metres used in J in Hahn 1971:66.

No	AR	Text
8	9,16-18	evam tarhi na vā syād ity ayam abhāvaḥ pradhvamsalakṣaṇo vyā-khyāyate pravṛttā 'pi yanmatir yatra vedye sati niyamena nivartata ity arthaḥ. niyamaś ca sattābādhane 'bhāva eva yuktaḥ
9	13,7-11	tatrāpi vikalpākāre vedyamāne bahir apy abhimatadeśam indriyasām- arthyānapāye 'nubhūyeta ity ucyate. na tu vikalpanīye bāhye vikalpya- māne dvitīyam api vedyeta iti śakyam. vikalpanīye 'pi bāhye vikalpya- māne taddeśam aparam upalabhyetaiva iti kim na syāt?

APPENDIX II TEXTUAL RELATIONSHIPS TO OTHER WORKS

The following list contains all materials from works other than Anupalab-dhirahasya and Sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā which are relevant to the constitution of these two treatises and which have therefore been noted in the reference apparatus of the critical text. It comprises both cases where Jñānaśrīmitra incorporates or references material from other works, such as the Hetubindu, and where other works, such as the anonymous Tarkarahasya, incorporate or reference material from AR/SAC.

These cases are presented in a special appendix for two reasons. First, the list given below will facilitate an overview of the textual environment in which these two works are couched. Secondly, in some cases the discovery of a work's relationship to a passage in AR/SAC also allows for a new assessment of that work's philological constitution, or at least raises questions in this regard. Since these issues are not relevant to the constitution of AR/SAC itself, they are not discussed in the critical apparatus to the edition, but are instead presented in this appendix.

When only a part of a sentence has a relationship to text in AR/SAC, the relevant part is <u>underlined</u>. The Sanskrit from AR/SAC, as critically constituted in this edition, is cited only when it differs from the other passage; if it is identical, only the location in AR/SAC is given.

Textual relationships are indicated by the abbreviations outlined above on p. 38.

REFERENCES IN AR/SAC TO OTHER TEXTS

Note that **T**, the point of reference for the abbreviation that indicates the textual relationhip in question, is here the *other* work, and not AR or SAC.

NB 2.32: kāryānupalabdhir yathā <u>nehāpratibaddhasāmarthyāni dhūmakāraṇāni</u> santi dhūmābhāvād iti.

Ci AR 11,16f.

NBȚ 102,1-3: tasmād <u>ekajñānasaṃsargiņi drśyamāne</u> saty ekasminn itarat samagradarśanasāmagrīkaṃ yadi bhaved dṛśyam eva bhaved iti sambhāvitaṃ dṛśyatvam¹ āropyate.

Ci SAC 13.3.

¹ drśyatvam: drśyam ms A. According to the notes in DhP, the reading drśyam has been adopted in the editions P (Peterson, Calcutta 1889), H (Chandra Shekhar Shastri, Banaras 1924), E (Stcherbatsky, Petrograd 1918), and N (P.I. Tarkas, Akola 1952).

For further information about the relationship between these editions, see Malvania's introduction to DhP.

PV 3.85ab: pratiședhas tu sarvatra sādhyate 'nupalambhataḥ ||

Cie AR 8,6: pratiședhas tu sarvatrānupalambhād iti ... This may be a transmission variant of PV 3.85ab.

PV 3.107cd: vyavasyantīkṣaṇād eva sarvākārān mahādhiyaḥ | Ci AR 7,5.

PV 4.270: <u>ekopalambhānubhavād idam nopalabhe</u> iti | buddher upalabhe veti kalpikāyāḥ samudbhavaḥ || = PVin 3.45: | <u>gcig dmigs ñams su myon ba las</u> || 'di ni <u>dmigs so</u> źes bya 'am || mi dmigs so źes rtog can gyi || blo ni skye bar 'gyur ba yin | (45b: 'am D: ba 'am P; 45c: rtog D: rtogs P; can D: cig P).

Ci AR 6,15 and 7,1.

In Miyasaka 1971/72:202, the quotation in AR is misprinted as $ekopalambh\bar{a}nubh\bar{a}v\bar{a}dy-\bar{a}din\bar{a}$.

PVSV 2,19: tatra <u>dvāv vastusādhanāv</u> ekaḥ pratiṣedhahetuḥ, also in PVin 2 56,9, NB 2.18 (both with atra instead of tatra).

Cie AR 8,7: dvāv eva vidhisādhanāv iti yathā.

Cie AR 11,5: vidhisādhanāv dvāv iti.

PVSV 5,14-17: anyonyopalabdhiparihārasthitalakṣaṇatā vā virodho nityānityatvavat. tatrāpy ekopalabdhyā 'nyānupalabdhir evocyate, <u>anyathā 'niṣiddhopalabdher abhāvāsiddheh</u>.

Ci'e AR 2,2f.

PVSV 16,1-5: naivam vākyāni drśyaviśeṣatvāt, adrśyatve 'py adrṣṭaviśeṣāṇām vijātīyatvopagamavirodhāt, tadviśeṣāṇām anyatrāpi śakyakriyatvāt, pratyakṣāṇām śabdānām apratyakṣasvabhāvābhāvāt, bhrāntinimittābhāvāt, bādhakābhāvād bhrāntyasiddheh.

Ci' SAC 4,16.

PVin 1 5,5f.: pratiṣedhaṃ cāyaṃ kvacit kurvāṇo na pratyakṣeṇa kartum arhatīti¹, tasyābhāvaviṣayatvavirodhāt, arthasāmarthyāpekṣaṇāt.

Ci/Cie AR 8,2: $arthas\bar{a}marthy\bar{a}pek \bar{s}\bar{a}dyukte\dot{h}$. Jñānaśrīmitra may have known the text as $arthas\bar{a}marthy\bar{a}pek \bar{s}\bar{a}t$, citing only ° $apek \bar{s}a$ °, and not ° $apek \bar{s}a$, but a corruption of J_m cannot be excluded.

PVin 1 14,11-13: tad ayam asaṃsṛṣṭavikalpo vā pratyakṣo darśanātmā, dṛśyātmano vā vikalpasya darśane 'dṛṣṭir vikalpakalpanām indriyajñāne pratihanti.

Ci AR 6,9-11. J_m lacks pratyakṣaḥ, which was emended on the basis of PVin 1.

Ci SAC 6,25-7,1: dṛśyātmano vā vikalpasya darśane 'dṛṣṭir vikalpakalpanām indriyajñāne pratihanti.

PVinȚ D Dze 24b5f. = P Dze 27b5: snan ba yod pa ni ... śes pa'i yul gyi no bo ñid kyis khyab pa yin la |

Ci'/Ci'e AR 5,19f.: dṛśyasattāyā darśanaviṣayatvena vyāpteḥ. The Sanskrit original may have read jñānaviṣayatvena – in which case this would be a Ci'e-passage –, or śes pa may be an interpretive translation on the part of rNog lo tsā ba, in which case this could also be a Ci'-passage.

MBh I 230,4 on vt.4, Pāṇini 1.2.59: eko vrīhiḥ sampannaḥ subhikṣaṃ karoti. Ci SAC 13.2.

HB 21,21f.: <u>tasmād anyopalabdhir anupalabdhih</u>, vivakṣitopalabdher anyatvāt, <u>abhaksyāsparśanīyavat paryudāsavrttyā</u>.

Ci'e AR 1,5f.: tasmād abhakṣyādivat paryudāsavṛttyā 'nyopalabdhir evānupalabdhih.

HB 22,2-4: yatra <u>yasminn upalabhyamāne niyamena yadupalabdhir¹ bhavati,</u> yogyatāyā aviśeṣāt, sa tatsamṣṛṣṭaḥ, ekajñānasaṃṣargāt.

¹ For yad° HB ms 17a3, Steinkellner reconstructed yasya.

Ci' e AR 2a: vedye yatra hi yanmatir niyamatah syāt ...

HB 22,4f.: tayoh sator naikarūpaniyatā pratipattih, asambhavāt.

Ci'e AR 2,14f.: tayor hi sator naikarūpaniyatā pratipattih, with pratipattih emended against pratisattih J_m (pratyāsattih Th).

Ci'e AR 10,5: ... nāgner ekarūpaniyatā pratipattih.

Ci'e SAC 9,3f.: tayor hi sator naikarūpaniyatā pratipattih, asambhavāt.

HB 25,12-14: sa <u>katham</u> abhāva h^1 <u>kasyacit pratipattih pratipattih tur vā? tasyāpi vā</u> <u>katham pratipattih</u>?

Ci'e AR 1,4f.: ... tat kathaṃ kasyacit pratipattiḥ pratipattihetur vā, tasyāpi vā kathaṃ pratipattiḥ?

¹ For sa katham abhāvaḥ in HB ms 19a3 and the Ci-passage J 102,14f. Steinkellner reconstructed katham so 'bhāvah HB.

 $^{^2}$ $v\bar{a}$ HB ms, AR, R 28,25, J 102,14 : om. R 105,8f., TBh 11,5f., HBṬ 176,16f. See de yan HBt 78.18.

REFERENCES TO AR/SAC IN OTHER TEXTS

The location in AR/SAC is given first, followed by the other texts which refer to its text. Note that the point of reference T for the sigla indicating the textual relationship is AR or SAC.

AR 9,3-5: yasmin vedyamāne yad avaśyam vedyata eva, tasyābhinnendriyagrāhyasyānyasya vā 'nindriyagrāhyasya vā buddhir anupalabdhiḥ.

Ci'e TR 91,22-92,1 = TR ms 44b5f.: <u>yasmin vedyamāne yan¹ niyamena vedyate</u>, tat tasya pratiyogī. tad ekendriyajñānagrāhyam, yathā bhūtalaghaṭarūpayoḥ, bhinnendriyagrāhyam vā, yathā devakulabherīśabdayoḥ, vikalpajñānāndhakārasthapratiṣedhyadīpayor² vā.

¹ yan em.: yasmin TR ms. This emendation was already suggested by the editor of TR. The correct text can easily be obtained through deletion of ${}^{\circ}smi^{\circ}$. The scribe probably wrongly associated the preceding yasmin when he read the syllable ${}^{\circ}ya^{\circ}$ in his exemplar.

² For °andhakārastha° TR ms cf. °andhakārasya° TR edition. Cf. also Yaita 1993:81, n.6. The manuscript also reads °pratiṣedhya(prata)dīpayor, suggesting that an eyeskip to °prati was corrected by the scribe himself in the process of writing. He might however have gone too far in the correction process, for perhaps the exemplar contained pradīpa as suggested by AR 3,10-20, where the negation of light in darkness as based on the conceptual cognition of darkness is mentioned.

The passage in TR also mentions other topics discussed in AR and SAC, but without closely relying on either text. In addition to the negation of light in darkness in AR 3,9ff., the example of devakula and bherīśabda also occurs in AR 3,4f. and SAC 11,3f.

AR 11,6-9: ... anupalabdhiḥ svasyāparasyāparā. kāraṇavyāpakayoḥ svabhāvānupalabdhir evāparasya kāryasya vyāpyasya vā 'parā kāraṇānupalabdhir vyāpakānupalabdhiś cocyate.

Ci'e TR 95,17-19 = TR ms 46b2f.: mitramatam punah: anupalabdhih¹ svasyāparasyāparā.² svabhāvānupalabdhir evāparasya pratiṣedhyasyāparā³ bhavati, kāraṇānupalabdhir vyāpa-kānupalabdhiś cetyādiprakārā.

 1 em. anupalabdhiḥ (following the suggestion in Yaita 1993:87, n.2): anupala(bh/t)ā TR ms; see anupala <bdha>tā TR edition, also reproduced in Bühnemann 1983:186. The occurrence of anulapala(bh/t)ā in J_m for the conjectured anupalabdhiḥ in both AR 11,6 and the P-passage AR 12,2 shows that the scribe of TR ms (or one of its ancestors) used J_m or one of its ancestors; he would either have tacitly corrected the mistaken $^{\circ}$ lapa $^{\circ}$ to $^{\circ}$ la $^{\circ}$ or relied on an exemplar that did not yet, or no longer, contain this scribal error. Note that the script of TR ms, referred to as

"proto-Maithilī" in Bühnemann 1983:185, is very similar to the script of J_m ; the two manuscripts cannot be that much removed from each other in time.

The author of TR closely follows Jñānaśrīmitra's syntax, where the programmatic statement anupalabdhiḥ svasyāparasyāparā is followed by an explanation of each svasya, aparasya, and aparā. The expression svasya is paraphrased with svabhāva°, aparasya is glossed as kāryasya vyāpyasya vā, and aparā as kāraṇānupalabdhir vyāpakānupalabdhiś ca. In this explanation, TR differs from AR in wording, but these differences merely amount to reformulations which can be attributed to the author of TR and are hardly transmission variants.

The situation differs with regard to the programmatic statement itself. The subsequent gloss on *aparasya* only makes sense if *aparasya* indeed occurs in the first sentence. Replacing *svasya* with *svabhāvasya* cannot be taken as a stylistic choice on the part of the author, because then the expression *svabhāvānupalabdhiḥ* loses its explanandum in the programmatic statement.

With the current state of knowledge, it seems most likely that *svasyāparasyāparā* in the original text of TR became corrupted to *svabhāvasyāparā*. This may have occurred in several stages. Perhaps the first °*syā*° was hastily judged a dittography and deleted, and the resulting string *svaparasyāparā* was then, by another hand, changed into *svabhāvasyāparā* on the basis of the occurrence of *svabhāvānupalabdhih* in the next sentence.

Alternatively, °bhā° might have crept into the TR ms because the scribe somehow tried to make sense of the corruption of the phrase to $anulapala(bh/t)\bar{a}vasvasy\bar{a}parasy\bar{a}par\bar{a}$ in J_m for AR 12,2, for which, following Thakur, we conjectured anupalabdhih $svasy\bar{a}parasy\bar{a}par\bar{a}$. In this case the scribe of TR ms (or one of its ancestors) would have used J_m prior to its correction, or one of its ancestors that had already contained the corruption.

² em. svasyāparasya (cf. AR) : svabhāvasya TR ms.

³ For pratisedhyasyāparā TR ms see aparā TR edition. Cf. Bühnemann 1983:186.

DOUBTFUL TEXTUAL RELATIONSHIPS

The following passages in AR and SAC may have a relationship to other works, but this is either uncertain or the target of a reference is unidentified; as a result, the type of relationship cannot be determined with certainty and is merely indicated by way of suggestion.

AR 7,8f.: na cābhāvo nāma vigrahavān, yenānyavyatirekiņā rūpeņa sākṣātkartavyaḥ, kiṃ tu vyavahartavyaḥ.

SAC 10,6f.: na hy asau vigrahavān, yatah sāksātkartavyah.

Ce'e?

MBhP 31,4-6: tad idam uktam abhiyuktaiḥ: na hy abhāvo nāma vigrahavān yaḥ sākṣātkarta-vyaḥ, api tu vyavahartavya iti.

NVTŢ 514,8f.: na tv abhāvo nāma kaścid vigrahavān asti yaḥ pratipattigocaraḥ syāt, api tu vyavahartavyaḥ param.

NKaṇ 51,30: nanv abhāvo nāma vigrahavān na kaścid anubhūyata ity uktam ...¹

Ci'e?

R 89,12f.: na hy abhāvaḥ kaścid vigrahavān yaḥ sākṣātkartavyaḥ, api tu vyavahartavyaḥ.

R 91,18f.: na hy abhāvaḥ kaścid vigrahavān yaḥ sākṣātkartavyaḥ, api tu vikalpād eva vyava-hartavyaḥ.

Śālikanātha is so far the oldest witness for this claim, which he apparently took from another treatise that might also be Jñānaśrīmitra's source.

Considering that all parallels read yaḥ, one could feel tempted to emend yataḥ in SAC to yaḥ, but the use of the functional equivalent yena in the parallel in AR makes it more likely that the author chose this expression deliberately.

AR 4,8: uktam atra: nābhāvasya kvacit sāmarthyam ityādi.

Ce'?

This may but need not be a quotation, since the claim that absence lacks causal capacity is very common in Buddhist *pramāna* treatises.

¹ Elliot Stern kindly checked the two available manuscripts, both of which confirm this reading.

AR 10,14f.: sāpekṣā tu parā smṛtāv ata ihābhāvo 'pi sādhyaḥ.

Ce'?

AR 10,16f.: <u>hetuvyāpakanihnave</u> abhāvo 'pi sādhya iti vartate.

Ce'?

That both these segments come from another work and may have been a verse in Anustubh metre was kindly suggested to me by Helmut Krasser. In the first passage, either *atah* or *iha* must have been added by Jñānaśrīmitra, for otherwise there would be too many syllables for a *śloka*.

That the expression *hetuvyāpakanihnave* it is taken from a work other than AR is suggested by its being made the target of a remark in commentarial style (... *iti vartate*), and of a subsequent explanation in AR 11,15: ... *hetuśabdasya vā lingārthasya vyākhyānāt*. This material may come from the same work, perhaps even from the same verse.

AR 14,3: ... sarvacittāsarvabodhaniścaye 'pi sa eva sarvajña iti.

Ce'?

The phrase sa eva sarvajña also occurs in a similar context in PVABh 235,6-8 = PVABh ms B 117a4: yasya hi darśanam jñeyasattām vyāpnoti saivam bruvāṇah śobheta. tadā 'pi sa eva sarvajña ity apratikṣepah sarvavidah nāpi paralokādeh, sarvadarśinā nopalabhyata ity atra pramāṇābhāvāt. (The edition prints darśa(na)m, but 'na' can be read in ms B.) However, judging from the content, this might also be a reference to Jñānaśrīmitra's lost Sarvajñasiddhi.

APPENDIX III METRES USED IN ANUPALABDHIRAHASYA AND SARVAŚABDĀBHĀVACARCĀ

Metre	Verse
Aparavaktra	SAC 10
Śloka	AR 1, SAC 1, SAC 2, SAC 4, SAC 5, SAC 6, SAC 8,
	SAC 9
Śārḍūlavikrīḍita	AR 2ab
Upajāti	SAC 3, 7

Upajāti is a combination of Indravajrā (I) and Upendravajrā (U). SAC 3 is designed as IIUU; in $p\bar{a}das$ 2 and 4 the last syllable is shortened. SAC 7 is also designed as IIUU, and here the last syllable is short in $p\bar{a}das$ 1 and 4.

Perhaps Jñānaśrīmitra here makes use of a feature of the Upajāti-definition according to Jayadeva's school, used as a basis for verse 50 of the Vṛṭṭamālāstuti that is attributed to Jñānaśrīmitra himself, namely śravyayatiprapañcau, "provided with a variety of euphonic caesurae", cf. Hahn 1971:120f. According to Hahn, no internal caesura (yati) is defined for Upajāti, so that this feature must apply to a caesura at the end. The shortening of the last syllable in these quarters may then result from an attempt at providing such a "euphonic caesura".

INDEX

INDEX OF WORDS

The index of words covers the edited Sanskrit text and reports locations with page- and line-numbers, also for verses. References point to the pagination marked by an asterisk and always indicate the page and line where a word or phrase begins.

In its coverage, this index ranges somewhere in between an index solely of technical terms and a full word-index: it reports all words, including compounds, except for demonstrative, relative, indefinite and interrogative pronouns (yat, tat, ayam, yādṛśa, kasyacit, katham, nanu, kimanga), conjunctions (ca, api, yasmāt), and other adverbs and particles (atra, tatra, iva, yathā, tathā, anyathā); oādi in the meaning "etc." is reported inside compounds, but not as an entry of its own.

However, certain pronominal adjectives (*eka*, *anya*, *para*, *apara*) that are significant given the genre and content of AR and SAC, as well as certain adverbs (*svayam*, *pratisvam*), particles and quantifiers (*eva*, °*mātra*), as well as numerals (*dvi*, *ubhe*) are reported.

The index further includes phrases beginning with *iti*, such *iti adoṣaḥ*, or *iti yat kiñcid etat* that might be of interest to readers investigating argument patterns.

Compounds, complex formations and phrases are processed "regressively": the entire string is recorded – with the final member in singular stem-form² –, as are all its smaller units from the left on to the last member on the right. For instance, the compound anyavirahajñānasthiti generates the entries anyavirahajñānasthiti, virahajñānasthiti, jñānasthiti and sthiti, while the phrase ity adoṣaḥ generates ity adoṣaḥ and adoṣa. The location given is always that of the beginning of the entire string. Lexicalised compounds and compounds which are used as technical terms (e.g. tadutpatti), as well as negative nominal compounds (e.g. anupalabdhi, anupalambha) are not processed in this fashion and are entered only as an entire string. Line-numbers where the entry in question occurs in full are given in bold print; line-numbers in roman typeface thus indicate that the entry occurs as a non-initial part of a compound or complex formation.

Words or phrases are gathered under a common head wherever this is convenient; the head in this case is either a beginning word of a compound or a morphological basis that is common to several derived word-formations, e.g., $satt\bar{a}$ and sattva are gathered under sat; in the case of words or complex word-formations, the substrings – here the suffixes ${}^{\circ}t\bar{a}$ and ${}^{\circ}tva$ – are prefixed with a tilde "~".. This arrangement also applies when the head itself is a non-initial member of a compound, recognisable by the line-number in roman typeface. Finite verb-forms can be found under the respective root, with upasarga if applicable. Unless vowel sandhi applies, prefixes are separated from verb roots with dashes, but with some sandhi applied (e.g. vy-abhi-car-, but apeks-for apa-atthay- for apa-atthay-a

¹ For technical reasons, page- and line-numbers are here separated not by comma, but by full-stop.

² Note that this also applies, somewhat counter-intuitively, to *dvandva* compounds.

106 Index

Multiple occurrences of a word in the same line are indicated by the number of occurrences inside brackets after the location, e.g. SAC 3.14(2).

Nouns, adjectives and participles are recorded in stem-form, but significant fixed nominal or adverbial derivations like *niyamena* or *niyamataḥ* have their own entries. Abstract suffixes are recorded irrespective of their significance (formation of abstract nouns or of nominal sentences). Gerunds and infinitives are reported as such.

Sorting follows the common sequence of the Devanāgarī script, with dashes preceding the letter a; e.g., $antar-bh\bar{u}$ - can be found before antara.

Reading example:

anupalabdhi: AR 1.3, 6, 11; 2.3, 5, 14; 5.8, 18, 19; 8.10, 12, 16, 17; 9.4; 11.4(2), 5, 6, 7, 8(2), 10, 11(2), 12, 14(2); 12.2, 3, 5, 10, 17; 13.18; 14.16(2); 15.1, 3, 5, 10; SAC 1.1, 3, 11, 20; 2.3, 10; 3.2; 4.19, 21; 5.2, 15; 6.5, 7, 10, 15, 16(2), 23, 24; 7.2, 3, 6, 9, 17; 8.16; 9.2, 9; 10.12, 14, 17, 18, 20; 11.6, 15, 21; 12.5; 13.6, 6, 15, 17; ~ādi SAC 10.17; ~tva AR 12.15; ~paryudāsa AR 14.19; ~mātropanyāsa SAC 6.8; ~rahasya AR 15.11; ~lakṣaṇaprāptatva SAC 5.6; ~vyavasthā SAC 6.20; ~vyavasthānibandhana AR 10.12; ~vyavahāra AR 12.9; ~śabda AR 12.14; ~sañjñākaraṇa SAC 4.20; ~sāmānyāśraya AR 11.6

- 1. As a term on its own, *anupalabdhi* occurs (e.g.) in AR 1.3, 1.6 and 1.11, in SAC 4.21 or 13.6 (the occurrence printed in bold).
- 2. In non-initial position, *anupalabdhi* occurs (e.g.) in AR 5.18 and 5.19, in SAC 6.5 and 6.7, twice in SAC 6.16, and once in SAC 13.6 (the occurrence printed in roman typeface).
- 3. anupalabdhitva as such occurs in AR 12.15.
- 4. *anupalabdhiparyudāsa* occurs in non-initial position in AR 14.19 (*vyāpakānupalabdhiparyudāsa*), and does not occur anywhere as such.

	anapekṣa: SAC 5.18
aṃśa: SAC 6.11	anapekṣya: SAC 10.13
akalitabala: SAC 3. 17	anabhiniveśa: SAC 8.23
akṣa: ~ja SAC 12.17; ~siddha SAC 12.1,	anabhyāsavat: AR 7.2
3	anala: AR 13. 15
akṣama: SAC 10.18	anavasthānalakṣaṇa: AR 9.19
agrhīta: SAC 6.20	anavasthāprasanga: SAC 7.4
agni: AR 9. 18 ; 10. 5 , 7 , 17 ; 12. 1 ; 14. 12 ,	anavasthāyin: AR 6.1
13, 14, 15; ~vṛkṣa AR 10.18	anākṛṣṭi: SAC 11.17
agrasangin: SAC 10.16	anādṛtya: SAC 12.10
anga: AR 10.11	anārabhyāsitum: SAC 1.14
aṅgī-kṛ-: aṅgīkriyate SAC 2.12	anāloka: SAC 12.9
añjanaviśeṣādi: AR 10.4	anicchā: AR 4.13
atādavasthya: SAC 3.21; ~vyavasthā	anindriyagrāhya: AR 9.3
SAC 3.12	aniyatasthiti: AR 2.11
atikrama: SAC 7.12	anivārya: AR 1.9; ~virahavyavahāra AR
atidūra: SAC 8.4	6. 2
atirikta: SAC 5.8; 7.15; ~apekṣin SAC	aniścinvat: AR 8.14
7.20	anişiddhopalabdhi: AR 2.2
atīndriyatva: SAC 2.2	anistapratiyogisamāśraya: SAC 11.17
atyantābhyāsa: SAC 3.17	anīla: AR 9. 9
atyāga: AR 3.3	anu-ī-: anveti SAC 4.10
adarśana: SAC 3.18; 8.12	anu-bhū-: anubhūyate AR 7.1; anubhū-
adṛk: SAC 13. 11	yeta AR 13.8; SAC 7.6
adṛśa: SAC 12.6	anukāra: AR 4.13
adrśya: AR 6.1; 9.13; ~tā AR 9.14	anukṛtānvayavyatireka: AR 4.15
adṛṣṭa: AR 3. 3 ; 5. 18	anuktabhedika: SAC 12.9
adṛṣṭi: AR 6.11; SAC 7.1	anuktimātra: SAC 6.12
adoșa: AR 10.4	anuccāraņa: SAC 7.8
adhikavyañjakāpekṣa: SAC 11.19	anutpāda: AR 4.5
adhikāra: AR 6.9; 7.6; SAC 11.10; 13.19	anupayukta: AR 3. 15
adhikṛtya: AR 5. 9	anuparodha: SAC 11.16
adhigati: SAC 11.19	anupalakṣaṇa: SAC 3.11
adhimokṣa: SAC 4.7; ~viṣaya SAC 4.5	anupalakṣita: SAC 3.8
adhiṣṭhānādivikārakāraṇa: SAC 3.9	anupalaksyakāraņārabdhadinmohala-
adhyakṣa: AR 7.10; 12.8; SAC 4.13;	kṣaṇendriyabhrāntidarśana: SAC 3.10
11. 11 ; 12. 16 ; ~tvanibandhana SAC	anupalabdhi: AR 1.3, 6, 11; 2.3, 5, 14;
3. 23	5. 8 , 18, 19; 8.10, 12, 16, 17; 9. 4 ;
adhyavasāya: AR 15.1	11.4(2), 5, 6 , 7, 8(2), 10 , 11(2), 12,
ananubhavat: AR 4.19	14(2); 12. 2 , 3, 5, 10 , 17 ; 13.18;
anantaram: AR 5.16	14.16(2); 15.1, 3, 5, 10 ; SAC 1.1, 3,
anantarasamsayavinākrta: AR 5.11	11 , 20 ; 2.3, 10; 3.2; 4.19, 21 ; 5.2, 15 ;
anapāya: AR 13.8	6.5, 7, 10 , 15, 16(2), 23, 24; 7.2, 3, 6,

~jñānākāravirahin AR 4.11; ~nisedha

9, **17**; 8.16; 9.2, 9; 10.**12**, **14**, **17**, 18, AR 6.15; ~parigraha AR 4.5; ~pratītisāpeksa AR 3.5; ~viraha SAC 3.5; 20; 11.**6**, 15, 21; 12.5; 13.6, **6**, 15, 17; ~ādi SAC 10.17; ~tva AR 12.15; ~vaikalya AR 1.13; ~sannidhi AR ~paryudāsa AR 14.19; ~mātropanyāsa 3.13; SAC 6.9; ~sannidhisamśaya AR SAC 6.8; ~rahasya AR 15.11; ~laksa-3.15; ~sannidhisiddhinibandhana AR naprāptatva SAC 5.6; ~vyavasthā SAC 3.18; ~sākalya AR 2.5; SAC 4.20; 6.20; ~vyavasthānibandhana AR ~sāmānya AR 6.4 10.12; ~vyavahāra AR 12.9; ~śabda antarāsamśaya: AR 6.12 AR 12.14; ~sañjñākarana SAC 4.20; antarbhāva: AR 15.9; SAC 10.18 ~sāmānyāśraya AR 11.6 andhakāra: AR 3.11; 10.1 anupalambha: AR 1.1; 7.12, 13; 8.6; anya: AR 1.6, 17; 2.7, 8, 11; 3.9, 12, 15; 10.1; 13.20; SAC 2.4; 6.21; 10.20; 4.11; 9.3; 11.10; 13.12; 14.4, 16; 15.8, 13.16, 19; ~na SAC 12.2, 4; ~mātra 10; SAC 3.17; 7.8; 8.17; 9.7; 10.4; AR 7.11 11.13, 21; ~atiriktāpeksin SAC 7.20; anupādhika: AR 4.18 ~apekṣā AR 2.10; ~abhāvaniścaya anupāya: SAC 2.8 SAC 7.5; ~abhāvaniścayasamartha AR 4.10; ~abhāvabhāsana AR 8.4; ~abhāanubhava: AR 2.18; 5.5, 6; 6.15, 16 anubhūyamāna: AR 6.5 vavikalpakrt SAC 12.15; ~grahāvinābhūta AR 3.8; ~grāhijñānāntarāvināanumanyamāna: AR 8.1 anumāna: AR 7.14; 8.3; 12.17; 13.5; bhūta AR 3.8; ~jñāna AR 6.12; ~ta-SAC 6.18; ~ātmika AR 14.9; ~vyamadoṣāsatti SAC 5.14; ~dhī SAC vasthā SAC 6.19; ~sambhava AR 6.7 11.21; ~nisedha AR 4.7; 9.7; ~nisedhavikalpa AR 4.15; ~nisedhasādhana anumīyamāna: AR 13.4 anurodha: AR 13.14, 14; SAC 9.15 AR 14.7; ~pratyaksa AR 11.10; ~pratyaksāvyabhicāritā SAC 9.16; ~praanulanghya: SAC 7.10 anullikhitāntarāsamśaya: AR 6.12 yojanacarcā SAC 5.24; ~mātra AR 1.7; anuvartana: AR 3.11 10.12; SAC 10.14; ~virahajñānasthiti anuvrttya: AR 14.1 AR 1.11; ~viśesabuddhi AR 2.1; ~sañanusanga: SAC 1.7 jñā SAC 5.1; ~sādhanacintā SAC anusārin: SAC 4.14 13.20 anusrtya: AR 7.11 anyathātvaśankā: SAC 3.19 aneka: SAC 9.13 anyonya: SAC 9.5; anekānta: SAC 4.3; ~prasanga SAC 8.16 ~pratyaksāvyabhicāra SAC 8.11, 24; anaikāntikatva: SAC 2.3 10.4; 13.13; ~pratyakṣāvyabhicāralaanaikāntikī: SAC 3.2 kṣaṇa SAC 11.13; ~pratyakṣāvyabhiantah: SAC 7.12 cārin SAC 13.3 antatah: AR 3.10, 16; SAC 11.7 anvaya: ~uktiviśesa SAC 6.13; ~vyatireka AR 4.15; ~vyatirekānukāra AR antar-bhū-: bhāvayati AR 5.15 antara: AR 3.10; 4.8, 19; 8.7; SAC 2.12; 4.13; ~vyatirekin AR 7.8 5.14; 7.6; 10.6; ~abhāva SAC 6.24; apaksa: SAC 3.1 ~abhāvanāntarīyakatā SAC 1.9; ~aviapajanadharmaka: SAC 5.8 nābhūta AR 3.8; ~gamyatva SAC 2.1; apatu: AR 7.10, 12

apanayat: AR 13.1

```
apara: AR 4.2; 11.7(3), 8; 12.2(2); 13.11;
                                                 na SAC 9.19; ~niścayaprabandhākse-
  14.14; ~apekṣā SAC 13.15; ~pratya-
                                                 pa AR 1.17; ~niścayasamartha AR
  ksāvyabhicārin SAC 9.3
                                                 4.10; ~niścayotpādana SAC 9.17;
aparādha: SAC 1.20; 4.17; 8.13
                                                 ~niścayotpādanasāmarthya SAC 10.4,
apahnotum: AR 6.6
                                                 5; ~niścayotpādanimitta AR 13.12;
apātava: AR 7.14
                                                 ~paksadosānusanga SAC 1.7; ~praty-
apūrva: SAC 8.19
                                                 aksīkarana SAC 10.6; ~bodha SAC
apeks-: apeksate SAC 7.14; apekseta
                                                 12.16; ~bhāsana AR 8.4; ~mātra AR
  SAC 7.18; 8.5; apeksyate AR 4.2; SAC
                                                 5.4; ~vat AR 5.11; ~vikalpakrt SAC
                                                 12.15; ~vikalpajananasāmarthya SAC
  5.13, 16, 20; apeksyeta SAC 5.16
apeksa: AR 10.2; SAC 7.20; 11.1, 12, 19;
                                                 1.9; ~vibhāvanā SAC 10.11; ~vyava-
                                                 hāra AR 5.13; ~vyavahāramātradarśa-
  ~ekajñānasamsarga SAC 8.6; ~na
  SAC 8.17; ~tā SAC 13.5; ~pratipattin
                                                 na AR 13.16; ~vyavahāraśaktisam-
  SAC 5.17
                                                 bhava AR 8.5; ~vyavahārasādhana
                                                 SAC 1.11; ~vyavahārika SAC 10.22;
apekṣaṇīyatva: SAC 12.5
                                                 ~sādhana SAC 1.1; 9.14; 13.16; ~sā-
apeksā: AR 2.10; 5.8; 7.3; 11.11; 12.13;
  13.3; SAC 1.19; 3.23; 4.1, 10; 5.5, 12,
                                                 dhanādhikāra SAC 13.19; ~sādhana-
  18; 6.4; 7.8, 11; 8.1, 22, 25; 9.4; 13.1,
                                                 vyavahārasiddhi AR 11.3; ~siddhi AR
  15; ~ādyukti AR 8.2; ~upagraha SAC
                                                 3.4; 4.4, 6; SAC 4.18
  9.11; ~niyama SAC 7.9
                                               abhi-dhā-: abhidhīyate SAC 9.8
                                               abhidhāna: AR 7.3; 15.4
apeksin: SAC 7.20
apeksya: SAC 5.21; ~tva SAC 5.8; 6.5
                                               abhidheya: SAC 1.4
apeksyamāņa: SAC 5.10
                                               abhinna: ~indriyakṛta SAC 13.18; ~in-
aprakāśa: AR 6.1
                                                 driyagrāhya AR 9.3
apratibaddhasāmarthya: AR 11.16
                                               abhiprāya: SAC 6.14
apratisedha: AR 13.7; SAC 1.6; 5.6
                                               abhibhava: AR 13.21
apratyaksa: SAC 6.22; ~yogya SAC 6.22
                                               abhimata: ~tva AR 10.6; SAC 12.20;
aprayojakatva: SAC 6.13
                                                 ~deśa AR 13.8; ~pratikarana SAC 2.7;
abhaksyādivat: AR 1.5
                                                 ~pradeśa SAC 1.13; ~yogyatāniścaya
abhāva: AR 2.17; 3.10; 4.8; 5.1, 10; 7.2,
                                                 AR 6.7
 3, 8, 16; 8.1; 9.16, 18; 10.15, 17, 18,
                                               abhiropārūdhaśravanabala: SAC 3.15
                                               abhūta: ~darśana AR 13.21; ~śravana
  18; 11.17; 12.7; 13.5; 14.10, 18, 20;
 SAC 1.12; 2.6, 9, 14, 21; 3.6, 17, 21,
                                                 SAC 2.20
 22; 4.3, 16; 6.24; 7.3, 11; 8.19, 20; 9.8;
                                               abhyāsa: AR 14.7; ~abhāvavat AR 5.11;
  10.8, 16, 19; 11.4, 14; ~avasāya SAC
                                                 ~daśā AR 13.22; ~daśāpekṣā SAC
  12.18; ~avasāyasādhana SAC 10.1;
                                                 3.23; ~daśāsattisamāśraya SAC 3.15;
  ~avyabhicārin AR 8.10; ~asiddhi AR
                                                 ~daśāvat AR 5.1; ~vat AR 7.2;
 2.3; ~carcā SAC 13.20; ~jñāna AR
                                                 ~viśesavat AR 6.4
 4.17, 19; 5.6; ~jñānasthiti AR 1.14;
                                               abhyupagama: AR 4.14; 9.15; SAC 7.2;
 ~nāntarīyakatā SAC 1.9; ~niyata SAC
                                                 8.4
 2.5; ~niścaya AR 5.14(2), 15; 7.11;
                                               abhyupagamya: SAC 2.8; 6.12
  10.10; 11.2; 14.5; SAC 3.16; 7.5; 9.1;
                                               amūdha: AR 5.10, 19
 ~niścayānga AR 10.11; ~niścayajana-
```

ambhah: AR 8.8

ayukta: AR 6.1	avyabhicaritavyārthāntarajñānākāravirrahin: AR 4.11
ayoga: AR 2.19; 13.5; SAC 4.9; 9. 6 ; 13. 14	
	avyabhicāra: SAC 5.22; 8.11, 24; 10.4;
artha: AR 2.6; 5.18; 6.12; 9.9, 17; 10.16;	12.14; 13.13; ~mātropalakṣaṇatā SAC
11.15; 15.8; SAC 4.8, 20; 5.24; 6.3, 4;	12. 21 ; ~lakṣaṇa SAC 11.13
9. 13 , 14; 13. 4 ; ~antara SAC 10. 6 ;	avyabhicāritā: SAC 9.16
~antarajñānākāravirahin AR 4.11;	avyabhicārin: AR 3.2; 8.10; SAC 9.3;
~abhāva AR 7.3; ~abhāvaniścaya AR	13.3
5.14, 15; ~sāmarthyāpekṣādyukti AR	avyavadhānavibhāga: AR 4.17
8.2	avyavadhi: AR 8.8
artham: AR 9.5; 12.5; SAC 5.10, 17	avyāpaka: SAC 8.17; 13.7
alam: AR 8.16	avyāpāra: AR 8.2
ava-ghuṣ-: avaghuṣyate SAC 2.13	avyāpṛtacakṣuḥ: SAC 11.7
ava-dhṛ-: avadhārayati SAC 1.20	avyāmūḍha: AR 7. 14
ava-sthā-: avatiṣṭhate SAC 10.22; 12.21;	aśakya: AR 10.8; SAC 7.10; ~tva SAC
avasthāpyate AR 7.15	11. 14
avakāśa: SAC 1.12	aśabdapradīpādi: SAC 11. 20
avadhira: SAC 2.14, 15	aśṃvat: SAC 2. 14
avavaraka: AR 3.9	aśeṣaśabdābhāvasādhana: SAC 13.16
avaśyam: AR 2.18; 3.5; 9.2; 10.1; 12.17;	aśravaṇa: SAC 2.19; 3.16; ~daśā SAC
13. 3 ; SAC 1. 4 ; 6. 14	4.2
avaśyāpekṣaṇīyatva: SAC 12.5	aśruti: SAC 2.17; 4.17
avasara: SAC 1.3	as-: asti AR 5.3, 4; 7.1; 10.3; 14.13; SAC
avasāya: SAC 12.18; ~sādhana SAC	2. 6 , 16 ; 5. 15 ; 7. 9 ; 8. 6 , 7 , 12 ; 10. 10 ;
10.1	astu SAC 3.2; 6.6; 13.11; santi AR
avastha: SAC 2.18; 4.14	11.16; syāt AR 1.10, 12, 16; 3.17; 6.8;
avasthā: AR 14.6; SAC 2.16; ~indriya	9. 9 , 16 ; 10. 5 , 10 ; 13. 11 ; 15. 7 , 8 ; SAC
SAC 8.2; ~indriyapranidhividvah AR	2. 18 ; 7. 6 , 8 ; 8. 1 , 2
4.3; ~niścayasambhava SAC 4.17;	asaṃsṛṣṭavikalpa: AR 6.9
~viśesasamvedana AR 3.19; ~samve-	asakṛt: AR 6.5
din SAC 3.18	asambaddha: AR 9.1
avācyatāprasanga: AR 6.11	asambhava: SAC 6.10; 9.4
avinābhūta: AR 3.8(2)	asarvabodhaniścaya: AR 14.3
aviparītavyavahāravṛttidarśana: SAC	asahopalambha: AR 9.8
3.18	asādhanatva: AR 5.4
aviplava: SAC 2.19	asādhya: ~tā SAC 2.13; ~tvaghoṣaṇa
aviruddha: SAC 4.13	SAC 2.9
avirodha: AR 5.18	asāmarthya: AR 5.20
aviśistakāladeśadaśāsantānāpekṣā: SAC	asiddha: SAC 7.9
9.4	asiddhi: AR 2.3; 12.8; SAC 2.3(2); 4.16;
aviśeṣaprasaṅga: SAC 2.15	7. 2 ; ~parihāra AR 14.13; ~parihārā-
avisaṃvādin: AR 14. 5	rtham SAC 5.17; ~sandeha SAC 5.14
avvaktatva: SAC 5.25	asparśanadarśana: AR 4.18

asmallaksanaksati: AR 14.2 āloka: AR 3.2; SAC 5.8; 7.14; 13.17; ā-ksip-: āksipati SAC 7.5 ~apeksapratipattin SAC 5.17; ā-khyā-: ākhyāyate SAC 8.22 ~ādisamagratādhigati SAC 11.19; ā-caks-: ācaksīta SAC 6.16 ~nirapekṣa SAC 5.12; ~sañjñita AR ā-vah-: āvahati SAC 10.16 2.11: SAC 11.3 ā-skand-: āskandati SAC 8.13 ālocanavañcanāphala: AR 8.13 āśraya: AR 1.11; 9.5; 11.6; SAC 1.8 ā-sthā-: āsthīyate SAC 4.2 ākāra: AR 3.16; 7.5; 13.7; SAC 11.7; āśritya: SAC 8.8 āśvāsa: SAC 3.10 ~uparaktajñānavişayībhavajjñāna SAC 11.4; ~tā AR 8.4; ~niyata SAC āsatti: SAC 5.14; ~samāśraya SAC 3.15 7.5; 9.1, 6; ~niyama AR 4.15; 7.9; āh-: āha AR 9.8; 10.14; SAC 6.25 ~mātraniyama AR 4.16; icchat: SAC 3.24 ~mātrasamvedana SAC 10.1; icchānicchā: AR 4.13 ~vikalpānubhava AR 5.5; ~vikalpavat itara: AR 2.2; 6.13; ~abhāvaniścaya SAC AR 5.3; ~virahin AR 3.10; 4.4, 11; 9.1; ~matapratiksepa SAC 9.10 iti: adosah AR 10.4; anabhiniveśah SAC ~śūnya AR 4.20; ~śūnyānyadhī SAC 11.21; ~samvedana AR 14.6; SAC 8.23; anenaiva gatam etat AR 9.13; 11.12 ayam evārthah SAC 13.4; arthah AR ākāśa: SAC 8.13; ~pratyakşa SAC 8.14; 2.6; 9.9, 17; 10.16; alam vimatyã SAC ~pradeśa SAC 11.3 8.17; asambaddham AR 9.1; ~ādi AR ākrsti: SAC 6.2 4.8; 6.11; ~ādinā AR 6.15; āha AR 9.8; āksepa: AR 1.17 10.14; uktam AR 2.1; 7.5; 9.6; ukte āgamya: AR 10.6 SAC 3.2; ucyate AR 13.9; SAC 5.2; ātman: AR 6.10(2); SAC 3.19; 4.4; 6.25; 13.3; eke AR 5.21; krtvā SAC 9.6; ko 7.13; ~tādavasthyasādhya SAC 5.9; virodhah AR 15.6; ko viśesah AR ~bhāva AR 3.19 13.13; cintāyām SAC 3.2; cet AR 1.8; ātmika: AR 14.9; SAC 1.10 3.6; 4.13; 5.1; 6.7, 16; 8.11; 9.15; 10.2; ādhāratāprāpta: AR 2.7 13.7, 18; 15.4; SAC 2.6, 16, 20; 4.4, ādhīnopajanāpajanadharmaka: SAC 5.8 **16**; 5.4, **24**; 7.11; 8.6, 11, 13, **21**; ānana: AR 4.16 darśitam AR 3.5; drastavyam AR 8.16; ānusāra: AR 5.9 na ... avakāśah SAC 1.12; na kaścid āpanna: SAC 4.21; 5.14 viśesah AR 4.12; na dosah AR 12.15; āpātatah: AR 7.10 15.2; na dosah kaścit SAC 10.17; na āmanatah: AR 5.7 nyāyaśāstrayor uparodhah SAC 10.22; na viśesah AR 3.9; SAC 2.20; niścayah āyatana: ~bhāva SAC 13.4; ~saṅgraha AR 5.4; bhāvah SAC 1.15; yat kiñcid SAC 8.21, 23; ~sangrahābhāva SAC 8.20 etat AR 6.8; yathā AR 8.7; ... yuktah āyāta: AR 8.15; SAC 2.7 SAC 10.14; yuktam SAC 1.11; 10.9; yujyate SAC 8.25; śakyam AR 13.10; ārabdhadinmohalaksanendriyabhrāntiśakyam eva SAC 8.3; samānam SAC darśana: SAC 3.10 ārambhaniyamābhāva: AR 13.5 2.21; 6.23; sāmarthyam AR 13.4; SAC ārūdhaśravanabala: SAC 3.15 8.2; sāmarthyāt AR 2.2; sāmānyokteh āropanibandhana: AR 13.12

SAC 6.4; 8.22

AR 2.9; siddham SAC 5.13; 11.15; upa-nī-: upanīyate SAC 6.2 sthitam SAC 11.2 upa-pad-: upapadyate SAC 1.8; 11.9; indriya: SAC 3.12; 7.15; 8.2; 9.13; upapādayati AR 12.12; upapādayitum ~atirikta SAC 5.8; ~antaragamyatva SAC 9.2, 7 SAC 2.1; ~kṛta SAC 13.18; ~guṇa upa-lakș-: upalakșyate SAC 8.24 SAC 1.19; ~grāhya AR 9.3; SAC 8.9; upa-labh-: upalabhe AR 7.1; upalabhyate ~grāhyatāpeksopagraha SAC 9.11; AR 8.15; upalabhyeta AR 13.4, 11; ~grāhyopanyāsa SAC 11.1; ~ja AR SAC 8.1, 3 3.9; ~jadatva SAC 2.1; ~jñāna AR upagraha: SAC 9.11 3.18; 4.6; 6.11; SAC 7.1; ~jñānaniupajanāpajanadharmaka: SAC 5.8 mitta AR 3.14; ~jñānapratyayaniścaya upadar

sana: SAC 6.9 AR 3.14; ~jñānavişaya SAC 6.19; 8.9; upanyasta: SAC 2.8 ~jñānasamsargin AR 2.7; ~tādavaupanyāsa: SAC 6.8; 11.1 sthya SAC 3.24; 7.19; ~tādavasthyupayoga: AR 4.5; SAC 4.8; 7.19 āpekṣā SAC 8.1; ~doṣa SAC 2.4; ~pāupayogin: SAC 4.5 tava AR 13.22; ~pātavasahakrta SAC uparaktajñānavisayībhavajjñāna: SAC 1.17; ~pranidhividvah AR 4.3; ~bhrāntidarśana SAC 3.10; ~vikārauparodha: SAC 10.22 kārin SAC 3.6; ~vaiguņya AR 13.19; upalakṣaṇa: SAC 8.21, 22; ~abhāva SAC ~samsargijñāna AR 4.10; ~sādguņya 3.22; ~tā SAC 12.21; 13.5 AR 8.14; ~sādgunyajñāna SAC 5.10; upalaksita: AR 11.15 ~sādgunyaniścaya AR 4.9; ~sāmarthupalabdha: SAC 6.6; ~vat SAC 9.18 yānapāya AR 13.8; ~svabhāvaviśeşa upalabdhi: AR 1.6, 7; 2.2, 6; 3.1; 12.4, AR 4.1 10; 13.15; 14.9, 10; SAC 2.2; 7.16; iyat: SAC 4.19; ~lakṣaṇa SAC 6.7 9.19; 10.14; ~ādi AR 12.7, 9; ~tva AR is-: isyate AR 1.8 12.14; ~yogyatā AR 3.13; SAC 9.9; ista: AR 1.11, 16 ~yogyatāpanna SAC 5.14; ~rūpa AR īksana: AR 7.5 12.3; ~lakṣaṇaprāptānupalabdhimāukta: AR 2.2, 5, 17; 4.8; 5.8, 14; 6.9, 16; tropanyāsa SAC 6.8; ~virahamātra AR 9.6; 12.9; 15.3, 10; SAC 2.10; 3.2; 1.3; ~śabda AR 12.11; ~sangraha AR 4.13; 8.23; 10.12, 13, 16; 13.7; 12.1 ~pratiyogitva SAC 12.17; ~pratiyogyupalabhya: AR 2.3, 8, 9; SAC 6.7 upalambharūpatā SAC 10.21 upalambha: AR 9.7, 8; 13.2; ~anubhava ukti: AR 2.9; 8.2; ~viśesa SAC 6.13 AR 6.15; ~kāraṇāntaravaikalya AR uccheda: AR 10.4 1.13; ~pratyayāntarasākalya AR 2.5; utpatti: AR 4.17 ~yogyatā AR 2.16; ~yogyatāprāpta utpādana: SAC 9.17; ~sāmarthya SAC SAC 10.20; ~rūpatā SAC 10.21; ~sva-10.4, 5 bhāvānupalabdhi SAC 9.9 utpādanimitta: AR 13.12 upalambhya: AR 13.19 ud-ghus-: udghūsyate SAC 6.5 upasamhita: SAC 1.8 udaya: SAC 11.5; ~vinākṛta SAC 11.9 upādeya: SAC 4.8 udāharaņa: ~apekṣa SAC 11.1; ~apekṣā upāyabuddhyupanyasta: SAC 2.8

ũhya: AR 14.15

eka: AR 1.7; 5.8, 21; 12.15; 14.13; SAC 4.7(2); 5.24; 8.7; 9.13; 13.2; ~adhimokṣaviṣaya SAC 4.5; ~ākāraniyata SAC 7.5; 9.1, 6; ~ākāraniyama AR 4.15; 7.9; ~ākāroparaktajñānavişayībhavajjñāna SAC 11.4; ~āyatanabhāva SAC 13.4; ~āyatanasangraha SAC 8.21, 23; ~āyatanasangrahābhāva SAC 8.20; ~indriyagrāhyatāpeksopagraha SAC 9.11; ~indriyagrāhyopanyāsa SAC 11.1; ~indriyaja AR 3.9; ~indriyajñānasamsargin AR 2.7; ~upalambhānubhava AR 6.15; ~kāryopayogin SAC 4.5; ~jātyapekṣā SAC 13.1; ~jñāna AR 3.8; 9.7; ~jñānāpekṣaṇa SAC 8.17; ~jñānasaṃsarga SAC 5.10, 22; 6.4; 8.6, **19**, **21**, **24**; 12.**19**; 13.**14**, **18**; ~jñānasamsargāpekṣāniyama SAC 7.9; ~jñānasamsargasamāśraya SAC 13.7; ~jñānasaṃsargigraha AR 14.5; ~jñānasaṃsargitva SAC 13.8; ~jñānasamsargin AR 2.12; 3.11; 13.14, 17; 14.4; SAC 1.12; 5.5, 21; 7.1, 20; 8.3; 12.19; 13.3; ~jñānasamsargiyatnādi AR 7.4; ~jñānasaṃsargyapekṣā SAC 5.12; ~jñānasamsargiviraha SAC 1.3, 18; ~jñānasamsargivedanasvabhāvatva SAC 1.6; ~jñānasamsargivyapekṣābhiprāya SAC 6.14; ~jñānasamsargisambhava SAC 5.4; ~jñānasañjñā SAC 8.8; ~tva AR 11.6; ~deśatva SAC 6.17; ~dhī SAC 4.6; ~dhīparicaya SAC 11.19; ~dhīviraha SAC 11.16; ~dhīvisayatva SAC 4.11; ~dhīsthiti SAC 13.9; ~pratipattrapekşa AR 10.2; ~pratyakşa SAC 9.3; ~pratyakşāpekṣaikajñānasamsarga SAC 8.6; ~prayoga SAC 5.24; ~rūpaniyata AR 2.15; 10.5; SAC 9.3; ~rūpapratītiniyamaviraha AR 3.7; ~rūpavedana SAC 9.**15**; ~vijñāna SAC 12.**15**; ~vṛtti SAC 13.1; ~śabda SAC 12.20 ekākin: AR 2.2

eva: AR 1.6, 8, 16; 2.2, 9(2),10, 11, 12, **14**, **18**; **3.2**, **11**, **19**; **4.6**, **19**; **5.2**, **8**, **11**, **14**, **15**; 6.**2**, **3**, **5**, **9**, **15**, **16**; **7**.**2(2)**, **5(2)**, 7, 10, 14; 8.1, 4, 7, 8, 12, 14; 9.2, 4, 10, 13, 14, 15, 18; 10.4, 11; 11.13(2), 7, 10, 11, 14; 12.7, 10, 11, 12, 15, 16, 17; 13.3, 4, 11, 17, 18, 19, 23; 14.3, 7(2), **14**, **18**; 15.**3**, **5**, **7**; SAC 1.**9**, **15**, **16**, **17**, **19**, **20**; 2.**2**, **3**, **6**, **10**, **11**, **13(2)**, **16**; 3.**1**, 3, 8, 17; 4.2(2), 4, 11, 14, 15, 17, 19, **21**; 5.**6**, **12**, **18**, **21**, **24**; 6.**1(2)**, **4**, **11**, **12**, **16**, **20**, **23**; **7.3**, **4**, **6**, **7**, **11**, **17**; **8.1**, 3(2), 4, 13(2), 19, 21, 25; 9.6, 8, 10; 10.8(2), 2, 15, 17, 20, 22; 11.1, 4, 12, **15**, **21**; 12.**7**, **11**, 1**4**, **15**, **17**, **19**; 13.**1**, 4(2), 7, 8, 17, 18, 19 aikāntika: SAC 4.18 aupacārika: AR 15.4, 5; ~kathana AR 15.**7** kathana: AR 15.7 karana: SAC 4.20 kartavya: AR 7.8; SAC 10.7 kalasa: SAC 9.15; ~pratisedha SAC 6.6 kalāpasamhrta: SAC 13.11 kalpanā: AR 6.11; SAC 7.1 kavalana: SAC 9.19 kavalayitr: AR 2.13 kāraņa: AR 11.14, 16; SAC 3.9; ~anupalaksana SAC 3.11; ~anupalabdhi AR 11.4, 8; ~anupalambha AR 13.20; ~antaravaikalya AR 1.13; ~abhāva SAC 3.21; ~ādi AR 8.17; 14.16; ~ādyanupalabdhi AR 14.16; ~ādhīnopajanāpajanadharmaka SAC 5.8; ~ārabdhadinmohalakṣanendriyabhrāntidarśana SAC 3.10; ~viruddhopalabdhyādi AR 12.7; ~vyāpaka

kārin: SAC 3.6; ~tva AR 2.17; SAC 5.6; ~pratyayāntaraviraha SAC 3.5 kārya: AR 11.8, 14; SAC 1.14; 2.2; 6.22; 7.3; ~adṛśa SAC 12.6; ~anupalabdhi

AR 11.7; ~vyāpakānupalabdhi AR

11.11

~abhāvāvyabhicārin AR 8.10; ~abhā-

AR 8.10, 16; 11.14; 13.18; SAC 1.1; vajñāna AR 4.19; ~ādi AR 8.15; 13.18; ~jñānābhāvamātra AR 5.4; ~niṣedha 2.3, 10; 3.2; 6.16; 7.2, 3; 8.16; ~anupalabdhivyavasthā SAC 6.20; ~anu-AR 8.8; ~rasasparśatamisrāloka SAC palabdhisañjñākaraņa SAC 4.20; ~anupalabdhyādi SAC 10.17; ~anugamya: AR 12.14; ~tva SAC 2.1 palambha SAC 13.16; ~anupalambhagamyamāna: AR 12.12 na SAC 12.2, 4; ~anumāna SAC 6.18; garbha: SAC 2.7 ~anumānavyavasthā SAC 6.19; ~ādyguna: SAC 1.19 grhītavirodhasmaranavyavahitavyāpāanupalabdhi AR 8.17; ~upayogin SAC 4.5; ~upalabdhi AR 14.10; ~pratisedha ratva: AR 10.9 SAC 1.14; ~vyāpyāpeksā AR 11.11; grhītvā: SAC 4.11 ~hetu AR 8.11 gauna: SAC 8.20 kāryatah: AR 15.9 graha: AR 14.5; ~avinābhūta AR 3.8 kāla: AR 14.12; ~deśadaśāsantānāpeksā grahana: ~vyavasthā AR 8.3; ~śakti SAC SAC 9.4; ~svabhāvaviprakarsin AR 5.12 grāhin: ~jñāna AR 8.11; 1.12 ~jñānāntarāvinābhūta AR 3.8 kumbha: AR 3.6; ~sambhava AR 2.9 kulādi: AR 3.4; SAC 11.3 grāhya: AR 9.3(2); SAC 8.9; 9.13; kuvaidyavrtti: SAC 2.7 ~upanyāsa SAC 11.1; kr-: karoti SAC 13.2; kuryāt SAC 6.18; ~tāpeksopagraha SAC 9.11 ghata: AR 2.3; 8.13; 13.4; ~aparādha kriyate AR 1.2 krt: SAC 12.15 SAC 1.20; ~ādi AR 2.11; kṛta: AR 3.17; 5.13, 15; 6.8; 8.8; 15.4; ~ādimatpratiksepa SAC 1.4; SAC 1.17; 2.9, 16; 12.7, 16; 13.18; ~ādisañjñaka SAC 4.8; ~ādisanniveśin ~abhūtadarśana AR 13.21 SAC 7.15; ~ādyudāharaņāpekṣa SAC krtvā: SAC 9.6 11.1; ~udāharanāpeksā SAC 8.22; krama: AR 15.3 ~nisedha SAC 1.19; 5.1; ~vat SAC 5.2 kriyamāna: AR 3.16 ghosana: SAC 2.9 ksanabhangasādhana: SAC 5.19 ghrāna: AR 4.18; kṣaṇikatva: SAC 3.5 ~pranidhānamātrākāravikalpavat AR 5.3; ~rasanasparśana AR 2.17; SAC ksati: AR 10.13; 14.2; SAC 13.10 kşam-: kşamante SAC 3.9 5.7 kṣama: AR 1.17; SAC 12.17 caksuh: SAC 11.7 ksaya: SAC 6.3 carcā: SAC 5.24; 13.20 ksipat: AR 4.16 citta: SAC 8.19; ~asarvabodhaniścaya ksobhaprahārādi: SAC 3.7 AR 14.3 khyāp-: khyāpyate SAC 6.10 citra: SAC 12.8 gan-: ganyate SAC 3.13 cint-: cintyate SAC 1.16 ganayat: SAC 1.20 cintā: SAC 3.2; 13.20 gata: AR 9.13 caitanya: ~abhāva AR 14.18; ~viyuktatva AR 14.18 gati: AR 14.2; SAC 7.8 gandha: AR 5.4; 13.15; ~antara AR 4.19; caitra: SAC 4.13

jadatva: SAC 2.1

```
janakatva: SAC 1.17
                                                 ~samsargāpekṣāniyama SAC 7.9;
janana: AR 4.9; 7.15; SAC 9.19; ~yogya
                                                 ~saṃsargasamāśraya SAC 13.7;
  SAC 2.6; ~yogyatāvirahajijñāsā SAC
                                                 ~samsargigraha AR 14.5;
  2.10; ~sāmarthya SAC 1.9
                                                 ~samsargitva SAC 13.8; ~samsargin
                                                 AR 2.7, 12; 3.11; 13.14, 17; 14.4; SAC
janman: AR 5.16;
  ~adhikavyañjakāpekṣa SAC 11.19
                                                 1.12; 5.5, 21; 7.1, 20; 8.3; 12.19; 13.3;
jala: AR 13.15
                                                 ~samsargiyatnādi AR 7.4;
jāgrat: SAC 3.19
                                                 ~saṃsargyapekṣā SAC 5.12;
jāta: SAC 5.2
                                                 ~samsargiviraha SAC 1.3, 18;
jāti: AR 6.3; ~apekṣā SAC 13.1; ~vibhā-
                                                 ~samsargivedanasvabhāvatva SAC
  ga AR 6.14
                                                 1.6; ~samsargivyapekṣābhiprāya SAC
jijñāsā: SAC 2.10
                                                 6.14; ~samsargisambhava SAC 5.4;
jijñāsita: SAC 2.10
                                                 ~sañjñā SAC 8.8; ~sthiti AR 1.11, 14
jña: AR 14.3; ~vītarāgādi AR 15.8
                                               jñeyaparyudāsa: SAC 11.7
jñāta: SAC 2.12
                                               jhag iti: AR 6.5; 7.1
jñāna: AR 3.8, 18; 4.4, 6, 10, 15, 17(2),
                                               tattva: AR 12.15;~tah: AR 6.7; 15.10;
  19; 5.6, 17; 6.1, 3, 11, 12, 12; 8.11;
                                                 ~pratipādana SAC 2.9
  9.5, 7; 13.11; SAC 1.6; 5.10; 6.24; 7.1;
                                               tadutpattisambandhabodhasmarananir-
                                                 apekṣa: AR 11.1
  8.4; 9.13, 15; 11.4, 6, 7; 13.5;
  ~anutpāda AR 4.5; ~antara AR 3.10;
                                               tamisrāloka: SAC 7.14
  4.8; SAC 7.6; ~antarābhāva SAC 6.24;
                                               tādavasthya: SAC 3.24, 24; 4.1; 7.19;
  ~antarāvinābhūta AR 3.8; ~antarani-
                                                 12.1, 3; ~apekṣā SAC 8.1; ~samvedin
  sedha AR 6.15; ~antaraparigraha AR
                                                 SAC 9.18; ~sādhya SAC 5.9; ~sthiti
  4.5; ~antarasāmānya AR 6.4; ~ape-
                                                 AR 13.23
  kṣana SAC 8.17; ~abhāva AR 7.2;
                                               tādātmya: AR 9.13; SAC 6.12;
  ~abhāvaniścaya AR 5.14; ~abhāva-
                                                 ~tadutpattisambandhabodhasmarana-
  mātra AR 5.4; ~artha SAC 9.14;
                                                 nirapekṣa AR 11.1; ~niṣedha AR 1.8
  ~avyabhicārin AR 3.2; ~ākāravirahin
                                               tādrgdaśāsamvit: SAC 11.20
  AR 4.11; ~ātman SAC 4.4; ~āśraya
                                               tādrśapratiyoga: SAC 10.19
  SAC 1.8; ~kārya SAC 1.14; 7.3;
                                               tāvaddeśaśabdāpeksa: SAC 11.12
  ~kāryādrśa SAC 12.6; ~kāryānupa-
                                               timira: ~āloka SAC 13.17; ~ālokasañ-
  labdhi AR 8.10; SAC 1.1;
                                                 jñita SAC 11.3
  ~kāryānupalambha SAC 13.16;
                                               tuṣāra: AR 14.11, 14; ~sparśa AR 12.1, 4
  ~janakatva SAC 1.17; ~jananayogya
                                               trtīya: AR 1.3
  SAC 2.6; ~jananayogyatāvirahajijñāsā
                                               traya: AR 11.13; SAC 1.4
  SAC 2.10; ~nimitta AR 3.14;
                                               darśana: AR 2.15; 4.18; 6.10; 10.3;
  ~pratyayaniścaya AR 3.14; ~bhāva
                                                 13.16, 21; 14.7; SAC 3.10, 18; 5.5;
  SAC 4.18;
                                                 6.25; 13.2; ~ātman AR 6.10; ~viṣaya-
  ~rūpatālocanavañcanāphala AR 8.13;
                                                 tva AR 5.20; ~śravanādi SAC 3.23
  ~visaya SAC 6.19; 8.9;
                                               darśayitum: AR 14.2
  ~vişayībhavajjñāna SAC 11.4;
                                               darśita: AR 1.14; 3.5; 8.2
  ~samsarga SAC 5.10, 22; 6.4; 8.6, 19,
                                               darśin: SAC 10.15
```

21, 24; 12.19; 13.14, 18;

daśā: AR 13.22; SAC 4.2; ~apeksā SAC 3.23; ~āsattisamāśraya SAC 3.15; ~vat AR 5.1; ~vibhāga AR 6.13; ~samvit AR 4.5; SAC 11.20; ~santānāpekṣā SAC 9.4; ~sambhavābhāva SAC 3.6 dahanopalabdhirūpa: AR 12.3 dig astu: SAC 6.6 dinmohalakşanendriyabhrāntidarśana: **SAC 3.10** dīpābhāva: AR 3.10 duravadhāra: SAC 1.18; 3.4; ~tva SAC 3.3 durnivāra: AR 7.7 dusparihara: AR 5.7; 8.5 dūra: AR 2.15; ~tā SAC 13.19 drś-: darśayet SAC 6.11 drśya: AR 2.4; 3.16; 6.3; 7.11; 15.7; ~adrśyatā AR 9.14; ~anupalabdhi AR 2.14; 5.18; 8.12; 15.5; SAC 5.2; 6.24; 7.6; 10.20; 11.15; ~anupalambha AR 7.12; ~ātman AR 6.10; SAC 6.25; ~tā AR 9.14; ~tāropanibandhana AR 13.12; ~tva SAC 6.12; ~tvāvyabhicāra SAC 5.22; ~viśesana SAC 5.21; ~viśesanaprāpta AR 1.14; ~visayaviśesanavaśa SAC 1.5; ~śabda AR 12.13; ~sattā AR 5.19 drśyamāna: AR 3.2; SAC 11.3; 13.3 drsta: AR 14.5; ~pūrvin AR 4.3; ~pūrvimanah SAC 11.20; ~śravanapātavasmaranabalavat SAC 3.4 devakulādi: AR 3.4; SAC 11.3 deśa: AR 13.8, 10; ~aśesaśabdābhāvasādhana SAC 13.16; ~kālasvabhāvaviprakarşin AR 1.12; ~tā SAC 5.5; ~tva SAC 6.17; ~daśāsantānāpeksā SAC 9.4; ~nisedha AR 1.9; ~parihāra SAC 13.18; ~bheryādiravābhāvasiddhi AR 3.4; ~viprakarşin AR 2.16; ~viprakṛṣṭa SAC 10.15; ~śabdāpeksa SAC 11.12; ~sambaddha SAC 11.10

dosa: AR 3.3; 12.4, 15; 15.2; SAC 2.4; 10.17; ~anuşanga SAC 1.7; ~āsatti SAC 5.14; ~leśabhaya AR 9.1 drastavya: AR 2.5; 8.16; 11.15; 14.11 dvaya: SAC 8.22; 9.18 dvāra: AR 5.15; 7.2; ~ka AR 12.8 dvi: AR 8.7; 11.5; ~taya SAC 4.13; ~tīya AR 1.17; 12.16; 13.9; ~tīyābhāvaniścayotpādanasāmarthya SAC 10.4; ~vidha AR 12.10; ~vidhopalabdhiyogyatāpanna SAC 5.14 dharma: ~ka SAC 5.8; ~mātra SAC 8.7 dharmiviśesa: AR 5.10 dhātuksobhaprahārādi: SAC 3.7 dhī: AR 1.11, 16; 7.5, 6; SAC 4.6; 11.21; 12.9; ~paricaya SAC 11.19; ~viraha SAC 11.16; ~visayatva SAC 4.11; ~sthiti SAC 13.9 dhūma: AR 10.17; 14.10, 11, 13, 15; ~abhāva AR 11.17; ~kārana AR 11.16; ~śimśapā AR 11.2 dhvani: SAC 1.16; 11.11; 13.12, 13 nabhah: AR 2.11 nāntarīyakatā: SAC 1.9 ni-vrt-: nivartate AR 9.17 ni-sidh-: nisidhyate AR 9.14; SAC 6.12 nijānupalabdhi: SAC 6.7 nibandhana: AR 3.18; 10.12; 13.12; SAC 3.23; ~abhāva SAC 7.11 nimitta: AR 3.14; 13.12 niyata: AR 2.15; 10.5; SAC 2.5; 5.16; 7.5; 9.1, 3, 6; ~asahopalambha AR 9.8; ~ākāra AR 3.16; ~ākāratā AR 8.4; ~ākāravikalpānubhava AR 5.5; ~prāptikapratyaksodaya SAC 11.5; ~sahopalambha AR 9.7; 13.2 niyama: AR 3.6; 4.15, 16; 5.17; 7.9; 9.18; 10.4, 7; SAC 7.9, 11; 8.12; 12.7; ~abhāva AR 13.5; ~viraha AR 3.7; ~sambhāvanā SAC 8.4 niyamatah: AR 1.10; 9.9 niyamena: AR 1.13, 16; 9.12, 17; 10.1 nirapavāda: SAC 9.14

nirapekṣa: AR 11.1; SAC 5.12	pakṣa: SAC 10.13; ~kṣaya SAC 6. 3 ;
nirāloka: AR 10. 3	~doṣānuṣaṅga SAC 1.7
nirupākhya: AR 14. 17	pañca: ~skandha SAC 4.7;
nirņītaśakti: SAC 3.7	~skandhalakṣaṇa AR 3.19; ~hetu AR
nirdeśa: AR 15.2	11.5
nirbandha: SAC 4.12	paṭu: AR 7.9; ~taratva AR 7.15; ~dhī AR
nirbhāgavartin: SAC 4.6	7. 6 ; ~śravaṇa SAC 11. 9
nirvikalpaka: SAC 11.10	payaḥ: AR 4. 18 ; 5. 3
nirviśesa: SAC 2.17; ~na SAC 1.15	para: AR 10.15; 15.6; SAC 2.8; 12.16;
nivṛtta: AR 10.8	~sattā AR 13.1; ~samaya AR 3.11
nivṛtti: AR 8.12; ~mātra SAC 10.11	parampara: AR 15.4
niścaya: AR 3.14; 4.9; 5.2, 4, 11, 14(2),	paramparyeṇa: SAC 10.18
15; 6.7, 13 ; 7. 2 , 11; 9.6; 10.10; 11.2;	paraspara: ~upādeya SAC 4.8;
14.3, 5; SAC 3.16(2); 7.5; 9.1, 8 ;	~deśaparihāra SAC 13.18;
12. 16 ; ~aṅga AR 10.11; ~utpādana	~parihārasthitilakṣaṇa AR 9. 10
SAC 9.17; ~utpādanasāmarthya SAC	parigraha: AR 4.5; 10.1
10.4, 5; ~utpādanimitta AR 13.12;	paricaya: SAC 11.19
~janana SAC 9.19; ~prabandhākṣepa	paritușți: SAC 8.8
AR 1.17; ~vaśa AR 8.3; ~samartha AR	paridṛśyamāna: AR 3.4
4.10; ~sambhava SAC 4.17; ~sthitila-	pariniṣṭhāsiddhi: SAC 9.11
kṣaṇa AR 10.15	paribhūyamāna: AR 5.17
niścāyayat: AR 5.10	pariśramaphala: AR 13.19
niścinvat: AR 8.16	parihāra: AR 14.13; SAC 13.18; ~artham
niședdhṛ: AR 15.5; SAC 5.1	SAC 5.17; ~sthitilakşana AR 9.10
niședha: AR 1.8, 9, 13 ; 2.12, 16, 18; 3.1,	parokṣa: AR 12.16; 13.2; 14.9; ~sam-
3(2) , 16 ; 4.7; 6.15; 8. 2 , 8; 9.7; 10. 6 ;	bhāvita AR 8. 16
11. 13 ; 12. 16 ; 13. 3 , 6 , 18 ; 15. 3 , 7 ; SAC	paryanuyoga: AR 14.1
1.19; 3. 21 ; 4. 21 ; 5.1, 2 , 4 , 15 ; 7. 17 ;	paryavasita: AR 15.1; SAC 13.14
11. 10 ; 13.15; ~na SAC 13. 12 ;	paryāpta: SAC 9.17
~prasanga AR 1.8, 15; ~vikalpa AR	paryudāsa: AR 14.19; SAC 10.8; 11.6, 7,
4.15; ~vyavahāra AR 7.7; ~sādhana	8, 12; ~pakṣa SAC 10.13; ~rūpa AR
AR 14.7; ~sādhanādhyavasāya AR	14.17; ~vṛtti AR 1.5; 2.1
15.1; ~siddhi AR 6.5; ~hetu AR 11.4	paścāt: SAC 2.9
niṣedhya: AR 2.8; 15.5; SAC 11.6;	paśyat: AR 14. 4
~apekṣā AR 5.8	pāṭava: AR 7.15; 13.22; SAC 1.18; 3.3;
nişedhyamāna: AR 9.13	~sahakṛta SAC 1.17; ~smaraṇabalavat
niṣṭhā: AR 1.2	SAC 3.4
nihnava: AR 10.16	pānaka: ~pānakarman SAC 9.18; ~vat
nīrādi: AR 13. 19	AR 2. 15
nīla: AR 5. 3 ; 9. 9 ; ~anubhava AR 5. 6	pānakarman: SAC 9.18
nyāya: ~vyavahārāyoga SAC 4. 9 ; ~śāstra SAC 10. 22	piśāca: SAC 5.22; 8.12; ~ādi SAC 1.5; ~ādipratikṣepa SAC 10.15
	pīta: AR 5.3; ~abhāvajñāna AR 5.6

purusārtha: AR 15.8 pūrva: ~tah AR 10.6; ~pratītaśrotraśakti SAC 4.17: ~pravrttatādātmyatadutpattisambandhabodhasmarananirapekṣa AR 11.1; ~vat SAC 11.14; ~samayānusāra AR 5.9 pūrvin: AR 4.3 pūrvimanah: SAC 11.20 prthak: ~abhāva AR 8.1; ~pramāņa SAC 4.12; ~vacana AR 9.15 paurusa: AR 5.7 pra-bhū-: prabhavati SAC 6.3; prabhavet SAC 10.11 pra-vrt-: pravartate AR 7.13; pravartayati AR 7.12 pra-sū-: prasavati AR 11.2 prakāśa: SAC 7.16; ~ka AR 4.2; ~rūpaniyama AR 5.17 prakṛta: ~apekṣatā SAC 13.5; ~udāharanāpeksā SAC 6.4; ~ghatodāharanāpeksā SAC 8.22; ~nisedha SAC 13.15; ~matahāni SAC 7.3; ~vyāghāta SAC 4.18 prajñādhikāra: AR 7.6 pranidhānamātrākāravikalpavat: AR 5.3 pranidhividvah: AR 4.3 pranihitaghrāna: AR 4.18 prati-pad-: pratipadyate SAC 11.4 prati-bhā-: pratibhāti SAC 13.9 prati-han-: pratihanti AR 6.11; SAC 7.1 pratikarana: SAC 2.7 pratiksepa: AR 8.6; SAC 1.4; 9.10; 10.15 pratikseptum: SAC 2.15 pratipatti: AR 1.4, 5; 2.15; 5.1; 10.5; SAC 9.4; ~hetu AR 1.4 pratipattin: SAC 5.17 pratipattr: AR 5.9; 6.4; ~anurodha AR 13.14; ~apekşa AR 10.2 pratipādana: SAC 2.9; ~artham AR 12.5 pratibaddhabuddhitādavasthya: SAC 4.1 pratibhāsa: AR 14.18; ~vaśa AR 8.4 pratiyugavasitaikadhīsthiti: SAC 13.9

pratiyoga: SAC 9.13; 10.10, 16, 19; 11.13; ~paryavasita SAC 13.14 pratiyogin: AR 2.6, 7, 10, 12, 14; 12.10, 11, 12, 16; 13.1, 2; 14.5; SAC 9.1, 5; 10.8, 13; 12.19; 13.14; ~antarābhāvanāntarīyakatā SAC 1.9; ~upalabdhi AR 12.10; ~upalambharūpatā SAC 10.21; ~upalambhasvabhāvānupalabdhi SAC 9.9; ~jñāna AR 13.11; ~jñānāśraya SAC 1.8; ~jñānarūpatālocanavañcanāphala AR 8.13; ~tva SAC 12.17; ~pratītirūpatāpratipādanārtham AR 12.5; ~pratyakṣamātra AR 10.18; ~bhāva SAC 12.13; ~mātrāpekṣā SAC 8.25; ~vastuvijñāna SAC 12.11; ~virahavikalpajanana AR 4.9; ~sam--āśraya SAC 11.17; 13.6 pratisiddha: SAC 1.15 pratisedha: AR 1.3; 8.6; SAC 1.14; 5.17; 6.6; ~vikalpa SAC 9.7 pratisedhyāpeksā: AR 12.13 pratisvam: SAC 8.8 pratītaśrotraśakti: SAC 4.17 pratīti: AR 10.8; ~niyama AR 3.6; ~niyamaviraha AR 3.7; ~rūpatāpratipādanārtham AR 12.5; ~sāpeksa AR 3.5 pratyaksa: AR 3.7; 5.8, 13; 6.2, 10, 13; 7.1, 6; 8.1, 3, 5, 12; 10.9; 11.10; 12.17; SAC 4.10, **13**; 8.**7**, 14, 15, **15**; 9.**1**, 3; 10.3, 10; 11.11; ~apātava AR 7.14; ~apekṣaikajñānasamsarga SAC 8.6; ~apeksā AR 13.3; SAC 1.19; ~ayoga AR 13.5; ~avyabhicāra SAC 8.11, 24; 10.4; 13.13; ~avyabhicāralaksana SAC 11.13; ~avyabhicāritā SAC 9.16; ~avyabhicārin SAC 9.3; 13.3; ~udaya SAC 11.5; ~udayavinākrta SAC 11.9; ~ekajātyapeksā SAC 13.1; ~krta AR 5.13, 15; 6.8; 8.8; ~paurusa AR 5.7; ~pratiksepa AR 8.6; ~pravartita AR 5.12; ~mātra AR 6.5; 10.18; ~yogya AR 8.12; 13.3; ~vyavasthāvilopabha-

ya SAC 6.21; ~sambhāvita AR 9.1; prasanga: AR 1.8, 15; 6.11; SAC 1.16; ~sāmarthyadarśana AR 14.7; ~siddha 2.15; 3.13; 6.3; 7.4; 8.10, 14, 16 AR 5.2; SAC 9.8; ~siddhi AR 9.4; SAC prasiddha: SAC 4.9; 1.8; 10.9; 11.14 ~kāranānupalaksana SAC 3.11; pratyaksīkarana: SAC 10.6 ~kāranābhāva SAC 3.21 pratyaksībhāva: SAC 7.14 prastāva: SAC 3.19 pratyaya: SAC 3.6, 13; ~antaraviraha prastuta: SAC 6.6 SAC 3.5; ~antarasannidhi AR 3.13; prahārādi: SAC 3.7 SAC 6.9; ~antarasannidhisamśaya AR prāk: ~avasthā SAC 2.16; 3.15; ~antarasannidhisiddhinibandha-~grhītavirodhasmaranavyavahitavyāna AR 3.18; ~antarasākalya AR 2.5; pāratva AR 10.9 SAC 4.20; ~niścaya AR 3.14 prāgalbhyagarbha: SAC 2.7 pratyetum: AR 10.8 prācya: AR 5.20 pradīpa: AR 2.13; 3.12; 4.16; ~ādi AR prātisvikarūpāpeksā: SAC 4.10 4.2; SAC 11.20; ~kavalana SAC 9.19 prāpta: AR 1.14; 2.7; SAC 10.20; ~anupradeśa: AR 13.4; SAC 1.13; 11.3; 13.5; palabdhimātropanyāsa SAC 6.8; ~tva ~piśāca SAC 8.12; ~pratyakṣāpekṣā **SAC 5.6** SAC 1.19; ~mātrayogyatva SAC 12.8 prāptikapratyaksodaya: SAC 11.5 pradhānapuruṣārtha: AR 15.8 prāpyakāritva: AR 2.17; SAC 5.6 pradhvamsalaksana: AR 9.16 prāyaśah: SAC 7.16 prabandhāksepa: AR 1.17 prārthay-: prārthyate AR 7.14 prabuddha: SAC 3.17 praudhivaśa: AR 14.3 prabhavabhedaśankā: SAC 3.8 phala: AR 8.13; 13.19; SAC 1.5; 9.11; prabhāvavat: SAC 3.9 11.15; 12.3; ~tā SAC 1.7; ~tva SAC pramāņa: SAC 3.2; 4.12; ~antara SAC 10.9; ~bhāvābhyupagama AR 4.14; 2.12; ~bhramśa SAC 2.11; ~sangraha-~vat AR 5.14 vyavasthā SAC 6.17 bandhyāsuta: AR 14.17 pramānay-: pramānayanti SAC 1.1; bala: SAC 3.15, 17; ~niścaya SAC 3.16; ~vat SAC 3.4 prameyabhramśa: SAC 2.12 bahih: AR 6.2, 3; 13.8; SAC 7.12; 8.4; prayujyamāna: AR 12.13 ~adhikāra AR 6.9; ~apeksā SAC 7.11; prayoga: AR 12.11; 14.13; SAC 5.24; ~sulabhakāranādhīnopajanāpajana-6.8: ~kāla AR 14.12 dharmaka SAC 5.8 prayojaka: SAC 6.11 bādha: SAC 12.9, 10; ~upadarśana SAC prayojana: AR 15.7; ~carcā SAC 5.24 6.9; ~kābhāva SAC 4.16; ~na AR 9.18 prayojya: AR 12.12 bāhya: AR 13.9, 10; SAC 12.7; ~vat SAC pravartita: AR 5.12 7.7; ~viśesa AR 6.4 pravrtta: AR 7.10; 9.17; 10.7; SAC 3.5; buddhi: AR 2.1; 9.3; 10.7, 11; SAC 4.10, ~tādātmyatadutpattisambandhabodha-13; 8.1; ~upanyasta SAC 2.8; ~tadavasthya SAC 4.1; ~pratibhāsa AR smarananirapeksa AR 11.1 pravrtti: AR 7.14; 10.10 14.18 prasakta: AR 11.6 boddhavya: SAC 7.4

manaskāra: SAC 8.14; ~atirikta SAC bodha: SAC 12.16; ~niścaya AR 14.3; ~smarananirapeksa AR 11.1 7.15; ~ākāra SAC 11.7; ~vat SAC 2.1; brū-: brūmah SAC 2.6; 3.16 ~samvedanapratyaksa SAC 8.15 bhangasādhana: SAC 5.19 mantavya: SAC 11.1 manyamāna: SAC 13.15 bhaya: AR 9.1; SAC 6.21 bhavat: AR 3.14; 5.16; 13.2; SAC 13.9 mahat: AR 13.19 bhavitum: AR 9.12 mahādhī: AR 7.5 bhāga: AR 2.9; ~kumbha AR 3.6 mātra: AR 1.3, 7; 2.1; 5.4; 6.5; 7.11; bhāva: AR 3.19; SAC 1.15; 4.18; 5.25; 10.12, 16, 18; 13.7; SAC 6.1, 12; 7.14; 12.13; 13.4; ~abhyupagama AR 4.14 8.7; 10.11, 14; 13.1; ~apeksā SAC bhāsanādi: SAC 4.7 8.25; ~ākāravikalpavat AR 5.3; ~upabhāsana: AR 8.4 nyāsa SAC 6.8; ~upalakṣaṇatā SAC bhitti: SAC 12.12 12.21; ~upalabdhi AR 13.15; SAC bhinna: SAC 7.17; ~indriyagrāhya SAC 9.19; ~darśana AR 13.16; ~niyama AR 8.9; ~indriyajñānanimitta AR 3.14; 4.16; ~yogyatva SAC 12.8; ~samvedana SAC 10.1; ~sādhana AR 10.14 ~indriyajñānapratyayaniścaya AR 3.14; ~vişayendriyajñāna AR 4.6 mitha: SAC 12.13 bhū: ~bhāga AR 2.9; ~bhāgakumbha AR mukhya: AR 15.6; SAC 8.19; 10.8; ~tā SAC 12.20 3.6 mūdha: AR 5.9, 17, 20; SAC 1.10; 9.8 bhū-: bhavati AR 2.16; 9.9; SAC 1.15; 10.12; 13.7; bhavet AR 13.4; SAC mrg-: mrgyatām SAC 2.13 1.10; bhūt AR 5.6; 8.13; 10.11; SAC mrduprajñādhikāra: AR 7.6 3.14 yatna: AR 7.6; 8.17; ~ādi AR 7.4 yukta: AR 8.11; 9.18; 14.7; SAC 1.11; bhūtala: AR 2.7; 13.21; ~ekākāraniyama AR 4.15; ~kalasa SAC 9.15 5.4; 10.9, 14 bheda: AR 11.12(2); 15.2; SAC 5.25; 8.8; yugayogin: SAC 9.9 ~abhyupagama AR 9.15; ~śankā SAC yuj-: yujyate SAC 8.25; yujyante SAC 7.20; yojayati AR 8.17 3.8 bhedika: SAC 12.9 yogin: SAC 9.9 bherī: ~ādiravābhāva SAC 2.14; 11.4; yogya: AR 3.3; 8.12; 13.3; SAC 2.6; ~ādiravābhāvasiddhi AR 3.4 6.22; ~tā AR 2.16; 3.13; SAC 9.9; bhramśa: SAC 2.11, 12 ~tāpanna SAC 5.14; ~tāniścaya AR bhramatvaprasanga: SAC 3.13 6.7; ~tāprāpta SAC 10.20; ~tāmātra bhrānti: SAC 4.16; ~asiddhi SAC 4.16; SAC 7.14; ~tāvirahajijñāsā SAC 2.10; ~darśana SAC 3.10 ~tva SAC 12.8; ~deśāśesaśabdābhāmanirūpyādivivekavat: AR 7.7 vasādhana SAC 13.16 mata: AR 13.1; SAC 10.14; ~pratiksepa yogyādi: AR 13.20 SAC 9.10; ~hāni SAC 7.3 rajanīsuptaprabuddha: SAC 3.17 rava: ~abhāva SAC 2.14; 11.4; ~abhāmati: AR 1.10, **12**, 16; 9.8, 12, 17 madhya: SAC 2.21 vasiddhi AR 3.4; ~ādyākāraśūnyānyamadhyamīya: SAC 7.19 dhī SAC 11.21 manah: SAC 11.20 rasa: AR 2.14; 13.15; SAC 1.7; 5.5; 10.15; ~anubhava AR 2.18; ~abhāva-

jñāna AR 4.17; ~abhāvaniścayajanana SAC 9.19; ~ādi SAC 4.8; 8.2; 11.7; ~ādipratyakşa SAC 11.11; ~ādivat	vac-: ucyate AR 3.18; 5.8, 12, 16; 11.9, 12; 12.4; 13.9; SAC 4.12; 5.3; 10.19; 13.3
SAC 4.11; ~nisedha AR 2.16; ~spar-	vacana: AR 9.15
śatamisrāloka SAC 7.14	vañcanāphala: AR 8.13
rasana: ~agrasangin SAC 10. 16 ; ~spar-	vadhira: SAC 1.16; ~avadhira SAC 2.15;
śana AR 2.17; SAC 5.7	~vara SAC 3. 15
rahasya: AR 15.11	vara: SAC 3.15
rāgādi: AR 15.8	vartitum: SAC 7.10
rāśi: SAC 1.13	vartin: SAC 4.6
rūḍhadarśana: SAC 13.2	vaśa: AR 8.3, 4; 14.3; SAC 1.5
rūpa: AR 3. 2 ; 7. 8 ; 10. 3 ; 12.3; 14.17; SAC	vasitaikadhīsthiti: SAC 13.9
8. 12 ; 12. 11 ; ~apekṣā SAC 4.10;	vastu: SAC 5.17; 12.18; ~tah AR 14.12;
~jñāna SAC 1. 6 ; ~tā SAC 10.21;	~tva AR 13.13; ~bheda AR 11.12;
~tālocanavañcanāphala AR 8.13;	~vijñāna SAC 12.11; ~sat AR 3.11
~tāpratipādanārtham AR 12.5;	vācya: AR 7. 4
~darśana AR 2.15; SAC 5.5; ~darśin	vikalpa: AR 3.10, 16; 4.15, 19; 6.9, 10;
SAC 10.15; ~niyata AR 2.15; 10.5;	13.13; SAC 4.14; 9.7; ~anubhava AR
SAC 9.3; ~niyama AR 5.17; ~nisedha	5.5; ~ākāra AR 13.7; ~ākāramātra-
AR 2.18; 3.1; ~nisthā AR 1.2; ~pratīti-	samvedana SAC 10.1; ~ākārasamve-
niyamaviraha AR 3.7; ~rasādi SAC	dana SAC 11.12; ~kalpanā AR 6.11;
4.8; ~rasādivat SAC 4.11; ~višeşa	SAC 7.1; ~krt SAC 12.15; ~janana AR
SAC 7.15; ~vedana SAC 9.15	4.9; 7. 15 ; ~jananasāmarthya SAC 1.9;
rūpyādivivekavat: AR 7.7	~darśana SAC 6.25; ~mātra AR 13.7;
lakṣaṇa: AR 3.19; 9.10, 16, 19; 10. 2 , 15;	~vat AR 5.3; ~vedana SAC 10.2;
SAC 6.7, 8 ; 10. 21 ; 11.13; ~atyāga AR	~śaraṇāvasthā AR 14.6
3.3; ~ayoga AR 2.19; ~indriyabhrānti-	vikalpanīya: AR 13. 9 , 10
darśana SAC 3.10; ~ksati AR 14.2;	vikalpyamāna: AR 13.9, 10
~prāptānupalabdhimātropanyāsa SAC	vikāra: ~kāraņa SAC 3.9; ~kārin SAC
6.8; ~prāptatva SAC 5.6; ~sāmagrī	3.6
SAC 4.19	vigrahavat: AR 7.8; SAC 10.6
labh-: labhyate SAC 1.12	vicitra: SAC 7.13
lābhasambhava: SAC 7. 12	vijñāna: SAC 12.11, 15
liṅga: AR 1. 3 ; 5. 10 ; 8. 10 ; 11. 13 ; SAC	vitti: AR 3.2
6.22; ~antara AR 8.7; ~artha AR 11.15	vid-: vedyate AR 2.18; 9.2; vedyeta AR
leśabhaya: AR 9.1	13. 10
laiṅgika: AR 5. 1, 2, 17 ; 8. 9	vidyamāna: SAC 1.13
vaktavya: SAC 11.13	vidvaḥ: AR 4.3; SAC 6.13
vaktum: AR 12.5; SAC 11.14	vidhi: SAC 7.16; ~sādhana AR 8.7; 11.5
vaktr: AR 14.17; ~tvavyavaccheda AR	vinākṛta: AR 5.11; SAC 11.9
14. 19	vināśa: SAC 5. 18
	viparyaya: SAC 2.20; ~bādhopadarśana SAC 6. 9

viprakarşin: AR 1.12; 2.16; SAC 10.10 vişaya: SAC 2.4; 4.5, 6, 15; 6.19; 8.9; ~indriyajñāna AR 4.6; ~tva AR 5.20; viprakīrna: AR 1.1 viprakṛṣṭa: SAC 10.15; ~deśatā SAC 5.5 SAC 4.11; ~viśeşaņavaśa SAC 1.5; vibhāga: AR 4.17; 6.13, 14 ~sthiti SAC 12.8; ~svabhāva SAC vibhāvanā: SAC 10.11 vimata: SAC 7.6 visayībhavajjñāna: SAC 11.4 vimati: SAC 8.17 visadrśa: ~avastha SAC 2.18; ~daśāsambhavābhāva SAC 3.6; ~sahakārivimarśa: AR 6.12; ~abhāva AR 7.16 viyuktatva: AR 14.18 pratyayāntaraviraha SAC 3.5 viraha: AR 3.7; SAC 1.3, 18; 3.5; 11.16; visarga: AR 14.1 ~jijñāsā SAC 2.10; ~jñāna AR 9.5; vītarāgādi: AR 15.8 ~jñānasthiti AR 1.11; ~niścaya AR vṛ-: vāryate AR 4.4; 13.2 9.6; ~mātra AR 1.3; 2.1; vrksa: AR 10.18; ~abhāva AR 10.18 ~vikalpajanana AR 4.9; ~vyavahāra vrt-: vartate AR 10.17 AR 6.2 vrtti: AR 1.5; 2.1; SAC 2.7; 13.1; virahin: AR 3.10; 4.4, 11 ~darśana SAC 3.18 viruddha: AR 12.11, 16; 13.1; 14.9, vedana: SAC 9.7, 15; 10.2; 14(2); ~upalabdhi AR 12.4; ~upalab-~svabhāvatva SAC 1.6 dhisangraha AR 12.1; ~upalabdhyādi vedya: AR 1.10; 9.8, 17; 10.7 AR 12.7, 9; ~kāryopalabdhi AR 14.10; vedyamāna: AR 1.12, 16; 2.8, 18; 9.2, ~dahanopalabdhirūpa AR 12.3; ~pari-**12**; 13.8 graha AR 10.1; ~pratiyogipratītirūpavaikalya: AR 1.13 tāpratipādanārtham AR 12.5; ~buddhi vaigunya: AR 13.19, 20 AR 10.11; ~śabdaprayoga AR 12.11 vy-apa-diś-: vyapadeśayati AR 12.14 virodha: AR 9.11, 19; 15.6; ~asiddhivy-abhi-car-: vyabhicarati SAC 6.1(2); sandeha SAC 5.14; ~smaranavyava-8.15; 10.3, 17; 11.11 hitavyāpāratva AR 10.9 vy-ava-sthā-: vyavasthāpyete SAC 9.5 vilopa: SAC 3.14; ~bhaya SAC 6.21 vy-ava-hr-: vyavahārayati SAC 6.25 vivakșita: AR 15.5; ~tva AR 10.8 vya-vas-: vyavasyanti AR 7.5 vivekavat: AR 7.7 vyañjakāpekṣa: SAC 11.19 vivecana: SAC 4.12(2); ~asāmarthya AR vyatireka: AR 4.15; SAC 6.14; ~anukāra 5.20 AR 4.13 viśista: SAC 8.12 vyatirekin: AR 7.8 viśesa: AR 1.9; 3.9, 13; 4.1, 12; 5.10; 6.4; vyapadeśa: AR 12.6; ~bheda AR 11.12 10.6; 13.13; SAC 2.16, 20; 4.20; 6.13; vyapekṣābhiprāya: SAC 6.14 7.15; 8.13; ~ādi AR 10.4; ~buddhi AR vyabhicāra: SAC 8.16 2.1; ~bhāva SAC 5.25; ~vat AR 6.4; vyavaccheda: AR 14.19 SAC 6.9; ~samvedana AR 3.19 vyavadhāna: AR 10.11; ~abhāva AR 5.1; viśesana: SAC 1.5; 5.21, 25; 6.2, 12; ~avyavadhānavibhāga AR 4.17 ~anuccāraņa SAC 7.8; ~asiddhiparivyavasthā: AR 8.3; SAC 3.12, 21; 6.17, hārārtham SAC 5.17; ~prāpta AR 1.14; 19, 20; 8.11; ~nibandhana AR 10.12; ~mātra SAC 6.1; ~vat SAC 6.11; ~vilopabhaya SAC 6.21 ~vaśa SAC 1.5; ~siddhi SAC 6.2 vyavasthita: SAC 3.24

vyavahartavya: AR 7.9 vyavahartum: AR 7.9	śabda: AR 11.15; 12.11, 13(2), 14; SAC 1.17; 2.6, 17; 3.1; 4.17, 21; 5.2; 6.3;
vyavahāra: AR 5.13; 6.2; 7.7, 12; 9. 4 , 10 ;	8. 15 ; 11. 15 ; 12.20;
10.16; 12.8, 9; 13.23; 14.1, 4; SAC	~antarapratītisāpekṣa AR 3.5; ~apekṣa
7.7; 9.10; 11.15; ~ayoga SAC 4.9;	SAC 11.12; ~abhāva SAC 1.12; 4. 3 ;
~phalatā SAC 1.7; ~phalatva SAC	~abhāvacarcā SAC 13.20; ~abhāvasā-
10.9; ~mātradarśana AR 13.16;	dhana SAC 1.1; 13.16; ~ākāśa SAC
~mātrasādhana AR 10.14; ~vṛttidar-	8.13; ~upalabdhi AR 3.1; ~gandhara-
śana SAC 3.18; ~śaktisambhava AR	sasparśatamisrāloka SAC 7.14; ~pra-
8.5; ~sādhana SAC 1.11; ~siddhi AR	tyakṣodayavinākṛta SAC 11. 9 ; ~pra-
11.3	dīpādi AR 4.2; ~prayoga AR 12.11;
vyavahārika: SAC 10.22	~manaskāra SAC 8. 14 ; ~rāśi SAC
vyavahitavyāpāratva: AR 10.9	1. 13 ; ~vitti AR 3. 2
vyā-khyā-: vyākhyāyate AR 9.16	śarana: AR 5.19; SAC 4.2; 7.7; ~avasthā
vyākulībhāvahetu: SAC 3.7	AR 14.6
vyākhyāta: AR 2.13; 9.10, 11, 19	śaraṇīkaraṇīya: SAC 13. 16
vyākhyāna: AR 11. 15 ; 14. 12	śarīrapratyakṣa: SAC 4.10
vyākhyeya: AR 12. 7 ; SAC 13. 5	śāstra: AR 7.3; SAC 6.9; 10.22; ~pari-
vyāghāta: SAC 4.18	śramaphala AR 13.19
vyāpaka: AR 11.7; 12. 2 ; 14. 14 ;	śiṃśapā: AR 10. 18 ; 11.2
~anupalabdhi AR 5. 19 ; 11. 5 , 8 , 11;	śīta: AR 9.18; 10.5, 7; 12.3; 14.10, 12
12.5; ~anupalabdhiparyudāsa AR	śūnya: AR 4.20; ~anyadhī SAC 11.21
14. 19 ; ~anupalambha AR 7. 13 ;	śeṣa: AR 12. 12 ; 14. 15 ; ~mātropalabdhi
~nihnava AR 10.16; ~viruddhakār-	AR 13. 15
yopalabdhi AR 14.10; ~viruddhopa-	śraddhātavya: SAC 2. 19
labdhi AR 12.4; ~viruddhopalabdhi-	śrama: SAC 6.3
saṅgraha AR 12.1	śravaņa: SAC 1. 16 ; 2.20; 3. 10 ; 11.9;
vyāpāra: ~anuparodha SAC 11.16; ~tva	~ādi SAC 3.23; ~jñānajanakatva SAC
AR 10.9	1.17; ~pāṭava SAC 1.18; 3.3; ~pāṭava-
vyāpti: AR 5.20; SAC 6.13; ~sādhana	smaraṇabalavat SAC 3.4; ~bala SAC
SAC 5. 19	3.15; ~śakti SAC 2.17
vyāpya: AR 11.8; 12.3; ~apekṣā AR	śruta: SAC 3.1; ~dṛṣṭapūrvin AR 4.3;
11.11; ~abhāva AR 14. 20	~dṛṣṭapūrvimanaḥ SAC 11.20
vyāhāravyavahāra: SAC 9.10	śruti: SAC 2.18; ~kṛta SAC 2.16
vrīhi: SAC 13.2	śrotum: SAC 3.5
śak-: śaknoti SAC 9.2, 7; 10.2	śrotraśakti: SAC 4.17
śakti: SAC 2.17; 3.7; 4.17; 5.12; 12.1;	sam-śī-: samśeta AR 9.1; samśete AR
~apekṣā SAC 4.1; ~viparyaya SAC	7. 11 ; 13. 21 , 22
2. 20 ; ~sambhava AR 8.5	sammata: AR 10.14
śakya: AR 6. 6 ; 7. 10 ; 12. 5 ; 13. 10 ; 15. 8 ;	samvit: AR 4.5; SAC 11.20
SAC 2.14; 8.3	samvittilābhalakṣaṇa: SAC 9.5
śankamāna: SAC 3.10	saṃvṛti: ~vilopa SAC 3.14; ~vyavasthita
śankā: SAC 3.8, 19	SAC 3. 24

samvedana: AR 3.19; 14.6, 19, 20; SAC santāna: SAC 3.7; ~apeksā SAC 9.4; ~vvākulībhāvahetu SAC 3.7 8.7; 10.1; 11.8, 12; ~anusārin SAC sandigdhāsiddha: SAC 7.9 4.14; ~ekapratyaksāpeksaikajñānasamsarga SAC 8.6; ~pratyakṣa SAC sandihāna: AR 7.13 8.15 sandeha: SAC 5.14 samvedin: SAC 3.18; 9.18 sannidhi: AR 3.13; SAC 6.9; ~samśaya samśaya: AR 3.15; 5.16; 6.9, 12; AR 3.15; ~siddhinibandhana AR 3.18 ~vinākrta AR 5.11 sanniveśin: SAC 7.15 samsarga: SAC 5.10, 22, 25; 6.2, 4, 11; sannihita: AR 5.3; ~bheryādiravābhāva 8.6, 19, 21, 24; 12.**6**, 19; 13.14, 18; SAC 2.14; ~sparśābhāvāvasāyasādha-~apeksā SAC 7.8; ~apeksāniyama na SAC 10.1 SAC 7.9; ~ākṛṣṭi SAC 6.2; ~samāśraya sam-ā-śri-: samāśrīyate AR 4.9 SAC 13.7 sam-bhū-: sambhavati AR 3.12; samsargin: AR 2.7, 12; 3.11; 13.14, 17; sambhāvyate AR 9.12; SAC 2.18 14.4; SAC 1.12; 5.5, **16**, 21; 7.1, 20; samaksāvyabhicāra: SAC 12.14 8.3; 12.10, 19; 13.3; ~apekṣā SAC samagratādhigati: SAC 11.19 5.12; ~graha AR 14.5; ~jñāna AR 4.10; samandhakāra: AR 3.1 ~tva SAC 13.8; ~yatnādi AR 7.4; samaya: AR 3.11; ~ānusāra AR 5.9 ~viraha SAC 1.3, 18; ~vedanasvabhāsamartha: AR 4.10; 13.18; SAC 1.14; vatva SAC 1.6; ~vyapeksābhiprāya ~kārana AR 11.14 SAC 6.14; ~sambhava SAC 5.4 samāna: AR 3.7; SAC 2.21; 3.15; 6.23; samhrta: SAC 13.11 ~indriyajñāna AR 3.18; ~indriyasamsakala: ~kalāpasamhrta SAC 13.11; sargijñāna AR 4.10 ~rajanīsuptaprabuddha SAC 3.17 samāpta: AR 15.11; SAC 13.20 sankalayya: AR 14.12 samāśraya: SAC 3.15; 11.17; 13.6, 7 sangin: SAC 10.16 sampanna: SAC 13.2 sangraha: AR 1.2; 12.1; SAC 6.16; 8.21, samprati: AR 8.17 23; ~abhāva SAC 8.20; ~vyavasthā sambaddha: SAC 11.10 SAC 6.17 sambandhabodhasmarananirapeksa: AR sañjñaka: SAC 4.8 11.1 sañjñā: SAC 5.1; 8.8; ~karaņa SAC 4.20; sambhava: AR 2.9; 6.7; 8.5; SAC 4.17; ~mātra SAC 13.1 5.4; 13.13; ~abhāva SAC 3.6 sañjñita: AR 2.11; SAC 11.3 sambhāvanā: SAC 8.4 sat: AR 2.14; 3.1, 7, 11; 4.11; 8.15; 9.17; sambhāvayat: AR 13.21, 22 10.7; 13.19; SAC 1.8; 2.4, 16, 17; 3.1; sambhāvita: AR 8.16; 9.1, 14 9.3; 10.2; 12.17; ~tā AR 5.19; 13.1(2); sambhāvya: AR 10.3 SAC 1.15; ~toccheda AR 10.4; ~tābāsambhāvyamāna: SAC 2.4; ~tva SAC dhana AR 9.18; ~tva AR 4.11; SAC 6.10; 8.15; ~tvavastutva AR 13.13 sarva: AR 1.7, 8, 15; 11.13; sadrśa: SAC 7.13: 9.5 ~anupalabdhi AR 15.1; ~ākāra AR 7.5; santanvat: SAC 9.10 ~cittāsarvabodhaniścaya AR 14.3; santamasa: AR 3.9 ~jña AR 14.3; ~jñavītarāgādi AR 15.8; ~pramānasangrahavyavasthā SAC

```
6.17; ~visaya SAC 4.6, 15; ~śabdā-
                                               siddha: AR 5.2; SAC 2.3; 5.13; 9.8;
  bhāva SAC 1.12; ~śabdābhāvacarcā
                                                 10.21; 11.15; 12.1, 3
  SAC 13.20; ~śabdābhāvasādhana SAC
                                               siddhānta: AR 1.1
  1.1; ~samvrtivilopa SAC 3.14; ~san-
                                               siddhi: AR 3.4; 4.4, 6; 6.5; 9.4; 10.15;
  graha SAC 6.16
                                                 11.3; SAC 1.8; 2.1, 3; 4.18; 6.2, 6;
saviśesanasiddhi: SAC 6.6
                                                 9.11; 10.9; 11.14; 12.6; 13.17;
saha: ~anavasthānalaksana AR 9.19;
                                                 ~nibandhana AR 3.18
  ~upalambha AR 9.7; 13.2; ~kāriprat-
                                               sidh-: sādhayati AR 9.4; 14.10; SAC 9.10
  yayāntaraviraha SAC 3.5; ~krta SAC
                                               suta: AR 14.17
  1.17; ~pratītiniyama AR 3.6
                                               suptaprabuddha: SAC 3.17
sahāyī-bhū-: sahāyībhavisyati SAC 5.11
                                               subhiksa: SAC 13.2
sākalya: AR 2.5; SAC 4.20
                                               sulabha: SAC 8.17; 13.19;
sākārasvīkāra: SAC 12.20
                                                 ~kāranādhīnopajanāpajanadharmaka
sāksāt: AR 15.3; SAC 10.18; 12.19;
                                                 SAC 5.8
  ~kartavya AR 7.8; SAC 10.7
                                               sūksma: SAC 3.9
sādgunya: AR 8.14; ~jñāna SAC 5.10;
                                               sūcita: SAC 11.19
  ~niścaya AR 4.9
                                               sūpalaksa: SAC 3.8
sādhana: AR 8.7; 10.14; 11.5; 14.7; SAC
                                               skandha: SAC 4.7; ~laksana AR 3.19
  1.1, 10, 11; 2.10; 5.13, 19(2); 9.14;
                                               sthāna: AR 7.6; ~sthāna AR 7.6
  10.1; 13.16; ~adhikāra SAC 13.19;
                                               sthita: AR 9.2; SAC 4.6; 11.2; 13.18
  ~adhyavasāya AR 15.1; ~cintā SAC
                                               sthitam etat: AR 9.2
  13.20; ~vyavahārasiddhi AR 11.3
                                               sthiti: AR 1.11, 14; 2.11; 5.18; 6.14; 9.5;
sādhārana: AR 14.5; SAC 9.14;
                                                 13.23; SAC 7.13; 12.8, 18; 13.9;
  ~śaktyapekṣā SAC 4.1
                                                 ~laksana AR 9.10; 10.15
sādhika: AR 15.10
                                               sthira: AR 5.11
sādhu: AR 13.14
                                               sthairva: AR 9.5
sādhya: AR 1.3; 2.10; 9.10; 10.15, 17;
                                               sparśa: AR 2.14; 12.1, 4; SAC 10.2;
  12.7; SAC 1.12; 5.2, 9
                                                 ~abhāvāvasāyasādhana SAC 10.1;
sāpekṣa: AR 3.5
                                                 ~ākāramātraniyama AR 4.16; ~tami-
sāpeksā: AR 10.14
                                                 srāloka SAC 7.14; ~mātropalabdhi
sāmagrī: SAC 4.19; 7.17;
                                                 SAC 9.19
  ~pratibaddhabuddhitādavasthya SAC
                                               sparśana: AR 2.17; SAC 5.7; ~āgamya
 4.1
                                                 AR 10.6
sāmarthya: AR 2.2; 4.8, 13; 6.15; 11.16;
                                               13.4; SAC 1.9; 7.12; 8.2; 10.4, 5;
                                               sphuta: AR 1.2
  12.20; ~anapāya AR 13.8;
                                               smarana: ~nirapeksa AR 11.1; ~balavat
  ~apeksādyukti AR 8.2; ~janman AR
                                                 SAC 3.4; ~vyavahitavyāpāratva AR
 5.16; ~darśana AR 14.7; ~sthiti AR
                                                 10.9
 6.14
                                               smrti: AR 10.15
sāmānya: AR 6.4; 10.2; SAC 4.11; 8.8;
                                               sva: AR 11.7; 12.2; ~anupalabdhi SAC
  ~aparādha SAC 8.13; ~āśraya AR
                                                 11.21; 13.15; ~ātmatādavasthyasādh-
  11.6; ~ukti AR 2.9; ~viśesabhāva SAC
                                                 ya SAC 5.9; ~ātman SAC 3.19; 7.13;
 5.25
                                                 ~grāhijñāna AR 8.11; ~prabhavabhe-
```

daśańkā SAC 3.8; ~vikalpākāramātrasaṃvedana SAC 10.1; ~sattā AR 13.1; ~santāna SAC 3.7 svabhāva: SAC 1.5; 7.13, 16; ~anupalabdhi AR 11.4, 7, 11, 12, 14; 12.3; 14.16; 15.3; SAC 1.3; 4.19; 6.5, 15, 16; 9.9; 10.18; 12.5; 13.6, 17; ~anupalambha SAC 6.21; 13.19; ~ādiviprakarṣin SAC 10.10; ~tva SAC 1.6; ~phala SAC 9.11; ~viprakarṣin

AR 1.12; ~viśesa AR 3.13; 4.1; SAC

4.20; ~viśesavat SAC 6.9; ~śabda AR

12.13; ~hetu SAC 5.19; ~hetuparyavasita AR 15.1
svayam: AR 9.14; SAC 13.11
svasaṃvedana: SAC 4.2, 4; 6.18; 7.5;
8.9; ~siddhi SAC 2.1
svīkāra: SAC 12.20
hāni: SAC 7.3
hetu: AR 1.4; 5.5; 8.11; 11.4, 5; SAC 3.7;
5.19; 7.9; ~paryavasita AR 15.1;
~phalabhāvābhyupagama AR 4.14;
~vyāpakanihnava AR 10.16; ~śabda

INDEX OF PROPER NAMES AND DESIGNATIONS FOR SCHOOLS, WORKS, GENRES, PERSONS OR DOCTRINES

AR 11.15

anupalabdhirahasya: AR 15.11

ācāryapāda: AR 15.4 eke: AR 5.21; SAC 1.1 cārvāka: AR 8.1

parasamaya: AR 3.11

jñānakāryānupalambhavāda: AR 13.16

nyāyanātha: AR 14.1; SAC 6.14

bhaṭṭa: SAC 10.13; 12.11 bhagavat: SAC 6.24

vādin: AR 8.**14**

śāstra: AR 6.9; SAC 10.22; ~kāra SAC 6.20; ~pariśramaphala AR 13.19

sarvaśabdābhāvacarcā: SAC 13.20

WIENER STUDIEN ZUR TIBETOLOGIE UND BUDDHISMUSKUNDE

- 1: Ernst Steinkellner, Verse-Index of Dharmakīrti's Works (Tibetan Versions). 1977. XIV, 225 p. vergriffen
- 2: Lobsang Dargyay, *Die Legende von den Sieben Prinzessinnen (Saptakumārikā-Avadāna)*. In der poetischen Fassung von Guhyadatta/Gopadatta aufgrund der tibetischen Übersetzung herausgegeben, übersetzt und bearbeitet. 1978. X, 162 p. vergriffen
- 3: Piotr Klafkowski, The Secret Deliverance of the Sixth Dalai Lama, as narrated by Dharmatāla. Edited from the Hor Chos-'byun and translated into English, with an introduction and comments. 1979. VI, 93 p. vergriffen
- 4: Gudrun Bühnemann, Der Allwissende Buddha. Ein Beweis und seine Probleme. Ratnakīrtis Sarvajñasiddhi. 1980. L, 175 p. vergriffen
- 5: Helmut Tauscher, Candrakīrti Madhyamakāvatāraḥ und Madhyamakāvatārabhāṣyam (Kapitel VI, Vers 166-226). 1981. XXVII, 214 p. vergriffen
- 6: Lobsang Dargyay, Gun than dKon mchog bsTan pa'i sgron me'i rNam thar mdor bsdus bźugs A Concise Biography of Gun than dKon mchog bsTan pa'i sgron me. 1981. VI, 45 p. vergriffen
- 7: Ernst Steinkellner (Ed.), Guň thaň dKon mchog bsTan pa'i sgron me'i rNam thar sgo gsum gyi rnam bźag pa Legs bśad rgya mtsho'i rba rlabs. 1981. 20 p. vergriffen
- 8: Gudrun Bühnemann, Jitāri: Kleine Texte. [Description of a manuscript from the Bihar Research Society with 10 small texts of Jitāri, and the edition of the following texts in Sanskrit: Vedāprāmāṇyasiddhi, Sarvajñasiddhi, Nairātmyasiddhi, Jātinirākṛti, *Īśvaravādimataparīkṣā.] 1982. ²1985. 48 p. € 7,30
- 9: Josef Kolmaš, Ferdinand Stoliczka (1839-1874): The Life and Work of the Czech Explorer in India and High Asia. 1982. XI, 58 p. vergriffen
- 10: E. Steinkellner / H. Tauscher (Ed.), Contributions on Tibetan Language, History and Culture. Proceedings of the Csoma de Kőrös Symposium held at Velm-Vienna, Austria, 13-19 September 1981, vol.1, 1983. XX, 479 p. vergriffen
- 11: E. Steinkellner / H. Tauscher (Ed.), Contributions on Tibetan and Buddhist Religion and Philosophy. Proceedings of the Csoma de Kőrös Symposium held at Velm-Vienna, Austria, 13-19 September 1981, vol.2, 1983. XII, 334 p. vergriffen
- 12: Tilman Vetter, Der Buddha und seine Lehre in Dharmakīrtis Pramāṇavārttika. Der Abschnitt über den Buddha und die vier Edlen Wahrheiten im Pramāṇasiddhi-Kapitel. Eingeleitet, ediert und übersetzt. 1984. ²1990. 183 p. € 16,70
- András Róna-Tas, Wiener Vorlesungen zur Sprach- und Kulturgeschichte Tibets. 1985.
 397 p. vergriffen
- 14: Michael Aris, Sources for the History of Bhutan. 1986. 203 p. vergriffen
- 15: Ernst Steinkellner, *Dharmottaras Paralokasiddhi*. Nachweis der Wiedergeburt, zugleich eine Widerlegung materialistischer Thesen zur Natur der Geistigkeit. Tibetischer Text kritisch herausgegeben und übersetzt. 1986. 57 p. € 5,80
- 16: Per K. Sorensen, Candrakīrti Triśaraṇasaptati. The Septuagint on the Three Refuges. Edited, translated and annotated. 1986. 89 p. € 8,70

- 17: David P. Jackson, The Entrance Gate for the Wise (Section III). Sa-skya Paṇḍita on Indian and Tibetan Traditions of Pramāṇa and Philosophical Debate. 2 vols., 1987.
 619 p. vergrifffen
- 18: Michael Torsten Much, A Visit to Rāhula Sānkṛtyāyana's Collection of Negatives at the Bihar Research Society: Texts from the Buddhist Epistemological School. 1988. 35 p

 € 3,80
- András Róna-Tas, Mongolisches Lesebuch. Lesestücke in Uigur-Mongolischer Schrift mit grammatikalischen Bemerkungen. 1988. 65 p. € 7,30
- 20: Victor Van Bijlert, Epistemology and Spiritual Authority. The Development of Epistemology and Logic in the Old Nyāya and the Buddhist School of Epistemology with an Annotated Translation of Dharmakīrti's Pramāṇavārttika II (Pramāṇasiddhi) vv. 1-7. 1989. XIII, 191 p. € 16,70
- 21: Tom J. F. Tillemans and Derek D. Herforth, Agents and Actions in Classical Tibetan.

 The Indigenous Grammarians on bdag and gźan and bya byed las gsum. 1989. XXIII,

 114 p. € 10,90
- 22: Helmut Tauscher, Verse-Index of Candrakīrti's Madhyamakāvatāra (Tibetan Versions). 1989. IX, 71 p. € 9,50
- 23: **David P. Jackson, The Early Abbots of 'Phan-po Na-lendra:** The Vicissitudes of a Great Tibetan Monastery in the 15th Century. 1989. 79 p. € 9,50
- 24: Tom J. F. Tillemans, Materials for the Study of Āryadeva, Dharmapāla and Candrakīrti.

 The Catuḥśataka of Āryadeva, Chapters XII and XIII, with the Commentaries of Dharmapāla and Candrakīrti: Introduction, Translation, Sanskrit, Tibetan and Chinese Texts, Notes. 2 vols. 1990. XXXVI, 290; IV,188 p. € 37,80
- 25: **Per K. Sorensen, Divinity Secularized.** An Inquiry into the Nature and Form of the Songs Ascribed to the Sixth Dalai Lama. 1990. 466 p. € 34,90
- 26: Ernst Steinkellner (Ed.), Tibetan History and Language. Studies Dedicated to Uray Géza on his Seventieth Birthday. 1991. XXXIV, 536 p. € 40,00
- 27: **Shunzo Onoda, Monastic Debate in Tibet.** A Study on the History and Structures of bsDus grwa Logic. 1992. VI, 254 p. € 24,70
- 28: Helmut Eimer, Ein Jahrzehnt Studien zur Überlieferung des Tibetischen Kanjur. 1992. XL, 202 p. € 24,00
- 29: Claus Oetke, Bemerkungen zur buddhistischen Doktrin der Momentanheit des Seienden. Dharmakīrtis sattvānumānam. 1993. 266 p. € 25,50
- 30: Roy Andrew Miller, Prolegomena to the First Two Tibetan Grammatical Treatises. 1993. 252 p. € 24,70
- 31: Takashi Iwata, Prasanga und Prasangaviparyaya bei Dharmakīrti und seinen Kommentatoren. 1993. 158 p. € 16,00
- 32: Gudrun Bühnemann, *Sādhanaśataka and *Sādhanaśatapañcāśikā. Two Buddhist Sādhana Collections in Sanskrit Manuscript. 1994. 150 p. € 14,50
- 33: Claus Oetke, Studies on the Doctrine of trairūpya. 1994. 144 p. € 14,50
- 34: Jonathan A. Silk, The Heart Sūtra in Tibetan. A Critical Edition of the Two Recensions Contained in the Kanjur. 1994. 205 p. € 21,80
- 35: Jeffrey D. Schoening, The Śālistamba Sūtra and its Indian Commentaries. 2 vols. 1995. XX, 388; IX, 382 p. € 61,80

- 36: Helmut Tauscher, Die Lehre von den zwei Wirklichkeiten in Tson kha pas Madhyamaka-Werken. 1995. X, 478 p. € 40,00
- 37: Chizuko Yoshimizu, Die Erkenntnislehre des Prāsaṅgika-Madhyamaka nach dem Tshig gsal ston thun gyi tshad ma'i rnam bśad des 'Jam dbyaṅs bźad pa'i rdo rje. Einleitung, Textanalyse, Übersetzung. 1996. XXII, 309 p. € 32,00
- 38: Eli Franco, Dharmakīrti on Compassion and Rebirth. 1997. 394p. € 34,90
- 39: Birgit Kellner, Nichts bleibt nichts. Die buddhistische Zurückweisung von Kumārilas abhāvapramāṇa. Übersetzung und Interpretation von Śāntarakṣitas Tattvasaṅgraha vv. 1647-1690 mit Kamalaśīlas Tattvasaṅgrahapañjikā, sowie Ansätze und Arbeitshypothesen zur Geschichte negativer Erkenntnis in der Indischen Philosophie. 1997. XXXIII, 154 p. € 16,00
- 40: The Brief Catalogues to the Narthang and the Lhasa Kanjurs. A Synoptic Edition of the bKa' 'gyur rin po che'i mtshan tho and the rGyal ba'i bka' 'gyur rin po che'i chos tshan so so'i mtshan byan dkar chag bsdus pa. Compiled by the members of staff, Indo-Tibetan section of the Indologisches Seminar, Universität Bonn, Issued on the Occasion of Professor Dr. Claus Vogel's sixty-fifth birthday, July 6, 1998. 206 p. € 18,20
- 41: K. Kollmar-Paulenz / J. S. Barlow (Ed.), Otto Ottonovich Rosenberg and his Contribution to Buddhology in Russia. 1998. XIII, 81 p. € 10,20
- 42: Y. Kajiyama, An Introduction to Buddhist Philosophy. An Annotated Translation of the Tarkabhāṣā of Mokṣākaragupta. Reprint of the original edition, Kyoto 1966, with corrections in the author's hand. 1998. VII, 173 p. € 16,00
- 43: Helmut Tauscher (Ed.), *Phya pa Chos gyi sen ge*, dBu ma sar gsum gyi ston thun. 1999. XXXIII, 146 p. € 16,00
- 44: Robert Kritzer, Rebirth and Causation in the Yogācāra Abhidharma. 1999. IX, 327 p.
 € 29,80
- 45: Helmut Eimer, The Early Mustang Kanjur Catalogue. 1999. 202 p. € 18,20
- 46: Katia Buffetrille, Pèlerins, lamas et visionnaires. Sources orales et écrites sur les pèlerinages tibétains. 2000. XII, 377 p., 1 carte € 39,20
- 47: Vincent Eltschinger, «Caste» et philosophie bouddhique. Continuité de quelques arguments bouddhiques contre le traitement réaliste des dénominations sociales. 2000. 204 p. € 18,20
- 48: Horst Lasic, Jñānaśrīmitras Vyāpticarcā. Sanskrittext, Übersetzung, Analyse. 2000. 190 p. € 17,40
- 49: **Horst Lasic, Ratnakīrtis Vyāptinirņaya.** Sanskrittext, Übersetzung, Analyse. 2000. 95 p. € 8,70
- 50: David Seyfort Ruegg, Three Studies in the History of Indian and Tibetan Madhyamaka Philosophy. Studies in Indian and Tibetan Madhyamaka Thought Pt. 1. 2000. XIV, 322 p. € 33.40
- 51: Vincent Eltschinger, *Dharmakīrti sur les mantra et la perception du supra-sensible*. 2001. 166 p. € 16,70
- 52: Rita Langer, Das Bewußtsein als Träger des Lebens. Einige weniger beachtete Aspekte des viññāṇa im Pālikanon. 2001. XII, 89 p. € 11,60
- 53: Dragomir Dimitrov, Ulrike Roesler and Roland Steiner (Eds), Śikhisamuccayaḥ. Indian and Tibetan Studies (Collectanea Marpurgensia Indologica et Tibetologica). 2002. 227 p.
 € 22.50

- 54: David Seyfort Ruegg, Two Prolegomena to Madhyamaka Philosophy. Candrakīrti's Prasannapadā Madhyamakavīttiḥ on Madhyamakakārikā I.1 and Tson kha pa Blo bzan grags pa / rGyal tshab Dar ma rin chen's dKa' gnad/gnas brgyad kyi zin bris. Annotated Translations. Studies in Indian and Tibetan Madhyamaka Thought Pt. 2. 2002. XIV, 299 p. € 31,50
- Studies in Indian and Tibetan Madhyamaka Thought Pt. 2. 2002. XIV, 299 p. € 31,50

 55: Pascale Hugon, Le rTags kyi rnam gzhag rigs lam gsal ba'i sgron me de Glo bo mkhan chen bSod nams lhun grub. Un manuel tibétain d'introduction à la logique. Edition et traduction annotée. 2002. 230 p. € 22,50
- 56: Samten Karmay, The Diamond Isle. A Catalogue of Buddhist Writings in the Library of Ogyen Chöling, Bhutan. 2003. 265 p. € 25,80
 57: Stephan Kloos, Tibetan Medicine Among the Buddhist Dards of Ladakh. 2004. 183 p. € 17,50
- 58: Shoryu Katsura and Ernst Steinkellner, The Role of the Example (dṛṣṭānta) in Classical Indian Logic. 2004. xii, 275 p. € 27,00
 59: Ryusei Keira, Mādhyamika and Epistemology. A Study of Kamalasīla's Method for
- Texts of Selected Sections of the Second Chapter of the Madhyamakāloka. 2004. LXVIII, 304 p. € 37,10 60: Pascale Hugon (Ed.), mTshur ston gZhon nu seng ge, Tshad ma shes rab sgron ma. 2004.

Proving the Voidness of All dharmas. Introduction, Annotated Translations and Tibetan

- xxxii, 364 p. € 39,50
 61: Dram Dul (Ed.), Biography of Blo Idan ses rab. The Unique Eye of the World by Gro lun
 pa Blo gros 'byun gnas. The Xylograph Compared with a Bhutanese Manuscript. 2004.
 xxxii, 80 p. ISBN 3-902501-00-6 € 12,00
- Einführung, textkritische Studie, Edition der Paneele 1-8 mit Sanskritparallelen und deutscher Übersetzung. 2005. 413 p. ISBN 3-902501-02-2 € 40,80 64: Ulrich Timme Kragh, Early Buddhist Theories of Action and Result. A Study of karmaphalasambandha. Candrakīrti's Prasannapadā, Verses 17.1-20. 2006. 422 p. ISBN 3-
- 64: Ulrich Timme Kragh, Early Buddhist Theories of Action and Result. A Study of karmaphalasambandha. Candrakīrti's Prasannapadā, Verses 17.1-20. 2006. 422 p. ISBN 3-902501-03-0 € 27,50
 65: Helmut Eimer, Buddhistische Begriffsreihen als Skizzen des Erlösungsweges. 2006. x,
- 196 p. ISBN 3-902501-04-9 € 14,30
 66: Konrad Klaus und Jens-Uwe Hartmann (Ed.), Indica et Tibetica. Festschrift für Michael Hahn. Zum 65. Geburtstag von Freunden und Schülern überreicht. 2007. 616 p. ISBN 978-3-902501-05-9 € 38,50

ISBN: 978-3-902501-06-6